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Foreword by the Author

When I began my search for truth regarding the conspiratorial nature of history, I didn’t exactly know where to look. I already knew about William Cooper’s popular exposé Behold a Pale Horse, as well as some of the details of the conspiracy behind the walls of the Vatican written about by authors like Michael Baigent, but neither of these makes much sense without a larger context, and as soon as I investigated their writings, it was apparent that both of these authors have created a huge amount of disinformation which has served to aid the purpose of the conspirators. Nevertheless, whereas I found the conspiratorial point of view to be lacking in credible or genuine content, the accidentalist point of view still made no sense whatsoever, and I was sick of the lies, so I sifted through their material anyway to see what they could offer, and reluctantly applied my truth filter to everything I read.

Cooper made an impression because of what I considered to be his sincerity. He claims that the Brotherhood of the Snake is oldest secret society in existence, and says that the Brotherhood is a generic name for the secret societies patterned after the Society of Ormus, a society allegedly founded by a 1st century convert to Christianity named Ormesius, who is said to have merged the mystery religion of Egypt with Christianity. The Society of Ormus is then equated with the Rosicrucian Order, and therefore with modern Illuminism. This is not true, however, and, in fact, for this very reason my first book (The End of Learning) sets out to prove that the mystery religion of ancient Egypt and the one which became Christianity were already indistinguishable long before Christ. I have been satisfied with the results, which should overturn the supposed history of the Society of Ormus—a disinformation legacy which, incidentally, is actually widely accepted among Luciferians.

Either the author of Behold a Pale Horse was a liar, or he himself was deceived by the information he came into contact with. Considering the aims and methods employed by other disinformationists, as well as the fact that he claims to know the identity of the Antichrist of Christian eschatology, yet purposely hides it from his readers, I don’t see how it could be that he was simply mistaken about some things. Cooper’s goal, it seems, and the goal of many other liars and charlatans, is to combine the esoteric doctrines of the Rosicrucians and the early Christians in order to present the Christian masses with the lie that Biblical Christianity is a religion based on its antithetical ideology of Luciferianism. Therefore, it is reasonable to conclude that even the earliest conspiracist authors were recruited, or at least manipulated and coopted by the New World Order.

These ideas have been fabricated to divert us from the real history of Luciferianism and to undermine and ultimately destroy not only the institutions, but also the very ideas and ideology of Christianity. I have not been able to conceive another way for the Luciferians to equate Lucifer with Jesus Christ and actually expect Christians to believe it—which they will, according to the Bible—and to date, there haven’t been many things which the Luciferians have run by the Christians which they haven’t whole-heartedly accepted. People tend to believe any half-truth which they are told by the establishment, but will reject anything which is an outright truth or an outright lie, and the differences between Luciferianism and the truth are so subtle that only an avid student of ancient scriptures could ever make a distinction.
Even now, the Catholic Church is priming the masses for a revelation about the true history of Christianity. The Pope has only just professed that the Church’s calendar is based on an error, and that Jesus was actually born several years earlier than previously supposed. This may not be a big deal to everyone who already knew that, but the fact that the Pope is flatly admitting the Church’s fallibility is a huge step in the process of undermining its own authority. Meanwhile, the College of Cardinals has just expanded, in order to balance out the votes and swing the next election in favor of a candidate from the Third World. This is undoubtedly aimed at fulfilling the Prophecy of the Popes, with the accession of Petrus Romanus. Cardinal Turkson made the press last year (2011) when, as President of the Pontifical Council for Justice and Peace, he called for a “global public authority” and a “central world bank,” essentially blaming the masses for the current economic crisis. Much more could be said, but the key issue here is that the Vatican has been planning on using its own authority to reform the Church according to its ancient Luciferian agenda, just as the Soviet Union was turned into the Russian Federation, as described in The World At War. The big revelation will most likely have something to do with the extraterrestrial origins of our civilization, via the disclosure of the existence of extraterrestrials on Earth.

The easiest way to identify disinformationists among the “conspiracy theorists” crowd is by recognizing whether they encourage discussion of the Vatican’s role behind the scenes of the national governments, or whether they are supporting the “Ancient Aliens” agenda. To this day, I am still unsure whether Cooper was a disinformationist or not, but it would not seem so, based on the fact that he was quick to point out that Alex Jones and Jordan Maxwell are shills. Furthermore, it is self-apparent that David Icke is furthering the same New Age agenda for a different audience than Jones and Maxwell. By default, due to the impact which these men have had everyone else who is even worth mentioning in this context, everyone else who is part of the “Truth Movement” genre ought to be indicted when we consider sources of information, ranging from anyone who gives any credence to films like Zeitgeist, The DaVinci Code and The Matrix, or to organizations like Occupy and Anonymous, or to the “Ron Paul Revolution” and Tea Party Republicans.

Obvious exceptions include the elusive Jack Chick and his Chick Publications, John Todd, whose views have been largely disseminated by Chick Publications, Eric John Phelps, author of Vatican Assassins, and Fritz Springmeier, author of Bloodlines of the Illuminati. Todd and Phelps do not represent any kind of threat to the NWO, both because their information is skewed by their religious indoctrination, and because they make conspiracy theorists look bad, due to their poor presentation and association with Christian Identity and neo-fascist groups. Springmeier’s conclusions are entirely bunk, and it’s a wonder how he ever managed to gain a following, but like Phelps, his existence is more than merely tolerated by the establishment, especially considering that he just served 9 years in prison for robbing a bank. His Christian Patriot Association was shut down by the US Department of Justice shortly after the act which got him his felony conviction. With these and a few other minor exceptions, all have been created and are managed by the very same people and organizations which manage the governments and corporations which they supposedly aim to expose, even if the management is only in terms of ideological views and transmission of data. Since the original publication of this book, other frauds such as Benjamin Fulford and Leo Zagami have emerged, actually claiming association with the Illuminati in order to get people to listen.
Cooper knew all about the concept of controlled opposition, and made no attempt to hide it. His secret societies are the network of global elites which we have called by various names in *The World At War*. They are the Masons, Illuminati, Zionists and Kabbalists. They are the Knights Templar and Hospitaller, the Hermeticists, Rosicrucians, Pantheists, Deists and Theists, and the Transcendentals, Theosophists and Anthroposophists. They are the ones who worship Hermes, or Molekh, or Osiris, or Lucifer, and give him names like Baphomet and the Great Owl of Bohemia to hide their true allegiance. They are the world leaders whose primary concern is the establishment of the Luciferian religion over the world’s population. The Bible calls them the Synagogue (or Congregation/Assembly) of Satan.

The hidden power behind the world’s political, financial and ecumenical institutions is also much older than almost anyone is willing to admit. Today we find it hiding behind the Catharism of Michael Baigent and his fictitious Priory of Sion. Here, too, we find the name of Jesus inserted in place of Satan to give credibility to the Merovingians and also to produce doubts concerning the traditional teachings of Christianity. The result is not just a misinterpretation of historical events; it is a completely fabricated source of disinformation regarding the Satanic bloodline which found its way into the human consciousness under that name with Johann Joachim Christoph Bode’s imaginative 18th century account designed to accredit Illuminism among Freemasons. Bode never intended to dupe Christians, Atheists and Agnostics; these have simply and unwittingly jumped on the bandwagon of 18th century Illuminist propaganda.

Cooper stands alone among the people mentioned here in that the others have deliberately steered away from discussion of the most important topics driving the modern trends in technology and geopolitics (namely, the UFO phenomenon, and secretive government projects and coverups related to the same). There are several shortcomings in Cooper’s world view, however. The most obvious of these is that Protestant Christianity itself (the paradigm, as opposed to the churches which bear the name, and which he was staunchly opposed to) is simply an outgrowth of Roman Catholicism, which itself is much older than anyone cares to admit. If Cooper had really known the identity of the Antichrist, then he wouldn’t have been preaching Christianity in the name of Jesus, unless he was in on the conspiracy. I hardly think this is sufficient to label him a disinformationist, however, as the whole world has been so deceived.

Another issue is that, had Ormus (whose name is actually just a corruption of Horus with the same meaning) or any other 1st century mystic actually been the founder of this ancient cabal, the Rosicrucian Order would have a plausible excuse for inventing the idea that they have anything at all to do with Christianity other than being an affront to it. Instead, they are hard pressed to provide the world with answers to questions such as why they have hidden the writings of Manetho from the public (while simultaneously blaming Christians for the deed!), and why an individual such as Pythagoras would have been recorded as performing one of their initiation rites a full 600 years before the alleged founding of the sect. If the ancient Egyptian priests were truly the keepers of the hidden mysteries (which they were), then is it not possible that the rites have not only been patterned after Osiris, but also laid down by him? Without this realization, there is nothing to associate the long history of the subversive secret societies with anything pertaining to extraterrestrials, and the “Ancient Aliens” disinformationists have a monopoly on interpreting whatever is put out there.
I have found that the majority of conspiracists are looking for something other than the run-of-the-mill conspiracy theories which tie them all together in a way which is easy to understand, and this is exactly why Cooper’s legacy is such that he remains the only visible pundit of the NWO resistance front who appears to have been assassinated by the establishment. (Some would make an exception for Rik Clay, who disappeared in 2008. My good friend, who called himself Bill, as in ‘Bill’ Cooper, was similarly killed in 2006, just a few weeks after informing me of the circumstances of Cooper’s death.) Unfortunately, with the Internet being the only practical means, and with disinformation constituting at least 90% of what is available, no one knows where to look in order to even begin to chip away at the centuries of indoctrination of the false paradigm. By this false paradigm, I mean to say the disinformation itself, not the “sleeping” masses which the many who are deceived think they are no longer a part of, though it could hardly be any more obvious to those of us who know better that their minds are even more hopelessly enslaved.

The broad view was presented, more or less, by William Guy Carr, so Satan, Prince of This World is what I latched onto for The World At War. The knowledge presented in that book is about as far as most people could expect to further their understanding of the New World Order via the usual channels of disinformation. However, it will not do much to explain the meanings of the symbols (both aesthetic and metaphorical) and schemes which the Illuminati use advance their agenda in the spiritual sense, which is all that really matters. To this end, I have attempted to provide a cursory overview of the nature of the conflict between “good” and “evil” which has not been adequately documented anywhere, to my knowledge, since the compilation of the Dead Sea Scrolls. There have clearly been many developments since then (at least on the side of the opposing ideology), and the Bible, while authoritative, hardly ever focuses its content outside of Palestine. As we will see, this invites the idea that Jesus Christ was just one emanation of Lucifer, and unless you look carefully, you may not even realize that when the Illuminists speak of “the Christ” they never refer to him by his common name of Jesus (which Cooper does), or by any other derivative of the Hebrew Yahushua or Yahshuah (which is rendered ‘Joshua’ in English). Yahshuah’s own disciple John warned us of this five times in his epistles, but the Illuminists are well aware of the inherent flaws in human nature and have been refining their subversive techniques for millennia.

Nowhere is the brilliance of the Jesuits more inviting than the utter subversion of Christianity through Satanic Jews like Ignatius de Loyola and John Calvin who have continuously managed both sides of the Hegelian conflict between the Christian sects. The problem is not a lack of information; the problem is that few dare to challenge their externally imposed beliefs enough to look at it and see it for what it is. Even conspiracy-conscious groups which profess the kind of objectivity necessary to see past all the myriad traps are guilty of the same faults, and my interactions with them have left me thinking that there is no way to reach them at all—not even by referencing their own materials. For most, it is the trust they place in their controlled sources of subversion, due to their apathy or failure to recognize them or act accordingly, which are the cause for their error and ignorance. For others like the Cassiopeans, it is the almost Solomonic pride and notion of infallibility which result from a general policy of sifting through the lies and gaining some comprehension of spiritual truths which are to blame. Once you have reached a higher state of awareness than the mob, it needs to be remembered that you are not at the epitome of consciousness. Surely this is where the Illuminati themselves have ultimately erred.
There are, however, independent writers and speakers whose thirst for the truth allows them to transcend the Hegelian dialectic. More often than not, the fault is that they do not check their sources well enough to realize that they too are contributing to the grand scheme. Writers who make an honest attempt usually dwindle off into theories about the extraterrestrial origins of the nefilim and their tampering with human DNA. While this is exactly where they should be headed, it is unfortunately done in such a way as to stifle true understanding by substituting ignorance and religious superstition for any kind of reasonable and thorough analysis. Those who do manage to put the piece together without either the Ancient Aliens or fundamentalist Christian Rapture cult bias usually present their findings alongside their overtly New Age views on spirituality, or even, as Alex Collier does, claim the information was imparted by aliens who have taken them aboard their spacecraft, or that it was channeled. This encourages the mental block of the masses against conspiracy theories in general (as opposed to the conspiratorial interpretation of the facts) and does little to add to our base of knowledge, while dramatically advancing the Luciferian ideology through its Intelligent Design Theory, the scientific answer (synthesis) to the rather antiquated and debunked paradigm of biological evolutionism, both of which are intended to discredit the creationism of Christians and Muslims by replacing God with ETs, or with Lucifer. Despite the sheer lunacy of most naturalists, evolutionism was created by a tiny group of verifiably practicing Satanists with the intention of leading us into Luciferianism. Note that the diagnosis of “lunacy” was first given not by me, but by the very same evolutionists who adopted the name ‘Lunar Society’ as a cynical play on words.

Hollywood prepares us for the “Ancient Aliens” revelation via films like Indiana Jones and the Kingdom of the Crystal Skull and Prometheus. At the same time, the Illuminists in control of the Roman Catholic Church have been preparing Christians to accept their own false mosheh as the initiator of the human species, as well as the one controlling the progress of its evolution. This has been going on since the mid-’90s, and Hollywood has been doing its part since the early ‘80s. Meanwhile, Protestants, while recognizing that we are in the “last days,” are being manipulated beyond their capacity to understand by a tightly controlled network of Zionists commonly referred to as the Christian right or the Christian fundamentalism movement in America (which I call the Rapture cult).

As of 2012, I have covered all angles of the managed paradigm shift in my various books, except for the Vatican angle, which I will be saving for last. The World At War: The Internationalist Synthesis and the Causes of Conflict is intended to be an explanation of the conspiratorial view of history—that is, an introductory overview of the internationalist conspiracy itself and nothing more. I have listed as many sources as possible within the spatial limitations of that volume so that my research can be verified independently. My intentions with this volume are the same, except that I have paid more attention to the details, and have also chosen not to refrain from using a Biblical interpretation at the expense of upsetting a few readers. I have determined that this is necessary, as the Bible is the only ancient source document whose general theme is the revelation of the Luciferian conspiracy. There are, of course, certain texts which were written contemporaneously with the New Testament, but most of those which have survived are used to advance the subjective viewpoint of the opposing ideology, and it would require a great deal of reinterpretation to overcome the preconceived notions surrounding them. If you are interested in pursuing this line of thought, you will want to read The End of Learning: Logic and the Means of Knowledge.
My interpretation should not be confused with religious sympathies. Like Cooper, it has always been my contention that the exoteric religions which claim the Bible as a foundation lie and deliberately pervert its historical and spiritual truths to suit their own antithetical agendas. Therefore, the reader has no cause to consider an exposition of the Luciferian conspiracy and the Satanic bloodlines as a “Christian” ideology rather than an objective historical one. This is merely an attempt to aid the reader to understanding the history and ideology of Luciferianism from the antithetical but still true point of view so that a synthesis can be achieved.

But who or what is Lucifer? How did Luciferianism and Satanism originate, and how have they become associated? How did their association become the established cult of the New World Order, and how did this cult become the masters of the world’s economic, political and religious institutions? These are the specific questions I have attempted to answer in this book.

Due to the failure of many generations of scholars to recognize or perhaps admit the veracity of the overall picture of the Luciferian conspiracy, the original meanings of many of the words in question have been lost. It is necessary to understand and apply their true and concise meanings in order to understand what the ancients believed and why they acted the way they did, otherwise history becomes, to the observer, a complex and catastrophic series of meaningless events (hence the false paradigm of catastrophism or accidentalism). Rather than an indoctrinated linguist, this takes a philosopher who is willing to accept that the ancient religions are not just superstitious cults, but actually intellectual movements with a common underlying theme, and no serious student of the ancient religions can plausibly deny that the separate entities in any given pantheon are just manifestations of a single force. This is especially true of the pantheons in question, as there is a stark difference between the creation accounts based on the Egyptian and Vedic models of emanations of thought, and the more catastrophic or violent, superstitious explanations found elsewhere. Unless otherwise noted, the word translations are my own. Some may consider this capricious, but it is actually the only way to be concise. The ancients were no more superstitious and no less intelligent than modern man, and the fact that the evidence is used to suggest this because of false interpretations suggests the opposite. For if we, as a species, have not progressed from a less perfect state to a more perfect state, then the Luciferian ideology is demonstrably false.

Apart from Carr, my main source in The World At War was Wikipedia. I am confident that I have established beyond a reasonable doubt in The World At War that regardless of the details there is, in fact, a worldwide Luciferian conspiracy being orchestrated by practicing Satanists. Anything written in the spirit of a viewpoint subjective or ignorant enough to deny this obvious truth may be summarily dismissed as an obstacle to understanding. As a disclaimer, I must warn the members of any Bible-based religion that some of the information could very well be shocking, as the Satanists and Luciferians have the monopoly on all truth in this world—even the truths of the Bible. Indeed, it is precisely this monopoly which has led and will continue to lead to the great apostasy of these faiths.

Paul R. Miller, 2 Dec 2012
Chapter 1 - The New Age Movement

The Brothers of Purity

7 For the secret power of lawlessness is already at work; but the one who now holds it back will continue to do so till he is taken out of the way. 8 And then the lawless one will be revealed, whom the Lord Jesus will overthrow with the breath of his mouth and destroy by the splendor of his coming. 9 The coming of the lawless one will be in accordance with the work of Satan displayed in all kinds of counterfeit miracles, signs and wonders, 10 and in every sort of evil that deceives those who are perishing. They perish because they refused to love the truth and be saved. 11 For this reason God sends them a powerful delusion so that they will believe the lie 12 and so that all will be condemned who have not believed the truth but have delighted in wickedness. 2 Thessalonians 2:7-12 (NIV)

The religious aspect of the New World Order is commonly referred to as the New Age Movement, a term taken from the 20th century writings of Alice Bailey. Novus Ordo Seclorum can mean either ‘New Order of the Cycles’ or, more concisely, ‘Order of the New [Great or Golden] Age,’ as it implies a culmination of cycles. These famous words written on the Great Seal of the United States of America come from a line in Virgil’s Eclogue IV which says Magnus ab integro seclorum nascitur ordo.1 This line is difficult to accurately translate into English without knowing the poet’s intent, especially because he appears to have intentionally hidden his actual meaning, but a literal rendering is “An order is born for the beginning of the great age,” or “A great order is born for the beginning of the ages.” Virgil did not coin this phrase by accident; he was one of the earliest known initiates of the hidden mysteries.

Illuminism, like any other philosophical movement, had its beginnings—that is, it was “born,” as the poet says. The continuously verifiable history of transmission of knowledge, and of ideology, begins in the Middle East around the year 800 AD, when several books were written in Arabic. These included the Kitab Ustuqus al-Uss al-Thani (‘Second Book of the Elements of Foundation’), Kitab Sirr al-Khaliqa wa San’at al-Tabi’a (‘Book of the Secret of Creation and the Art of Nature’), and most importantly, the Kitab Sirr al-Asar (‘Book of the Secret of the Asar’), where Asar was taken from an ancient Egyptian noun as a reference to the God of Luciferianism.2 These books were the cornerstones of medieval Hermeticism, alchemy and Eastern Freemasonry, founded upon the philosophy, science and institution of Luciferianism, respectively. By the turn of the first millennium, there was an established cult flourishing right under the nose of Islam in Basra (modern Iraq), a city whose name means ‘where many paths meet’ in Persian.

Towards the close of the 10th century the presentation of an entire scheme of knowledge, beginning with logic and mathematics, and ascending through the various departments of physical enquiry to the region of religious doctrine, was accomplished by a society which had
its chief seat at Basra. [...] This society—the Brothers of Purity or Sincerity (Ikhwan us Sa’i)—divided into four orders, wrought in the interests of religion no less than of science; and though its attempt to compile an encyclopaedia of existing knowledge may have been premature, it yet contributed to spread abroad a desire for further information. The proposed reconciliation between science and faith was not accomplished, because the compromise could please neither party. The 51 treatises of which this encyclopaedia consists are interspersed with apologues in true Oriental style, and the idea of goodness, of moral perfection, is as prominent an end in every discourse as it was in the alleged dream of al-Ma’mun. The materials of the work come chiefly from Aristotle, but they are conceived of in a Platonizing spirit, which places as the bond of all things a universal soul of the world with its partial or fragmentary souls. Encyclopedia Britannica

Basra is thought to have been the location of the Garden of Eden. This ought to inform us of what the Brotherhood was really about.

A most interesting society, the Ikhwan al-Safa—the Brotherhood, Brethren or Philosophers of Purity—actually offered passers-by an initiation into their Garden of Splendor. “Come, enter and enjoy rare and lovely flowers, rest beneath stately trees, taste the sweetest of fruit and drink refreshing, spring-fed water.” If any held back, skeptical or afraid, the ‘wise and generous owner’ gave samples of the garden’s bounty to whet his appetite and entice him to step within and partake of the rich and satisfying beneficence awaiting those who live a spiritual life.

Samples they gave, but what ‘samples’ they were! Not fruits or flowers at all, but choice essays from the Brotherhood’s Rasa’il or Epistles, a scholarly and voluminous compendium of scientific, philosophical and metaphysical information garnered from harvests of past and contemporary cultures. Issuing this work in the last quarter of the 10th century, when other theological sects were proclaiming their unquestionable monopoly of truth, was in itself miraculous. With it the Brotherhood of Purity bridged the isolation of human differences and demonstrated that truth cannot be fragmented by accidents of race, epoch or habitat—that the many forms of religion are but various approaches to, or degrees of, spiritual enlightenment.

Discarding the blinders of ritual and dogma their members dedicated themselves:

to shun no science, scorn any book, or to cling fanatically to no single creed. For [their] own creed encompasses all the others and comprehends all the sciences generally. This creed is the consideration of all existing things, both sensible and intelligible, from beginning to end, whether hidden or overt, manifest or obscure … in so far as they all derive from a single principle, a single cause, a single world, and a single Soul. —Ikhwan al-Safe, Rasa’il, IV, 52

To this end they labored, with painstaking care, to make complicated scientific teachings understandable, and to preserve—safeguarding without divulging—the original sanctity of occult and mystical knowledge that their own initiated members and those of other esoteric fraternities had attained through ‘visual perception of the truth’ while ascending into the ‘Kingdom of Heaven’ and receiving the instruction of angels. Eloise Hart
It was due to this kind of enticement by the Brotherhood represented at Basra that medieval Hermeticism infiltrated post-Byzantine Europe through the Alumbrados of Spain. The 16th century Roshaniya (‘Illumined Ones’) of Afghanistan claimed to be descendants of the men who helped Mohammed flee from Mecca and were associated with the ancient House of Wisdom in Cairo. The Ashishin, another Muslim secret society dating back at least as far as the 11th century, spoke of a House of Science in Cairo. These groups not only predate and have everything in common with Adam Weishaupt’s Bavarian Illuminati and modern Illuminism; they are based on the exact same principles, if not actually the origin of them.

As in the West, revolutionary societies arose from the bosom of the Freemasons, so in the East did the Assassins spring from the Ismaili sect. Joseph von Hammer-Purgstall

The truth is that the cults in the East and West are one and the same. They have common origins, a common structure, common practices and common beliefs. The Kitab Sirr al-Asar was just a transcript of the now-lost Emerald Tablet of Egypt, referred to by Western Hermeticists as The Secret of Hermes or the Hermes Trismegistus (‘Thrice-Great Hermes’), an antediluvian tablet with inscriptions allegedly written by Osiris (a transliteration of Asar into Greek). It was translated (or forged) into Latin around 1140 AD as the Secretum Secretorum (‘Secret of Secrets’). The Secretum Secretorum was the basis of Hermeticism, alchemy and Freemasonry in the West, just as the Kitab Sirr al-Asar was in the Middle East. This Latin translation is the basis of most of the English versions now in existence, and Lucifer is the Latin name by which the Asar is now widely recognized.

In early 2006, a mass marketing campaign was launched to make “the secret” of Hermeticism readily available to anyone interested. The details are being kept a “secret” because the secret societies which have collaborated on this project are just conditioning us for the “True Light of the pure doctrine of Lucifer” without mentioning any names, especially that of Lucifer. The open hostility which is shown to “religious zealots” allegedly “rampaging,” bent on destroying the “civilized world,” bears all the vitriolic language of the David Icke and Jordan Maxwell school of disinformation. In spite of this obviously polemic rhetoric, the most revealing thing on The Secret’s website is that it is based on the Emerald Tablet.

The Emerald Tablet is perceived as one of the most important historical documents known to mankind and is thousands of years old. Throughout history the question has been posed: was it written by a man or by a god? Its author is ultimately unknown but is speculated to be the mythical Greco-Egyptian deity Hermes Trismegistus—inventor of alchemy and Hermetic philosophy. Since the ancient Egyptian times the Emerald Tablet has been translated by many of the world’s great thinkers including Sir Isaac Newton and has been revered by alchemists, scientists and philosophers. It discusses ideas of the interconnectedness and oneness of all things and also the nature of the universe and creation. The Emerald Tablet is sometimes viewed as a guide to assist humans in understanding their relationship to the universe. The original tablet was lost around the 4th century, having been concealed from religious zealots who were rampaging throughout the civilized world destroying artefacts. One theory holds that the tablet was buried in the sands of the Giza Plateau. The Secret

This remarkably revealing advertising campaign mentions many prominent philosophers, physicists, financial strategists and experts in many other fields having to do
with alchemy. It shows an extraordinary amount of respect for Rosicrucians, “a legendary and secret order that espoused many of the ideas of The Secret.” Also revered are supporters of “Pantheism, which included the idea of natural law and the universe being equivalent to, and inseparable from, the theological idea of God,” and “Transcendentalism, which places significance on the inner spiritual essence of man, on intuitive thought, on the unity of God and the world, and on the immanence of God in the world.” Ralph Waldo Emerson, the foremost of the Transcendentalists, is described as seeking “to empower humankind by making people aware of their true nature, as free and self-reliant individuals.”

Satanism and Luciferianism are not about worshiping Baphomet or Beelzebub, or even our concept of Osiris; they are about pride, egoism and rebellion from God, as indicated in Isaiah 14 and Ezekiel 28. “The secret,” simply put, is that Man is God and subject only to the natural laws of the universe which he himself is responsible for in the first place. With this realization you can achieve whatever you want, provided that your goals are egoistic, materialistic or mechanistic (that is, Satanic) in nature (i.e., fame, wealth, influence, etc.), basically because you are not subject to the laws of your conscience. In other words, by joining Lucifer in his rebellion—by rejecting God, godly institutions and morality, you can become your own god and one of the masters of humanity. The average person, on the other hand, cannot possibly fathom why Illuminists do the horrible things they do.

The Theosophical Society

Through the Masonic initiation and certain esoteric groups will come the process of initiation. In this coming age millions of people will take the first and second initiation through these transformed and purified institutions. Benjamin Creme

Benjamin Creme is the most prominent Theosophist of our time and the founder of Share International, an organization which many Christians consider to be the Illuminists’ attempt to subvert Christianity under the New Age rule of the Antichrist. (This is, of course, a façade intended to dupe only the most foolish Third World Illuminists.) The initiations he is speaking of here are a reference to death, a kind of human sacrifice on the altar of Satanism. (The word ‘holocaust’ comes from the 3rd century Greek word holokaustos meaning “the burnt sacrificial offering of the [Satanic] Jews dedicated exclusively to God [Lucifer].”)

The transformation and purification which Creme talks about are the total subversion and eventual annihilation of secret societies by the adepts of Luciferianism.

In The World At War, we covered how Albert Pike accomplished the subversion of the highest levels of Freemasonry. The Grand Constitutions of 1786 had put Freemasons of what was to be the Ancient and Accepted Scottish Rite in each country under the authority of the councils of the 33rd degree. This is why Giuseppe Mazzini was the equal in Masonry of Albert Pike, even though he was a degree or two lower in the hierarchy of Luciferianism. The provisions of the Grand Constitutions were confirmed in Charleston on 31 May 1801.
Pike, through his authority over Freemasonry in Charleston, and Weishaupt, through his authority over the Order of the Illuminati, established Luciferian councils at the Grand Orient lodges throughout Europe. These became the footholds of the totalitarian states now present in the West, as well as the means which they infiltrated the other secret societies, such as the York Rite of Freemasonry. However, the doctrines of Luciferianism actually came from the ancient Middle East, and had already existed in European Judaism, Catholicism and Calvinism. In the 19th century, the Luciferian religion took on yet another name under the direction of Pike and his associates: Theosophy, which is also the basis of Anthroposophy.

The traceable influx of Eastern mysticism in the 18th century began with Weishaupt’s own library and the travels of his personal acquaintances, such as Johann Joachim Christoph Bode and Emanuel Swedenborg. It was furthered especially by the influential Polish count Jan Potocki. Hailing from the most prominent of all crypto-Jewish families in Poland, Potocki was educated in Geneva, became an Illuminist (through Cagliostro’s Egyptian Rite lodge in Warsaw), and founded his own reading society to advance Illuminism in Poland. He finished The Saragossa Manuscript (1815) just before shooting himself in the head with a silver bullet because he thought that he had become a werewolf.  

Inspired by Antoine Galland’s 1717 French translation of Arabian Nights, Potocki was fascinated by Eastern cultures, and was at one time appointed as an ambassador to China by Tsar Aleksander. However, the Chinese emperor turned him away at the Mongolian border, so he proceeded to wander around the Ashkenazi homelands of Asia, learning as much about Eastern esotericism as he could. The Saragossa Manuscript may have influenced literature and increased awareness of Illuminism in Europe, but Potocki’s importance to the Illuminists in Europe lies in the fact that he was one of the few Europeans to brave the trials of the East and return with stories about Shambhala and Agartha and other (to the Westerner) esoteric concepts. He essentially verified for the Order of the Illuminati the customs and beliefs that were Weishaupt’s catalyst for change (such as the teachings of the Roshaniya, which became highly influential to the Illuminati), and set the pattern for those whose spiritual connection with the East prompted them to venture there.

The initiatic journey to Islamic soil has been a repeated theme in European esotericism, ever since the Templars settled in Jerusalem and Christian Rosenkruz learnt his trade in Damascus. Joscelyn Godwin

The beginnings of the conventional New Age Movement are credited to another such traveler, the spiritual medium Helena Petrovna Blavatsky. Between the ages of 16 and 27, Blavatsky traveled around the world, spending 2 years in Tibet and supposedly reaching places no Westerner had ever been allowed. During this time, she claimed to have been contacted by the “Ascended Masters,” and the words which they channeled through her are the basis of the philosophy behind modern Illuminism. She founded the Spirit Society in 1871, but was accused of chicanery and moved to New York City, where she founded the Theosophical Society in 1875. Her most influential book is called The Secret Doctrine (1889), and it is still avidly read by the majority of Theosophists.

Blavatsky died in 1891 and leadership of the Theosophical Society fell to Annie Wood Besant, a Fabian socialist who had been converted to Theosophy after writing a review of The
Secret Doctrine. Besant accused William Quan Judge (one of the society’s founders) of chicanery and led a separatist faction to Adyar, India. Another schism followed in 1909. Rudolf Steiner split from Besant’s Adyar faction and founded the Anthroposophical Society in 1912, and this faction was the one more than any other which contributed to the birth of German National Socialism. Alice Ann Bailey also founded the Arcane School in 1923 as an offshoot of Theosophy.

Other schisms further segregated the Theosophical Society in the early 20th century, proving Blavatsky’s notion that religions are corruptions of the ancient mysteries. Out of this climate, particularly due to Steiner’s strong influence in Germany and Austria, the völkisch movement of Central Europe combined occultism and nationalism to create several secret societies. The most important of these was the Thule Society founded by Rudolf von Sebottendorf in 1918. Sebottendorf used the Aryan idealism of Blavatsky’s The Secret Doctrine to create an atmosphere of anti-Semitism in Bavaria for the furtherance of the Illuminist agenda. Early members of the Thule Society included the Illuminists Rudolf Hess and Dietrich Eckart, and its members became the National Socialist German Workers Party. It is not an exaggeration to say that everything that Adolf Hitler accomplished was the result of his loyalty to the secret doctrine of his Luciferian initiation.

I shall have influenced history more than any other German. Follow Hitler! I have initiated him into the secret doctrine, opened his centers to vision and given him the means to communicate with the powers. Dietrich Eckart

The Arcane School

The Arcane School founded by Alice Bailey has been the official school of Illuminism since 1923. Its headquarters are in New York, London and Geneva, and it operates solely by voluntary contribution. Its library of study materials covers a small range of topics directly related to Theosophy. It is essentially an international college intended to aid Illuminists in realizing their plan of global domination, a process officially known as “the externalization of the Hierarchy.” The vehicles for this plan are, according to their literature, “the Masonic Lodges, the Churches, and Education.”

The knowledge, insight and wisdom, and capacity to wield spiritual energy resulting from work and training with the Arcane School should be expressed and applied in daily living service in helping to materialise the Plan of God and to aid in solving the problems of humanity. […] No charges are made by the School for its services. The work is financed through the Lucis Trust by the voluntary contributions of students and those interested in the work of the School and in the teaching. […] The purpose of the esoteric training given in the Arcane School is to help the student grow spiritually toward acceptance of discipleship responsibility and to serve the Plan by serving humanity. Esotericism is a practiced way of life. Lucis Trust
The Lucis Trust, or the Lucis Publishing Companies, was originally called Lucifer’s Press or the Lucifer Trust, but changed its name to avoid controversy. It is a trust because it depends on donations “on the principle that spiritual truth must be freely given and shared because no material value can be placed on it, and because no one should be deprived or denied for lack of money.” It is a publisher because it was established to distribute two dozen books by Alice Bailey which are still the core of the Arcane School’s teachings and, in fact, of modern Illuminism in general. The Lucis Trust coordinates many activities and conferences for its members, with special attention being given to the Great Invocation, a prayer aimed at getting initiates to psychically accept and accomplish the externalization of the Hierarchy. Although the hidden agenda is radically different and antagonistic to those of the secret societies, the principle doctrines of the Lucis Trust are no different than those one would expect to find recited in any Masonic lodge, or even by the Vatican.

The Great Invocation is a world prayer, translated into almost 70 languages and dialects. It is an instrument of power to aid the Plan of God find full expression on Earth. To use it is an act of service to humanity and the Christ. It expresses certain central truths which all people innately and normally accept:

That there exists a basic intelligence to whom we give the name of God.

That there is a divine evolutionary Plan in the universe—the motivating power of which is love.

That a great individuality called by Christians the Christ—the World Teacher—came to Earth and embodied that love so that we could understand that love and intelligence are effects of the purpose, the will and the Plan of God. Many religions believe in a World Teacher, knowing him under such names as the Lord Maitreya, the Imam Mahdi, and the Messiah.

The truth that only through humanity itself can the divine Plan work out.

By means of invocation, prayer and meditation divine energies can be released and brought into activity. Men and women of goodwill of many faiths and nations can join together in service, bringing spiritual value and strength to a troubled world. Men and women have the power, through focused, united invocation, to affect world events. Knowledge of this fact, scientifically applied, can be one of the great liberating factors within humanity. Lucis Trust

Share International and similar groups base their core teachings on Bailey’s notion that the World Teacher has reappeared to direct mankind to its destiny of the “Christ Consciousness.” (This idea has also found its way into Christianity through the Calvinist doctrines of post-apocalypticism and post-millennialism.) As this is such a blatant attempt by Luciferians to subvert the world’s major religions, it has found little support from them, but as it has not gained total acceptance, there is also little perceived cause for awareness among the adherents of those religions. Should the Illuminists use Share International or some other organization (probably all of them, but particularly the Roman Catholic Church and the Bahá’í World Faith) to the end of establishing the rule of the Antichrist, it would not be hard for the adherents of other religions to make the connections to Theosophy and the Arcane School.
Through worldwide lectures, ten books, and Share International magazine, Creme has been informing the public about the gradual emergence of a group of highly evolved individuals who are here to help guide humanity through the present economic, political, social and environmental crises. At the head of this group, says Creme, is Maitreya, the World Teacher, expected by various spiritual traditions under different names. Maitreya, Creme explains, does not come in response to any one group or to gather followers. He will speak for all people—religious and non-religious alike. Share International

One must wonder not only who this World Teacher of theirs is, but also who the “highly evolved” Ascended Masters are as well, if not Lucifer and his horde of nefilim, or the self-appointed “Illuminati” who have created all the problems we are faced with on the international level in the first place. While Share International is only increasing awareness in such a way as to generate negative press, one need not look any further than the keynote of the 2006 Arcane School Conference to recognize the extent of the influence of Lucifer’s Publishing Company in the world today. “Under the Law of Synthesis, let the group master the worlds of illusion and glamour and negate the control of the maya.”

By their own admission, the illusion of self-determinism (a principle fervently advocated at least as far back as the Roshaniya, and upon which the revolutions of the 18th, 19th and 20th centuries were entirely based) only exists to enslave us further. While their ideology may seem noble or divine from the outside, in truth it is very, very wicked. In his book Lucifer’s Network, Michael Sunstar explains the Arcane School as the education aspect of the New Age Movement officially sanctioned and funded by David Rockefeller.

[Externalization of the Hierarchy by Alice Bailey] gives quite a few of the details of the plan, and is used as a textbook for New Agers at the Arcane Schools in NY, London, and Europe on how the New Age/One World Religion/One-World-Government will be brought in. On the Earthly level, humanity so to speak, [the name of] the Ruler is given on page 107 as Lucifer. On the Spiritual level called “Shamballa—the Holy City” the [name of the] coming ruler is given as “the Lord of the World” which we Christians know as Satan.

Lucis Trust knows it is Satan too, but for public consumption they say that the “ruler of the world” is Sanat (a scrambling of Satan) Kumara. They also predict there will be a Christ Consciousness and the Christ (actually the Anti-Christ). The book Externalization of the Hierarchy teaches repeatedly (see pages 511-512, 514) that the 3 vehicles to bring in the New Age will be the Masonic Lodges […] next the Churches […] and finally Education. Michael Sunstar
Chapter 2 - Luciferianism

The Asar

It is not Lucifer who was cast from heaven in the popular mythology (that was the Devil, Satan); he came by his own volition to teach men the arts of science and alchemy, and to cause dissention between them and their God with his ideology of rebellion. He is the one of whom the Promethean myths speak. He brought fire (that is, the fire of the magi—knowledge, illumination) from heaven and gave it to men, and because this was a violation of heaven’s decree, he was punished for his crime. Thus he is the benevolent god and martyred hero of the Illuminists, chastised, according to their beliefs, by the god of the Christians, an evil and vindictive despot. After the real-world Lucifer (the Asar, Osiris) was destroyed around 3100 BC, his kingdom and his ideology persisted.

Genesis chapter 10 records the exploits of a great man called Nimrod, a name which signifies ‘rebellion’ in Hebrew. It claims that he founded a kingdom in Mesopotamia on the ruins of Babylon, Uruk, Akkad and Calneh. Also, “Out of that land [Shinar or Sumer] went forth Asshur,” and proceeded to build Nineveh and the cities of Rehoboth, Calah and Resin.

Nimrod was a grandson of Ham and a great-grandson of Noah. He is described as a “mighty hunter before Yahweh,” where ‘before’ carries the connotation of ‘against.’ The Hebrew word gibbor which denotes his mightiness is also used for the sons of the fallen angels in that context, and so it is also inferred that he had some angelic (to be read ‘extraterrestrial’) lineage in him which accounts for his dominance over other men.

Like Nimrod, the protagonist of the Epic of Gilgamesh, a mighty Neo-Sumerian hunter-king like Nimrod, is two-thirds deity. His quest for immortality begins when he slays the Bull of Heaven with a companion and his companion is consequently sentenced to die, after which he realizes that he is also going to die sooner or later. The epic was the most influential and repeated story ever told before the birth of Christ and is widely known for its detailed account of the Biblical Flood legend. It sheds a tremendous amount of light on ancient Near Eastern culture, especially as far as what a man’s quest to become God was like at that time.

Like the ancient Hebrews and Mesopotamians, the Greeks also depicted this rebellious demigod hunter in their stories. The constellation of Orion shows the Titan hunter facing Taurus (the ‘Bull’ of the Zodiac or heaven) with his club raised. The mythological character of Orion was based on the Akkadian figure of Ur-ana, and both names mean ‘the light which comes from heaven.’ The word used in Syriac is gabbar (‘hero’), a word which undoubtedly has the same origin as gibbor. 

(Before the vowels are added, they are technically the same word in written Hebrew, which is all that is left of that language.)
A close look at the Genesis narrative reveals a distinction between the three cities which Nimrod built and the three in which he built his ziggurats (step pyramid temples). His association with the constellation of Orion comes from the replication of the layout of the Great Pyramids of Egypt, themselves patterned after the belt of Orion. (These cities did not become inhabited settlements until later.) The synchronization of the worldwide rule through conquest and identical building program of the Akkadian Empire with the antediluvian civilization centered in Egypt is unmistakable. Nimrod (a pseudonym for Sargon ‘the Great’ of Akkad) was a man who simulated the glory of the antediluvian civilization which opposed God. He was the first recorded Luciferian (and is celebrated in Masonry as the founder of their craft), and the first whose agenda and ideology prove that an internationalist Luciferian agenda still existed after the deluge.

Even as Orion means ‘light from heaven’ (or ‘city of heaven’), the Babylonian name for the Biblical nefilim is ellen (‘shining ones’) and the Sumerian form of annunnaki supposedly means ‘we who came from heaven.’ The Sumerian word for their offspring, the gibborim, is arī (also ‘shining ones’). Nimrod was one of the arī, and the Bible alternatively calls him Assur, a title which is so curious that it has led to universal misconceptions and mistranslations. The name of Assur, which became the name of the land of Assyria, is actually the original name of Lucifer.

The name of Osiris literally means ‘he who sees the throne’ or possibly ‘he who watches from the throne.’ Ofan (plural ofanīm), the word for ‘throne’ in the Hebrew Bible, is used to designate all types of UFOs, including the “throne of God,” which makes Osiris the same person as God. Another possibility is that the ofan symbolically represents the world or the world government. If this is the case, then the concept of the Eye of Horus is the origin of the so-called All-Seeing Eye of the Illuminati which sits at the top of their pyramid. The symbol of the eye has even more significance if we consider that Lucifer was the leader of the zofim (‘watchers’) in the Bible, and of the ofanīm (‘thrones’) in the non-canonical Hebrew scripture.

Osiris built and was supposedly entombed underneath the Giza Pyramids, and the image of these pyramids is everywhere in the Hermetic traditions of Luciferian cults. It has been prophesied that he will rise from the dead and rule over the world once again in a new golden age. This is the ultimate objective of all Illuminists and the reason for the existence of the New Age Movement and the New World Order.

I have found a shaft, going 29 meters vertically down into the ground, exactly halfway between the Chefren Pyramid and the Sphinx. At the bottom, which was filled with water, we have found a burial chamber with four pillars. In the middle is a large granite sarcophagus which I expect to be the grave of Osiris, the god. Zahi Hawass

This statement came from the world’s leading archaeologist, who later became the first ever Egyptian Minister of Antiquities, in 1998. An international press conference was held and the event was broadcast in a special television documentary. Then the operation suddenly became covered in secrecy and a wall was built around the Giza Plateau to keep the public out. The public’s attention span diminished, and it has since forgotten about the episode.

What Dr. Hawass has found was documented thousands of years ago. It is still available by reading the Greek historian Herodotus and many other Luciferians since his time, particularly the 20th century mystic Edgar Cayce. The archaeological secret being hidden is, if not the grave
of Osiris who perished in the Great Flood timeframe, a treasure trove of information which some have called the Hall of Records. It is an enormous underground library built by Osiris containing all the knowledge of the antediluvians, i.e. the knowledge which Lucifer brought from heaven, leading directly to the corruption of mankind, and of all flesh in the earth.

The LORD saw how great man’s wickedness on the earth had become, and that every inclination of the thoughts of his heart was only evil all the time. The LORD was grieved that he had made man on the earth, and his heart was filled with pain. Genesis 6:5-6 (NIV)

After the Flood, the cult of Osiris was unquestionably the most important religion in the ancient world. It served as the core of the Egyptian belief system which became henotheistic, both in theory and in practice, and greatly influenced the Greeks and the Persians who came to Egypt for knowledge, from whom are descended the world’s major religions. The killing of the sacred bull was an important feature of the cult’s rituals, which indicates that the practice did not begin with Nimrod, but with Osiris.

Osiris was worshiped as Andjety in pre-dynastic Egypt, and this is as close as we can get to the original name from the Egyptian language. Andjety literally means ‘one who is from heaven,’ where djed clearly represents the heavens. Most scholars foolishly believe the djed depicts a type of pillar as a reference to the backbone of Osiris in hieroglyphs, but if this was even a plausible interpretation, it would necessarily mean that Osiris’ name indicates that he came from ‘the backbone of Osiris,’ and it would invalidate the significance of the Great Pyramids. All of the ancient religions were aimed at ascension to heaven, symbolized by the incessant building of step architecture. In the 20th century, Thor Heyerdahl was able to find and excavate pyramids as far away as Peru, the Canary Islands and even West Samoa.

Those far-flung pyramids are just some of the high places; the majority of evidence of the antediluvian civilization is in the megalithic underwater ruins throughout the world. Within a few centuries after the Flood, the Bible says, God confused the languages of men and scattered them to put an end to their building projects. Yet even to this day, the name and pertinent details of the Asar and his role are preserved in Babylon, where the event occurred, through the Mandaic book of prayer. This all points to the vast influence of Osiris (or Andjety, or Lucifer), the god-king of the ancient world. He was, in fact, the only god and the only king of the antediluvians, and all pantheons and kingdoms following the Flood have been emanations of his religion and his rule.

The Molekh

[I]t is but natural [...] to view Satan, the Serpent of Genesis, as the real creator and benefactor, the Father of Spiritual mankind. For it is he who was the “Harbinger of Light,” bright radiant Lucifer, who opened the eyes of the automaton created by Jehovah, as alleged; and he who was the first to whisper: “in the day ye eat thereof ye shall be as Elohim, knowing good and evil”—can only be regarded in the light of a Saviour. Helena Petrovna Blavatsky
Lucifer has been known by many names throughout the millennia since his arrival on Earth. In certain places which we shall examine, these names overlap and set the basis for literally all of the ostensibly pantheistic religions of the Near East. The following summary is intended to show that Luciferianism has had an unbroken succession from its inception to the present day and how Luciferianism became synonymous with Satanism.

He is represented by several gods of the Hindu pantheon, especially Agni. As Enlil in the Akkadian pantheon, he is the husband of Ninlil. Ninlil, also known as Lilith (Hebrew), Isis (Egyptian) and Iris (Babylonian), is therefore the forerunner of the goddess cults which are merely a singular extension of Luciferianism. She serves in various capacities ranging from the seductress of Cain (sometimes Adam) to the mother of the Horus figure. Horus, in turn, is the Antichrist, the son of Lucifer who avenges his father against the evil god Set, representing Adam’s righteous bloodline (i.e., human beings, as opposed to reptilian hybrids).

Nimrod’s influence over the religious beliefs and practices of the Akkadians extended into Canaan. (As we will see, the Canaanites also had knowledge of the Asar and worshiped him apart from the influence of Nimrod, whose conquests began in Egypt and actually inspired the Horus myth.) The Akkadian name of Milqartu was transliterated into the Phoenician language as Melqart, the ‘Lord of Tyre,’ and into Hebrew as Molekh. As Malku in Babylon and Assyria, he is associated with Nergal, a judge in the underworld, and this was either caused by or developed parallel to his equivalent role in Egypt.

Dagon was also regarded a judge of the dead in the Babylonian and Assyrian pantheons derived from their mutual Akkadian source. The Mari texts show that Dagon was considered the chief god of the Philistines by 2500 BC, and the Ebla texts from the following centuries reaffirm this. This name also comes from the Akkadian name of Dagana (‘Agriculture,’ implying hybridization and/or genetic modification of crops, another of Lucifer’s many roles). The relationship which the Akkadian kings had with their god as Dagana is unmistakable; the stele of Ashurnasirpal II indicates that “Naram-Sin slew Aram and Ebla with the weapon of the god Dagan who aggrandizes his kingdom.” This kingdom is a reference to the Akkadian Empire of Sargon, the regnal name of Nimrod, who preceded Naram-Sin. Dagon’s importance is also stressed in the Bible, where the Nazarite judge Samson destroyed the temple of Dagon in the Philistine city of Gaza as his last heroic act, and the Ark of the Covenant was taken to his temple in Ashdod when it was captured by the Philistines. It was then returned to the Hebrews after Dagon’s statue was discovered prostrated and dismembered in front of it.

It is not as though the ancient religions were superstitious or pantheistic, as modern scholars would have us believe. They were all cults built around the henotheistic worship of either Lucifer or Lilith (sometimes both, especially in conjunction with Horus), and they were based in what was then the well-known history now presented relatively unchanged (albeit filtered through the cultural lens of the Hebrews) in the Bible. Throughout both testaments, we are presented with the duality of the conflict between the prophets of God and the prophets of Baal (‘the Lord’), respectively called the “sons of light” and the “sons of darkness,” with each side claiming to represent light and truth and life. While the correct interpretations of the Hebrew scriptures has been lost to the public, in the context of the greater picture, they provide the background information necessary for an understanding of the correlations between the other ancient traditions.
For instance, the ancient word for ‘king’ in Hebrew is written as mlk. This is variously translated according to the context as melek or melchi, or malakh (‘messenger,’ ‘angel’), or as the proper name Molekh, also spelled Moloch or Molech. The fact that contemporary translators have never been aware of the meaning of this word has led to a great deal of confusion not only to its meaning, but also to the Satanic cult of the Canaanites which was in constant conflict with the Mosaic religion and culture of the Old Testament prophets.

As mentioned in The World At War, Lucifer’s contemporary name comes from the Latin translation of Isaiah 14:12. The context of this chapter is a prophecy against the King of Babylon, followed by prophecies against the nations of Assyria and Philistia (or Phoenicia). While the identity of the King of Babylon is subject to interpretation, due to the fact that many Christians think of this as also pertaining to the present or future, the prophecies against these other two nations is due to their association with him, which means that they must be considered in their context (i.e., that the addressee of the rant is the man or power behind the King of Babylon, as well as behind the kings of the other nations, not the man himself). It is no coincidence that the prophet’s remarks are addressed to the king of Babylon and not some other historical or future persona, but this discussion is covered elsewhere in the writings of Christians. The important thing to remember is that the spiritual and terrestrial kingdom of Nimrod was comprised of these three lands.

The most significant prophecy against Lucifer is found in Ezekiel chapter 28. Like Isaiah 14, it is directed at the “king” (mlk) or “ruler,” this time of Tyre, but the Hebrew word and the context of the chapter clearly indicate that this “king” is actually a specific reference to a fallen spirit or entity. In the context, he is called both a kherub (a winged angel) and a man, which also indicates that the prophecy was spoken against an actual living person who had passed through the Luciferian initiation which, for a king at that time, constituted apotheosis (becoming a god, as a reincarnation of Osiris/Lucifer).

In all but one instance which has been regarded as an error of transcription, mlk appears only as the subject of a preposition in the Bible. “Passing children through the fire lmlk” is usually interpreted as human sacrifice to the god of the Philistines (Molekh), but in reality it is most likely a metaphor for initiation into the doctrines of Lucifer which are collectively referred to as the fire of the magi. That is not to say that human sacrifice is not also meant, and there is substantial evidence that thousands of children were sacrificed over several centuries at the post-Philistine period Phoenician capital of Carthage.

A literal rendering of lmlk suggests ‘as the Molekh’ and, therefore, ‘as the Asar.’ Any change to a proper name is arbitrary, though not necessarily without merit. Both melek (‘king’) and malakh (‘messenger’ or ‘angel’) could be the words intended by the Biblical authors where Molekh is inserted. Malakh would be a reference to his status as one of those who came from heaven to earth, or else as a transliteration of the Akkadian Milqartu or Malku. (In the Enochian tradition, the leader of the nefilim was called the mal‘akh ha’mastema or ‘angel of hostility.’) Melek would have evolved as a reference to his lordship over a territory or territories, and indeed the Akkadian bel and the Phoenician be‘al which mean the same thing were synonymous with the local deities of the Levant. As evidence of this, the Mandaic derivative melki retains the same meaning, but is actually used in reference to heavenly beings—particularly the Ascended Masters of the New Age Movement.
More often than not, the generic name of Baal is understood to be in reference to Molekh, as Molekh was the supreme god of the oldest of the pantheons in Canaan as well as the Lord of Tyre, but it was also applied to Yahweh by the Israelites living there.\textsuperscript{50, 51} He was often depicted as a bull, and the fact that the Hebrews built a golden calf as their idol immediately after leaving Egypt may be positive proof that the association between the bull and the hunter extends beyond the Fertile Crescent into antediluvian Egypt. In any case, the language and alphabet of the Hebrews are similar to and probably inspired by those of the Phoenicians, and it is not always easy to differentiate between the separate pantheons, as most people falsely believe that the religion of the Hebrews was monotheistic rather than henotheistic.\textsuperscript{52}

The name of Molekh was also interchangeable with Baal Hammon, indicating that he was the “lord” or patron god of Ammon (descendants of Abraham’s nephew Lot).\textsuperscript{53} Likewise, Beelzebub (\textit{be’al of zebub}) was used by the Pharisees in the New Testament and is often translated as ‘lord of the flies,’ but actually has the literal meaning of ‘lord of those which have flown’ (or ‘lord of the flying things,’ the modern connotation of which would be ‘lord of the UFOs’), and is therefore also likely a deliberate reference to the Sumerian air-god Pazuzu, who was the original Mesopotamian prototype of Molekh.\textsuperscript{54} Other names by which he was known are the Tyrian Herakles, the Greek Kronos and the Roman Saturnus. He was known in his last form as the Cretan Zeus or Marnas, and his cult became the last to survive the purge of paganism in the Christian centers of Europe, persisting until 402 AD.\textsuperscript{55}

\textbf{The Brotherhood}

\textit{For we wrestle not against flesh and blood, but against principalities, against powers, against the rulers of the darkness of this world, against spiritual wickedness in high places.} Ephesians 6:12 (KJV)

Luciferianism became an established religion for the second time after God destroyed the wicked world and Nimrod attempted to rebuild it. Apart from the \textit{be’alim of Canaan}, the \textit{nefilim} were worshiped by those who carried on the Babylonian legacy of Nimrod (including the Jews with their Babylonian Talmud), especially in the Pan-Hellenic world, through what are now called the mystery religions. The first such cult centered around Mitra, the Hindi equivalent of Milqartu, who was incorporated into the Persian pantheon as Mithras.\textsuperscript{56} The Persians went on to invent Zoroastrianism and elevated Mithras to a position which entitled him to his own cult, possibly because of the enormous influence he already held in Egypt and the Levant.

Although the cult of Mithras was so secretive that it produced no scripture that we know of and its dogmas are subject to debate, its symbolism in relation to the Osirian mystery school is not. Mithras, of course, is yet another name for Lucifer (perhaps the most obvious of them all), and each of his temples contains a depiction of his slaying the sacred bull at its center. Not surprisingly, he was associated with Phanes, the deified Greek personification of light.\textsuperscript{57}
The Mithras cult was esoteric and guided by a hierarchy of initiated Illuminists based on the pattern of the Egyptian priesthood. It flourished in Europe, particularly on the fringes of the Roman Empire, where professional soldiers formed its backbone. The timing of its rise coincided with the mystery school of the Greek philosophers known as the Pythagorean Brotherhood, owing to the expeditions of Thales and Pythagoras into the esoteric centers of Egypt and Babylon in the 6th and 7th centuries BC.

The Pythagorean Brotherhood produced virtually every classical philosopher of any significance from Aristotle to Zeno in a period lasting several centuries before the Roman period. Their writings have been the basis of modern science and philosophy in areas ranging from geometry, astronomy, medicine and government to the most unorthodox but effective particle physics (in its early forms) and alchemy. These Pythagoreans were the men who first brought Hermeticism to Western culture. Their school was actually at Croton (modern Crotone, Calabria, Italy), implying a relationship with the Roman secret society established at least a century earlier which has since become the College of Cardinals. (Indeed, Livy and Plutarch both mention a tradition that Numa Pompilius, the founder of the Roman secret societies, was instructed by Pythagoras, even though he died a century before Pythagoras was born.)

At some point Mandaeism developed out of the ancient Egyptian religion, and it is likely that this religion is a direct inheritor of the Luciferian legacy preserved into the present time. Mandaeism certainly preceded Christianity, but its adherents claim direct succession to Seth or Adam. From this sect come all of the Sabaeans (that is, baptist) religions except for Christianity, as Mandaeans flatly reject Abraham, Moses and even Yahshuah as false prophets.

The theology split into many sections. Some groups retained the name Nasoraeans but chose to follow Jesus and some retained the name Nasoraeans but chose to follow Jewish laws. Some of the Nasoraeans names were changed. The names Sabaeans, Masbuthaeans Sampsaeans, and Basmothaeans, all reflect the same origin Subbi—those who baptize. Some of these “Daily Bathers” became known as the Hemerobaptists who rose each morning to immerse in the cold river waters. A practice still performed today by the Mandaeans. These “Daily Bathers” were also called the “Children of the Dawn” in the Qumran texts and are the Essenes of Josephus. Mandean World

Mandaeans claim John the Baptist as one of their greatest prophets, and this spurious claim is the origin of the Johannine sect mentioned briefly in The World At War which gave rise to the Knights Templar. Even the Johannites did not claim that John actually started the religion, only that he was a prophet in the long line of the Mandean succession. Despite the Bible’s claims to the contrary, they believed that John’s teachings were at odds with those of Yahshuah, and used this as an excuse to diverge from the actual Nazarite religion as practiced by both men.

The Elkasaites emerged from one sect of Essenes in Palestine called the Naassenes (from the Hebrew nahash, “snake”). They incorporated Jewish/Satanic customs into the religion of the Essenes, and this is how the Synagogue of Satan preserved the Jewish religion after it was destroyed by Rome and permanently fused it into the greater Luciferian ideology. Their name comes from Elkasai (‘hidden power’), who flourished during the reign of Emperor Trajan and wrote in Aramaic. This Elkasai supposedly received a book from one of the malakhim while in Persia, and it found its way to Rome in the 3rd century where it was presumably lost or hidden.
Manichaeism developed as a combination of the Greek, Hebrew and Persian traditions, all themselves derived from the Osirian mystery school, with an emphasis on the dualism of the Gnostics (Mandaens). (Technically, the Naassenes were the first group to called Gnostics, according to Hippolytus. The transmission of the Naassenes to the Manichaeans via the Elkasaite sect is pretty straightforward, and accounts for why Manichaeism has become synonymous with Gnosticism, even though there were actually many Gnostic sects in Palestine prior to the Manichaeans.) The founder of the Manichaean sect was a man known simply as Mani. Mani lived in Babylon in the 3rd century and was raised in the Elkasaite sect but diverged from their Christ-friendly teachings.63 (Apparently Elkasai himself was neutral to or reverent of Yahuah.) Manichaeism supposedly died a natural death, but in truth it is the version of Gnostic (anti-) Christianity which is practiced in all churches today, filtered through the heresies of the Paulicians, Cathars and Bogomils who were directly responsible for the secret doctrines of the Templars and Jesuits.

Mani’s writings preserved much of what would have otherwise been lost concerning the beliefs and practices of the ancient Mandaens. Most important to this study is the meaning of the name of the Asar. According to the Mandaic Dictionary, usar (a variant spelling also common to the Egyptian translation) can have the meaning of ‘store or treasure,’ ‘mind’ or ‘thought’.64 The most valuable treasure in the universe is knowledge, or illumination. Lucifer, the source of knowledge, is light and life and all good things, but he is first and foremost a state of mind. In Luciferianism, it is the mind itself which is worshiped, and it is Lucifer to whom credit is given, but it is the man himself which is God.

It is almost certainly the case that the Johannites were exactly the same sect as the Manichaeans, considering that their existence went unnoticed by the religious establishment until 1622, when a group of Mandaens along the Euphrates were mistakenly called “Christians of St. John” by Portugese missionaries.65 Between the Johannites and the Manichaeans, neither group ever gained much tolerance or a sizeable following, and the secrets of both groups have been hidden well. The Manichaeans disappeared shortly after their prophet (Mani, or Manes, the name which refers to all the Ascended Masters in Latin—all of whom Mani claimed to the reincarnation of) was killed (c. 276 AD), and the Johannites became dead to the rest of the world until Hugh de Payens brought their religion to Scotland and France.
Chapter 3 - The Satanic Bloodlines

The Line of Cain

38 I speak that which I have seen with my Father: and ye do that which ye have seen with your father. 39 They answered and said unto him, Abraham is our father. Jesus saith unto them, If ye were Abraham’s children, ye would do the works of Abraham. 40 But now ye seek to kill me, a man that hath told you the truth, which I have heard of God: this did not Abraham. 41 Ye do the deeds of your father. Then said they to him, We be not born of fornication; we have one Father, even God. 42 Jesus said unto them, If God were your Father, ye would love me: for I proceeded forth and came from God; neither came I of myself, but he sent me. 43 Why do ye not understand my speech? even because ye cannot hear my word. 44 Ye are of your father the devil, and the lusts of your father ye will do. He was a murderer from the beginning, and abode not in the truth, because there is no truth in him. When he speaketh a lie, he speaketh of his own: for he is a liar, and the father of it. 45 And because I tell you the truth, ye believe me not. John 8:38-45 (KJV)

In the last few decades, it has been asserted by a growing number of people that the Templars have acted as the protectors of a secret Merovingian bloodline which claims Jesus Christ as its ancestor. Although not far from the truth, it is, in fact, not true, and the “Priory of Sion” is the major disinformation tool of a hoax perpetrated by Gnostic Freemasons. Deceiving themselves, the people who have perpetuated this hoax tend to group all the different Gnostic sects together, not realizing that the Mandaeans were the only group to ever be called Gnostics until the Athanasian Christians literally became ignorant enough that they could not tell the differences between the various groups. Catharism actually has its roots in the anti-Christian doctrines of Manichaeism, and it is Catharism, not one of the many other Gnostic religions, which is practiced by the neo-Gnostic Luciferians. By trading their own Gnostic roots for ignorance and tradition, Christians have turned their religion into one which is openly hostile to both Luciferianism and apostolic or Biblical Christianity. The Bible clearly indicates that the Johannites were originally part of the same Gnostic sect of Essenes, as the first Christians were.

18 Dear children, this is the last hour; and as you have heard that the antichrist is coming, even now many antichrists have come. This is how we know it is the last hour. 19 They went out from us, but they did not really belong to us. For if they had belonged to us, they would have remained with us; but their going showed that none of them belonged to us. 1 John 2:18-19 (NIV)

In The World At War, we covered how the Templars brought Catharism to France in the 12th century and became the forerunners of the Albigensian heresy. We covered the fact that Albert Pike did not regard Hugh de Payens as the true successor of St. John, thought to be the Evangelist by Freemasons and Christians, and the Baptist by the Luciferians who came into contact with the Johannites. Now we shall take a closer look at the secret teaching which is responsible for Illuminism and the hidden history of the world’s ruling families.
It must first be understood that the secret bloodline which many investigators have been researching is not a bloodline (or any other type of line) of Christ. This is key to understanding everything else, as the Bible painstakingly records Yahshuah’s ancestry in order to establish him as the rightful King of Salem. This is no small matter, for Yahshuah’s younger brother James the Just was established as the presbyter of the Christian community in Jerusalem, and as High Priest of Zerubbabel’s Temple after Yahshuah’s death, and the Naassenes traced the transmission of their pontifical powers through a woman named Miriamne (presumably Mary Magdalene), who was allegedly initiated by James. Assuming this story is true, that the tradition of the Johannites is also valid, and that Hugh de Payens was actually designated by the Johannites as their successor, then the claim of sovereignty over the world’s nations extends through the ancient kings of Israel (not through Yahshuah, but through his brother James the Just), all the way to Melchizedek, to whom Abraham himself did homage. However, it is obviously a false claim, and also begs the question of how a group of people so openly hostile to everything which Biblical Christianity stands for could claim to be its true inheritors and practitioners.

46 While Jesus was still talking to the crowd, his mother and brothers stood outside, wanting to speak to him. 47 Someone told him, “Your mother and brothers are standing outside, wanting to speak to you.” 48 He replied to him, “Who is my mother, and who are my brothers?” 49 Pointing to his disciples, he said, “Here are my mother and my brothers.” 50 For whoever does the will of my Father in heaven is my brother and sister and mother.” Matthew 12:46-50 (NIV)

The notion of a secret Merovingian bloodline is just a cover, a distraction from the truth specifically intended to lure Christians away from the teachings of their institutions and provoke them into a defensive position. Regardless of what came of Yahshuah after the Biblical account, he is recorded therein as having been a Nazarite, and in order to preserve his sanctity he would have had to have been celibate, or else married under law (as opposed to in a sexual union which was unlawful). 66 To deny this is to ignore the evidence that he really even existed in the first place, as most of the credible evidence attaches the Nazarite title and customs to his name. 67 Even the Luciferian adepts of the Johannites and the Albigenses carried on the tradition of celibacy to the point that a thousand years later, converts to Catharism were expected to leave their spouses. 68

The nobility of Europe actually believe they are descended from Satan himself, through Eve, rather than from Adam. (Cain was the firstborn of Eve and his half-brother Abel was the firstborn of Adam.) This has always been the great secret of the Ophite (from the Greek ophis, ‘snake’) sects such as the Naassenes and Sethians, and therefore the Manichaeans as well, all of whom regarded Christ as synonymous with the Serpent of Eden. This association is illogical, however, as Yahshuah himself claimed that the “tares” were Satan’s progeny in the Parable of the Weeds, recorded in Matthew 13:24-30 and explained in 13:36-43. The enmity between Satan’s line and Adam’s line as a fundamental religious theme was also understood by the writer of Genesis, not to mention the compilers of the Dead Sea Scrolls.

15 “And I will put enmity between you and the woman, and between your offspring and hers; he will crush your head, and you will strike his heel.” 16 To the woman he said, “I will greatly increase your pains in childbearing; with pain you will give birth to children. Your desire will be for your husband, and he will rule over you.” Genesis 3:15-16 (NIV)
This indicates that Eve’s sexual desire was originally for Satan (called Asmodeus in the Babylonian and Rabbinic traditions) and shifted to Adam when she was deprived of her previous lover. It also indicates that the nature of the conflict between good and evil in our world originated in Eve’s union with Satan, and that it has been waged by their descendants ever since. As Cain was a child of the Devil, his offerings were not pleasing to God, therefore he murdered his brother Abel out of jealousy, thereby eliminating the line of Adam.

11This is the message you heard from the beginning: We should love one another. 12Do not be like Cain, who belonged to the evil one and murdered his brother. And why did he murder him? Because his own actions were evil and his brother’s were righteous. 1 John 3:11-12 (NIV)

Despite modern translations, the connotation of this passage actually reads “Cain, who was born of the evil one.” Genesis 5:3 indicates that Adam had another son in his own likeness who he named Seth, and that his righteous line was therefore preserved. The idea of the conflict between the seed of Cain and the seed of Seth dates back to before the verifiable establishment of any of the world’s religions, and was ultimately what caused the falling away of the Hamitic tribes inspired by Lucifer’s exploits.

The Kenites are one of the most ancient sects in existence. Like the Naassenes and Sethians, they derive their name from the fact that they regard themselves as Cain’s offspring. (Canaan also took his name from Cain.) They were the direct predecessors of the Johannites, and were established in all parts of the Roman Empire long before the advent of Christianity. Saul blessed them and David shared his spoils with them. Their frequent associations with the tribe of Judah account for how the latter became the Synagogue of Satan immediately after the Judges period. (Even some of the Judges were married to Kenites.) The tribes of Israel intermarried with the other Canaanites whose Satanic bloodlines were just as pure, and many of the Kenites were known to have settled in the northern regions of Palestine as well. 69

It has been hypothesized that the Kenites introduced Moses to the worship of Yahweh, as Moses was a son-in-law of Jethro, a Kenite priest of Midian. 70 However, Jethro’s cult worshiped Elohim, a word used to designate ‘the gods’ during the Old Testament period which has become transmuted into monotheistic cultures as the singular and generic title for God. (It is almost certainly a collective transliteration of the Babylonian ellu into Hebrew.) Regardless of their relationship, Moses’ influence was exclusively Egyptian, and therefore the religions of the two men may have had a common origin, but Jethro was a Luciferian and Moses could not have been, as he has been rejected by them and accepted by Yahshuah. Jethro’s influence probably only extended to the priesthood designed by Moses and later usurped by the Satanists.

According to the beliefs of the European nobility, Satan’s blood (that is, his DNA/genes) is inherently powerful, and the purer the blood the more powerful the bloodline. For this reason, certain families in the occult hierarchy occupy a status above what would otherwise be expected, and people who otherwise have much financial and political power marry into these families to attain that occult power. 71 This process is similar, or rather, identical to titular families arranging marriages with wealthy bourgeois for their mutual benefit. The pattern is noticeable everywhere, and as marriage outside of the bloodlines is forbidden, the most powerful families routinely either marry into each other or inbreed.
The Line of Ham

The line of Cain was preserved by Noah’s son Ham whose wife was presumably a Kenite, because Ham was not. (Genesis 6:9 says that Noah was “perfect in his generations.”) Noah cursed Ham’s son Canaan for Ham’s transgression, a sexual liaison with his father while the old man was drunk. The curse fell upon Canaan because he, unlike Ham, was the seed of Cain. Canaan was the common ancestor of the Philistines, and his name is synonymous with Palestine. This is why the Judeans were forbidden to cohabit with the Canaanites prior to their sojourn to Babylon. Although no secular authority claims to know what the name of Canaan means, its meaning is ‘offspring of Cain,’ or Kenite. As evidence of this, there is at least one person in the line of Cain who bore the same root name, as recorded in Genesis 4:22.

The name of Ham has been corrupted from its ancient form of Khem. Khem means ‘black’ in Egyptian, and Egypt was called Khemet (‘the black land’) by its inhabitants. Due to modern racial sensitivities, this name is interpreted as deriving from the richness of the soil in the Nile region, another lie intended to cover up and mislead. Ham settled there for a specific reason (the reestablishment of Lucifer’s antediluvian kingdom which had been centered there), and the whole world was still recovering from the Flood, which means that the soil was no different there than anywhere else at that time. (The Bible says that ten months passed before the tops of the trees in Ararat were visible.) If not for the color of his skin, we are at a loss to come up with a feasible reason for the fact that Egypt was called Khemet (literally, ‘the land of Ham’) and why Africans coincidentally have significantly darker skin than ethnic Asians and Europeans.

The truth is that if Ham’s wife was a Kenite, then not just blacks, but all of Ham’s sons were also Kenites. There is nothing actually racist about a proper interpretation of the curse of Canaan, as Canaan appears to have been the only son of Ham with light skin, based on the traits of his surviving descendants. The curse was made against Canaan simply because he was the only of Ham’s sons born by that time, and therefore the only living male descendant of Satan. This is supported by the fact that Ham was the youngest of Noah’s sons, and the eldest son of Shem was not born until two years after the Flood.

The Canaanites were—simply put—wicked, and their wickedness extended past their proverbial cities of Sodom and Gomorrah. The first Canaanites to build on the ruins of the antediluvian world were the Hattu (Hittites), descendants of Canaan’s son Heth. According to Genesis 27, Isaac’s firstborn Esau lost his birthright because he married Hittite women. Esau therefore became the chief enemy of his brother Jacob, and the neighboring nations of Edom and Israel never resolved their issues. Edom even has an entire book of prophecy against it in the form of Obadiah.

Canaan’s firstborn was Sidon, the ancestor of the Phoenicians whose city of that name was built in the land of the nefilim. Solomon, the founder of the Synagogue of Satan, allied himself with the Sidonians through marriage, an act which secured his fall from grace and the destruction of his kingdom. He was also helped in the building of his temple by the Sidonian king, despite the fact that the Sidonians were selling Israelite captives to the Greeks as slaves.
Sidonian colonists founded Tyre, which became the glory of the seafaring world. Perhaps the most wicked person mentioned by name in the Bible is Jezebel, a Sidonian princess from Tyre who married King Ahab of Israel. Jezebel conspired to kill all but one of the existing prophets of Mt. Carmel and established the prophets of Baal throughout the land in what was the most disastrous situation the Old Testament prophets ever faced. Muntoial ruled over Tyre after the reign of Jezebel’s father expired, and after him the kingdom was passed to the joint rule of his son Pygmalion and daughter Elissar (the great niece of Jezebel). Muntoial’s brother was the high priest of Melqart named Acherbas. He married his niece Elissar but was murdered by Pygmalion. Fearing her brother, Elissar fled from Tyre to North Africa where she founded the city of Carthage in 814 BC. Carthage soon surpassed Tyre and became the greatest city in the world, bringing the cult of Melqart wherever its navigators went.78

Ezekiel prophesied that Tyre would be destroyed and never rebuilt. It was first conquered by Nebuchadnezzar in 573 BC after a siege which lasted 13 years. Alexander the Great laid waste to it when he captured it in 332 BC, decreeing that Melqart was to become known as Apollo Philalexander in his honor. Not content to be the new and living manifestation of the spirit of Lucifer, he also took out his frustration in a matter befitting the Phoenicians’ own god by slaying the entire population. This great sacrifice to Melqart was perhaps the bloodiest in history, prior to the modern era.79

Alexander did far more against Tyre than Shalmaneser or Nebuchadnezzar had done. Not content with crushing her, he took care that she never should revive; for he founded Alexandria as her substitute, and changed forever the track of the commerce of the world. Edward Creasy80

Besides Canaan, Ham’s other sons were Mizraim (‘two tribes,’ in reference to Egypt), Cush and Phut. They were the ancestors of the tribes which settled in Africa and, through Nimrod’s influence, controlled Mesopotamia. The first kingdoms in the world— namely Kush in Upper Egypt and Kish in Sumer—were established either by Cush (as an eponymous founder) or by his immediate descendants. This, combined with the application of Ham’s name to the land of Egypt, strongly implies that the Kenites made a conscious effort to rebuild the cities which had been destroyed in the Flood and impose an autocratic rule similar to the one exercised by Osiris.

The central story of Egyptian mythology—that of Horus, the god of light, avenging his father Osiris against his uncle Set—may be regarded as the continuation of the Luciferian ideology in Egypt and the Osiris cult’s war against the sons of Seth. In the Egyptian mystery religion rites, the initiate is told that Horus was the reincarnation of Osiris, that he is united with Horus, and that he will be reincarnated again, though reincarnation (or transmigration) in this sense is not the same as what is implied by the modern idiom.81 This is the logical basis for the equating of Lucifer and mankind in the Luciferian ideology of Humanism.

To all Egyptians, the ruler of Egypt was the reincarnation of Horus, the second rightful king of Egypt after his father Osiris. His name is attached to all the others as the official regal title or ‘Horus name.’ As Osiris is Lucifer, Horus is probably the Egyptian name of the deified Cush, or his son Nimrod. Nimrod was from Kush in Upper Egypt, and his Akkadian Empire began with the dynasty at Kish. Cush was also the ancestor of the Arabian tribes, which explains why the Midianites, although offspring of Abraham, were also known to be Kenites living in and around Canaan.
The Line of Gomer

As it has already been shown that all of the Hamitic races were descended from Satan, it is a small step to assert that the Satanic bloodlines run through the white populations of Europe and therefore all other lands inhabited by Europeans as well. It has been asserted in The World At War that the Ashkenazi Jews of Europe in particular were descended from the tribes of Khazars who were descendants of Japheth as well as of Shem. More specifically, they were descended from Japheth’s sons Gomer and Magog, and Ashkenaz himself is listed in Genesis as a son of Gomer. This marriage between the blood of the Diaspora Jews and the powerful tribes of Assyur and Gomer are what give the Jews their claim to the succession of the Luciferian hierarchy as forbearers of the seed and ideology of Satan. The region near the Caucasus whence they came is where Noah’s Ark rested, and where the lines of all three of his sons came together again and spread the seed of Satan throughout the world. This is, of course, an oversimplification of what has really transpired, but such simplicity is necessary for understanding the origins of nations.

Even though Western religious traditions take it for granted that we in the West are all human-ET hybrids, not everyone is cognizant of this fact. As a result, racial bigotry has a long history among Luciferians and Satanists alike. Plato and Aristotle advocated not only infanticide, but also genocidal military campaigns against nations which were not Greek. Spartans acting on Pythagorean ideals left their weak babies outside their cities to die. Inbred Roman emperors, on the other hand, are credited with the dissolution of the empire because of their hereditary insanity, evidence to some that selective breeding was necessary and effective.

Eugenics (‘good breeding’ in Greek) was resumed in the 19th century as a scientific and somewhat pseudo-scientific approach to the Illuminist concept of the gradual and progressive evolution of mankind toward the Luciferian state through the preservation of the highest possible concentration of Satan’s DNA and the elimination of less worthy bloodlines. It was called “the self direction of human evolution” and drew from many sources, organizing them into an all-encompassing Aryan (Turkic/Ashkenazi) philosophy. The amalgamation of the areas of science to explain the cultural phenomena of the Enlightenment (which, incidentally, were already becoming antiquated) culminated in the Eurocentric philosophy of Nordicism.

Of the secret societies originally part of the Luciferian conspiracy outside of continental Europe, perhaps none was so important to the development of Illuminism as the Lunar Circle of Birmingham, an extension of the Hellfire Club of Buckinghamshire founded by the Satanist Francis Dashwood, who was in Weishaupt’s inner circle and ranked among the original six identifiable Illuminaten. The Lunar Circle became known as the Lunar Society in 1775, and just like the Hellfire Club, it had a close association with the English Illuminists in America such as Benjamin Franklin and Thomas Jefferson. Members of the Lunar Society included Josiah Wedgwood, Samuel Galton and Erasmus Darwin, the progenitors of the pseudo-scientific hypothesis of evolution by means of natural and sexual selection. The members of this small club kept their secrets well-hidden by marrying their children together. The most notable of their offspring were the grandson of Wedgwood and Darwin (Charles Robert Darwin) and the grandson of Galton and Darwin (Francis Galton).
The doctrine of Nordicism which became mainstream in the ethnocentric philosophies of Europe was almost entirely the product of the members of the Lunar Society and a few other Illuminists in continental Europe. It was advanced by the French Illuminist Arthur de Gobineau and his *Essay on the Inequality of the Human Races* (1855), and this set the stage for Charles Darwin’s seminal treatise *On the Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection, or the Preservation of Favoured Races in the Struggle for Life* (1859). Gobineau also visited Bayreuth and influenced the development of Richard Wagner’s Bayreuth Circle, which was critical in the establishment of the racial supremacism ideals by the crypto-Jews in Bavaria and the rest of Germany.\(^{87}\) Inspired by his cousin Darwin’s work, Francis Galton founded the Eugenics Society, now known as the Galton Institute, the purpose of which was and is to advance the ideologies of white supremacy and selective breeding.\(^{88}\) When Galton died, leadership of the Eugenics Society passed to Darwin’s son Leonard, and then again to his grandson Charles Galton Darwin.\(^{89}\)

Thomas Huxley published *On the Methods and Results of Ethnology* in 1865 while evolutionism and eugenics were still fledgling, earning him the nickname ‘Darwin’s Bulldog.’ Huxley’s ideas concerning Darwin’s favored races, which he termed the *Xanthochroi*, were immediately incorporated into what became known as the concept of an Aryan race by the emerging religion of Theosophy. The ‘Nordic man’ soon replaced the *Xanthochroi* as the staple of German Idealist propaganda, but those who had developed the idea of their race being favored had always attributed their supremacy to the proto-Indo-Europeans of the Caucasus region as well as the Nordic tribes, and to the secret doctrines of the most ancient of the Luciferians. Reading the Idealist propaganda, one might wonder what the German philosophers would have said had they realized that the ancient Hindus and Egyptians were no less White and racist than they, as the modern research by geneticists and their own writings and artwork clearly portray.

The highest civilization and culture, apart from the ancient Hindus and Egyptians, are found exclusively among the white races; and even with many dark peoples, the ruling caste or race is fairer in colour than the rest and has, therefore, evidently immigrated, for example, the Brahmins, the Incas, and the rulers of the South Sea Islands. All this is due to the fact that necessity is the mother of invention because those tribes that emigrated early to the north, and there gradually became white, had to develop all their intellectual powers and invent and perfect all the arts in their struggle with need, want and misery, which in their many forms were brought about by the climate. This they had to do in order to make up for the parsimony of nature and out of it all came their high civilization. Arthur Schopenhauer\(^{90}\)

Eugenics was established as the *status quo* of anthropological theory before World War One, but was still only advocated by a small group of closely related intellectuals. To increase the amount of Aryan and nationalist propaganda being fed to the masses, Alfred Ploetz founded the German Society for Racial Hygiene in 1905.\(^ {91}\) His brother-in-law Ernst Rüdin had been educated at one of the most prestigious Illuminist boarding schools in the world, the Institut auf dem Rosenberg in St. Gallen, Switzerland. Rüdin became a director of Ploetz’s society, as well as the director of the Kaiser Wilhelm Institute for Genealogy in Munich, the Max Planck Institute for Brain Research in Frankfurt, and the Genealogical-Demographic Department at the German Research Institute of Psychiatry.\(^ {92}\) These two men and their associates formed the Expert Committee on Questions of Population and Racial Policy for the Nazi Party, which was responsible for the Nuremberg Race Laws and the ‘Final Solution’ to the Jewish Question.\(^ {93,94}\)
Despite the insincere efforts of naturalists to duplicate the anthropological methods established in the Bible, the only thing more detrimental to their cause than the unpopularity of their genocidal ideologies is their own lack of knowledge concerning the historical record. Seventeen centuries before Aryanism found a foothold in Europe, Ptolemy placed the Chomarians (Gomerites) between Media, Bactriana and the Caspian Sea, and his work was recreated in the 15th century.\textsuperscript{95} Stephen Quayle lists Josephus, St. Isidore, St. Jerome, Eustathius of Antioch and Joseph Goronidis in support of the claim that the Gomerites were none other than the Gauls who spread into Europe.\textsuperscript{96} The 17th century Breton philologist Paul-Yves Pezron even documented how and why the Gomerites divided, and where they went.

By consulting the most famous ancient geographers, such as Ptolemy, Pliny, Pomponius Mela, and Dionysius of Alexandria, Pezron also learned that after the fall of the tower of Babel Comer’s people settled in Bactriana and Margiana, lands to the southeast of the Caspian Sea. Ptolemy, he notes, divided the Gomarians into two clans. Those called Chomarians he placed in Bactriana, “pretty near the Oxus.” Some old maps of Bactriana that Pezron looked at confirmed this, for on them he found a city called Chomara, which he took to be “the capital of these people.” As for their cousins, called Comarians, Ptolemy located them “towards the most eastern boundaries of Sogdiana, not far from the sources of the Jaxartes, and in the country of the Sacae.” Mela, the earliest Latin geographer, also separated these kindred peoples, but on his map he settled the Comarians toward Sogdiana and Bactriana, while locating the Chomarians a little above the Caspian Sea and toward the Massagetae. Pezron sees no contradiction in this. “‘Tis clear enough from hence,” he says, “that both these people came from the same stock; that at first they were founded by Gomer towards the countries of Margiana and Bactriana, and that in process of time they separated from one another; that one continued in Bactriana, their ancient habitation, and that the other moved towards those countries that lay to the east, beyond the Jaxartes, between the spring of that river and Mount Imaus.” Stephen Quayle\textsuperscript{97}

Due to the failure of the 19th century Nordicists to understand the correlation between Celtic culture and what they called the Alpine race, they associated the genetic traits of the Nordic peoples with those few remaining isolated groups of Celts which had not mixed their bloodlines with the Mediterranean populations. Of course, the Nordic theory was only developing parallel to the work of Gregor Mendel, so they could not have understood the inheritance of genetic traits, and it was not without reason that evidence which later contradicted their theories was dismissed as “Jewish science.” All the way through the Third Reich, it was hypothesized that what are now understood to be the recessive traits of the “Nordic man” were genetically superior to others, and that a Nordic line could “breed out” the dominant traits.

This in no way detracts from the notion that the “Aryan race” was less polluted with what they perceived to be contaminants (non-ET DNA), but insomuch as the Celtic bloodlines of Ireland were just as pure according to their own theory, there is no reason to believe that they were actually from Aryan descent. It was, in fact, the Gauls (descendants of Gomer) who were the Satanic/Aryan super-race, not the Finns and the Slavs (descendants of Gomer’s brother Meshech). As these same people were the Ashkenazis, the Aryan race is none other than the so-called Jewish race. This secret has been guarded by the Synagogue of Satan for many centuries, and it is doubtful that any but the most trusted of the global elites and initiates of certain “anti-Semitic” institutions are privy to it. The Nordic ideal actually comes from the bloodlines of the tribe of Dan through the Danes who became the ethnic masters of Europe.
After the Flood, the Gomerites came to the ancient land of Albania (now Azerbaijan and Dagestan), the original name of which was Aran or, according to the Zend Avesta, Airyana Vaego (‘first land’). (This is the origin of the term ‘Aryan.’) Spreading west as well as east, the Gomerites were the ones who settled the British Isles, which they named Albion. Out of the undisturbed Gaelic languages and bloodlines of the Gomerites came Cinaed mac Alpin, founder of the House of Alpin and the Kingdom of Alba, so-named during the reigns of his successors. The beginnings of this kingdom are interesting, to say the least.

During the conquest of Palestine by the Israelites, the tribe of Dan inherited a small patch of land on the Mediterranean coast at the 33rd latitude and 33rd Parisian longitude, the very spot which the ancient traditions claim Lucifer landed. This was Phoenician territory, and it meant that the Danites were plagued by conflict with the much stronger Philistines. The word dan means ‘judge,’ and Dan was set apart from his brothers, having been prophesied to be their judge. The Danite Samson in particular played the most prominent role of any judge in the period of the Israelites’ war against their Philistine oppressors.

Dan is called the “lost tribe” because it is not accounted for in the 144,000 sealed in Revelation chapter 7. This is supposedly (according to Catholic tradition established by Irenaeus) because it fell into idolatry and was declared by the time of Amos as being cut off from its inheritance. This hardly would have mattered to them, as their influence extended all the way to Greece where they became the ruling class of Danaans, to Jutland where they became known as the Danir (Danes), and to Ireland where they were known as the Tuatha Dé Danann after conquering and merging their bloodline with the ancient Gauls. The Tuatha were then conquered and assimilated by the Milesians from Spain, the last ethnic group to completely conquer Ireland. The colonization of these lands by the tribe of Dan makes sense, considering that their allotment was too small to support a large population, and that they were accused of taking to the customs of the seafaring Phoenicians as early as the judges period.

According to the book which bears his name, the prophet Jeremiah was selected by God to rule over the nations and kingdoms of the world. Jeremiah was the grandfather of King Zedekiah of Judah and the great-grandfather of the Judaean princess Tea Tephi. He acted as a counselor to the young king who, the Bible says, was evil, and whose kingdom fell to the Babylonians as a result. Sometime before this, Jeremiah fled to Egypt with the princess and his scribe Simon Baruch. He eventually wound up in Ireland where the Milesian high king honored him and made him the regent of his kingdom. He brought with him the Stone of Scon, which was then used to coronate the kings of the Dál Riata and their Scottish descendants. Tea Tephi was married to the Gallic-Hamitic-Danite prince and future king, thereby uniting all of the noteworthy Satanic bloodlines with the royal house of Judah under Jeremiah’s guidance, and ultimately making possible the rise of the Satanic-Luciferian world government by direct succession from the Synagogue of Satan’s founder King Solomon. To this day the royal family in Britain claims divine right to rule as the supposed heirs of the House of David.
Chapter 4 - The House of Alpin

The Sinclairs

Monasticism was introduced to Christianity primarily by the great Gnostic theologian and denounced heretic Origen, who was a staunch opponent of the Ophite sects, as well as of the Manichaean sect which later came to govern the Roman Catholic Church. Origen’s monastic views were spread through his school in Alexandria and later emerged in Europe through the religious views of the canonized 6th century hermit Benedict di Nursia. Benedict completed the most important book of precepts for monks living in community under the authority of an abbot, known as the Rule of St. Benedict.107 He was influenced by the mysterious Rule of the Master, the Rules of Pachomius and Augustine, and by Basil of Caesarea’s 4th century Ascetica which is still used by the Orthodox Church.108

The life of Benedict is known through Pope Gregory I who was deeply inspired by him. Gregory founded and joined a Benedictine monastery and became the first pope with a monastic background, elected in 590.109 His writings and monastic background set the standard for the future of not only the Papacy, but also the Catholic priesthood in general. He is one of only three popes to be called ‘the Great,’ and many of the monastic traditions are described as Gregorian due to his influence.110 He was also one of the first four men to be styled a doctor of the Church.111

Monasticism is basically synonymous with Gnosticism, as the ancient Gnostics like Origen all regarded Gnosticism as a way of life, rather than as an institution or belief system. For this reason it has always been unpopular within the Church’s hierarchy. (Despite its continuous existence in the Middle East, monasticism is still forbidden in Islamic cultures and expressly prohibited by the Qur’an.)112 However, Rome’s authority has always rested on its willingness to compromise with the religious societies outside its original sphere of influence; popes who have been at times unaware of the subversive nature of these groups, inspired by their piety, or even—as in the case of the Medici popes—complicit in their schemes, have allowed them into their domain, the only real stipulation being that they respect the authority of the Holy See.

The protection of the Church has given the various monastic orders the opportunity to practice their esotericism uninhibited by the Inquisition, with the exception of the Papal bull which temporarily banned the Society of Jesus on account of Weishaupt’s treachery. By then the Jesuits were far more powerful than the Papacy, and the Church was far too late to save itself. However, the Church has maintained enough power to quell dissent during the strong centralized reign of several popes. As long as it is able to keep the influence of the various monastic orders in check, there is nothing for the Church to fear except subversion of the Papacy itself, as all Catholics are obliged to submit to Papal authority, regardless of rank. Ultimately the Church’s undoing has been the result of its unwillingness to compromise, as evidenced by events such as Barbarossa’s invasion of Italy and the Reformation of Germany and England.
Sometimes the pope is a mere stooge representing a political party, as the office of the Papacy is extremely coveted. This was especially true at the end of the 12th century when the monastic orders based on the Rule of St. Benedict became the dominant force in ecumenical politics. Dominic founded the Dominican Order after being inspired by the ascetic piety of the Albigenses of southern France. Francesco di Assisi established the Franciscan Order after asking God for enlightenment. Later on the Jesuits sprung out of the influence of wealthy Illuminist families such as the Medici and the Colonnas, and even the older Augustinian Order inadvertently helped Illuminism by producing Martin Luther.

One of the monastic movements to come out of the original Benedictine Order was the Cistercian Order, made famous by Bernard de Clairvaux, the most influential Catholic cleric of the Middle Ages. The Supreme Military Order of the Temple of Jerusalem states that at least two of the original nine Templars were previously Cistercians, and that “Many would simply see this transfer as one that took place between the monastic and the military arm of the same order, for the Cistercians and the Knights Templar were so closely linked by ties of blood, patronage and shared objectives that many Templar scholars believe that they were two arms from the same body.”

According to Guillaume de Tyre the Order was founded by a vassal of the Count of Champagne, a certain Hugh de Payen, acting in collaboration with André de Montbard, the uncle of Bernard of Clairvaux. In 1118, the two knights along with seven companions presented themselves to the younger brother of Godfroi de Bouillon who had accepted the title of King Baudoin I of Jerusalem. [...] There is a secret Templar archive in the principality of Seborga in northern Italy which has recently been discovered containing documents that demand further study. It is claimed that St Bernard of Clairvaux founded a monastery there in 1113, to protect a ‘great secret’. This monastery under the direction of its abbot, Edouard, contained two monks who had joined the order with Bernard, two knights who took the names of Gondemar and Rosal on their profession as monks. One document claims that in February 1117 Bernard came to this monastery, released Gondemar and Rosal from their vows and then blessed these two monks and their seven companions, prior to their departure to Jerusalem. This departure was not immediate and did not take place until November 1118. The seven companions of the two ex-Cistercians are listed as follows: André de Montbard, Count Hugh I de Champagne, Hugh de Payen, Payen de Montdidier, Geoffroi de Sainte-Omer, Archambaud de St Amand and Geoffroi Bisol. The document records that St Bernard nominated Hugh de Payen as the first grand master of the Poor Militia of Christ and that Hugh de Payen was consecrated in this position by the Abbot Edouard of Seborga. Supreme Military Order of the Temple of Jerusalem

Bernard became the advisor of Pope Honorius II, wielding far more political power than the latter, a fact which undoubtedly contributed to Honorius sanctioning the order in 1128. The Templars had just finished their excavations in Palestine and had only recently returned to France. They did not stay, however, and instead went on to Scotland, because Hugh de Payens was supposedly kin to the Sinclair family through marriage. In truth, Catherine St. Clair was so called because her husband Hugh was a Sinclair himself, as there is no conceivable way that a woman in medieval Scotland would have maintained her maiden name after her marriage unless she happened to be married to a relative, which would make their marriage as kin all the more likely. In any case, Hugh and the rest of them were all Norman Scots by birth, contrary to popular legend.
Upon the Templars’ return to Scotland, King David I of the Scots is said to have granted the land of Balantrodoch to the order after allegedly meeting with Sir Hugh. David is also credited with bestowing the rank of knighthood to Henri de St. Clair. Of all the hereditary feudal titles in medieval Scotland, only Henri’s bloodline survived into the present day without a challenge, and of the original Templars, only his identity has not been obscured.

The name of St. Clair is an old one, and it comes from the Latin Sanctus Clarus meaning ‘holy light,’ in reference to “illumination.” There were multiple St. Clairs in France in the 9th and 10th centuries, but the general consensus among scholars is that the so-called “hermit of St. Clare” and first of the line of Sinclairs was Rollo, the Viking nobleman and first Duke of Normandy who converted to Christianity in 912. He is mentioned in the semi-historical Orkneyinga Saga, and the family of Viking earls in Orkney were called the Sinclairs of the Isles. A close study of the titles relevant to the Scottish throne will show that the Sinclairs of Orkney and of Pentland were the only families to escape the coup d’état of Robert I of Scotland, and that they merged with each other and with the Comyns before and during the crises of the 14th century. This is because they were the original noble family of part-Scottish, part-Norman and part-French ancestry whence all the others were descended.

Through Rollo were descended the dukes of Normandy and Anjou. Rollo’s grandson Richard I ‘the Fearless’ was the father of Richard II de Normandie and of Robert, Archbishop of Rouen. Richard was the grandfather of Guillaume ‘le Bastard’ who conquered England and sired the nobility of Europe. Robert married Herleva, Countess of Evereux, and became the ancestor of Fulk V ‘the Young’ de Anjou, who was arguably the most influential Templar of all time. Before joining the order and becoming King of Jerusalem, he passed his inheritance to his son Geoffrei V ‘the Fair’ de Anjou, and was thus the ancestor of the Plantagenet dynasty which became the most powerful family in Europe.

Richard II was also the father of Mauger who inherited the Archbishopric of Rouen after Robert. Mauger’s sons Valderne and Hamon died in battle at Val-es-Dunes near Caen contesting their cousin William the Bastard’s succession as Duke of Normandy. Mauger’s other son Hubert fought on William’s side and was rewarded with lands after the conquest of Britain. Valderne’s son William ‘the Seemly’ was also killed in battle against William (now ‘the Conqueror’) when he defended Scotland on behalf of the queen consort St. Margaret, having fled to her court and followed her there all the way from Hungary. It was actually due to William’s bravery that his son Henri de St. Clair inherited Balantrodoch on behalf of the Templars.

William ‘the Seemly’ was fighting against William ‘the Conqueror’ who was annoyed that Malcolm III (Canmore) of Scotland had given refuge to the Saxon Princess, Margaret and to Edgar ‘the Atheling’ who was the rightful heir (in some eyes) to the English throne.

William ‘the Conqueror’ sent a great army under the command of the Duke of Gloucester to invade Scotland.

William ‘the Seemly’ St Clair had been given the task of defending the border against the possibility of an English attack. When the attack came, Malcolm reinforced the Sinclair forces with those commanded by the Earls of March and Monteith. During the ensuing battle William Sinclair dashed forward with his forces ‘to put the enemy out of order’.
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The report goes on to say: “He was slain by a multitude of his enimnes but not before he made fall many in heaps down by his feet”.

The news of his death coming to the two other chieftains, March and Monteith, they fall ‘so boldly upon the enimie that they scarce left any alive.’

The King and Queen lamented this misfortune and gave William’s son, Henry Sinclair, the lands of Rosslyn ‘in free heritage’ (his father had held it in ‘life rent’); made him a knight and a captain of 600 men.

Henry outlived Malcolm but was equally respected by King David I of Scotland who gave him the lands of Cardain and the command of 8,000 men. He routed the English army at the Battle of Allerton (now in Yorkshire) thereby avenging the death of his father. Niven Sinclair.

The Comyns

The link established by the Sinclairs represents an unbroken succession between the tribe of Dan and the houses of Europe, traceable at least to Njord of the Swedes (b. 214) and the ancient kings of Finland. The most remarkable thing about the Sinclair family, other than its being the only one that did not lose power to the Stewarts through both its lines, is that it actually represents a direct line of succession from the original Templars to the royal houses of Europe as well as their own holdings. One of them is even said to have been the duke of Oldenburg at one time. It is possible that Robert I feared or revered the Sinclairs, or that he was even their puppet king, but whatever the case, it seems as though their authority over the Templars was something which Robert was not willing to challenge. Instead he used this authority to usurp the crown of Scotland, as he himself was one of them, though certainly not the patrilineal heir.

The Sinclairs may have been the only established family that Robert I was not willing to challenge in one way or another, but the Comyns were the one which he had to challenge in order to steal the throne of Scotland from David’s descendants. Sinclairs have held Rosslyn since the 11th century and they built Rosslyn Chapel, but the actual leader of the Scottish army at the Battle of Rosslyn which the chapel commemorates was John Comyn. Speaking of the Comyns, the 16th century chronicler Buchanan says “the power of this family has never been equaled in Scotland, either before or since.” What Buchanan probably did not know is that their power exceeded anything yet revealed to the public until the publication of the present book.

The name of Comyn is supposed to have come from a village called Comines (Komen in Dutch) in Flanders. This etymology, while probably accurate, assumes too much. Like every other family name in the 12th century in Scotland, it is probably either native to that country or else a title. Nevertheless, this etymology is just as likely, as it explains the association between the Flemish Knights Templar and the Sinclair family of Scotland.
The Comyn family emerged from the House of Alpin when Máel Coluim mac Cínáeda died without leaving any sons to succeed him. His daughters respectively married Sigur, a Norse earl of Orkney, and Crínán, a somewhat obscure hereditary lay abbot of Dunkeld and mormaer (king or duke) of Atholl. This latter union established the House of Dunkeld. Up until that point the dukes of Atholl were apparently not all that significant, but under the House of Dunkeld and the House of Stewart, control of the small territory symbolized the legal claim to the throne of Scotland and the seat of the Knights Templar, and consequently, of Freemasonry.

Crínán became the ruler of what had been the Kingdom of Alba while the Pictish kings of Moray fought over the throne of the Scots. He was killed in battle with Mac Bethad of Moray (Shakespeare’s MacBeth), along with his son and heir apparent Maldred. He was succeeded by his other son Duncan I, who met the same fate. Sovereignty over Moray represented a precarious position for the House of Dunkeld after Duncan’s death, and his widow was forced to take his sons into hiding. Two of them became kings over the Scots in their own right, and the other (Máel Muire or Malmore) became the Mormaer of Atholl.

As the youngest son of Duncan’s heir Malcolm III, David I of Scotland was the figurehead of a group of nobles which fled to the Sinclair court in Normandy to escape the dynastic crisis. (The families which ruled Scotland and Normandy were now both junior branches of the Norwegian family which ruled Orkney.) He returned to take the throne after 30 years of exile, in 1124, and brought back with him men who would become the chiefs of the most important families, such as the Bruces, Balliols, Comyns and Stewarts. This fact alone is conclusive proof that all of the families in Scotland which had claims to the throne in the 13th century and whose influence turned Scotland from a Gaelic culture to a French one were descended from the Sinclairs. There can be little doubt that each of them was a Knight Templar at some point, either initiated when the Templars came to Scotland, or because they themselves were the original Templars, having actually spent their exile in the Holy Land.\textsuperscript{129}

Apart from being the liege lord of Hugh de Payens in France, Hugh de Champagne was also the first count of that region, ruling between 1093 and 1124 (the exact same period as the exile from Scotland), abdicating his territory to the order after their return. It is therefore apparent that the Norman-Pictish nobles were given the region of Flanders in compensation for their defeat by Mac Bethad, and that Hugh de Champagne was none other than David I himself. His vassal Hugh de Payens was also, therefore, David’s own uncle Malmore, or possibly his cousin Maddoc. The King, in effect, was a vassal of the Grand Master, whose claim to the throne was more legitimate than his own. This is why Atholl became the seat of the Templars, and why David gave the order so many liberties. The order did not even exist in Scotland prior to this episode; afterward, it created the feudal system which has endured since the 12th century, and wielded the whole power of the monarchy behind the scenes for many generations.

The position of Hugh de Champagne in this whole affair is curious and confusing in the extreme. There is a letter to him from the Bishop of Chartres dated 1114, congratulating him on his intention to join la Milice du Christ, which is another name for the Knights Templar. He certainly took up a form of lay associate membership of the order in 1124 and thereby created a bizarre anomaly in feudal terms, for by joining the Order and swearing obedience to its Grand Master Hugh de Payen he came under the direct control of a man who in the normal social order of things was his own vassal. Supreme Military Order of the Temple of Jerusalem\textsuperscript{130}
The list of nobles who emigrated with David I from the English (i.e., Franco-Norman) court in 1124 includes the name of William Comyn, a clergyman who was appointed Lord High Chancellor. As he was the first Comyn in Scotland and the first to bear the name, this suggests that the title was given by David, who is known to have established Scotland’s feudal system. The title of ‘justiciar’ which he was given, in Latin, would have been *comus*, which was used for dozens of separate offices held by Roman officials. If the name did not originate in Flanders, then it is also likely that it evolved from *comus* into *Cominus* or *Comines*. There were certainly members of the family that are known to have held Roman titles even after the family’s fall from grace, including one John Comyn, son of Alexander (who was another justiciar/grand master) and Constable (*comus stabuli* or ‘master of the stables’) of Scotland.

The name of David’s uncle (or cousin) Malmore (or Maddoc) of Atholl was simply the Gaelic name of William Comyn or Hugh de Payens, whose French and Latin names were used in the French-speaking Norman and Scottish courts. The French took precedence at some point because of David’s influence, whose 30 years in French-speaking territory began reflecting a new and heavily French influence on Scotland. Comyn’s son was also named William, known officially as Madeth Comes or Maddoc Comes. Unless the Flemish theory is correct, this title, originally given to his father, and because it is not French, is undoubtedly the origin of the family name of Comyn and was meant to apply specifically to the dukes of Atholl or earls of Buchan, being designated by this time as the grand masters of the Templars through their patrilineal descent from Hugh de Payens and the Sinclairs. This makes sense because the title of duke which was exclusive to Atholl in Scotland has a militaristic origin (from the Latin *dux bellorum* or ‘duke of war’), while ‘earl’ comes from the Scandinavian *jarl*, meaning ‘chieftain’.

Atholl became permanently removed from the rest of the kingdom when William’s grandson Harald Maddadson became Earl of Orkney in 1134. Harald was a notorious enemy of the Scots, but also the legitimate heir of Atholl, which was then held in contention between his Galwegian allies, with whom his offspring were married, and by his younger brother William who acquired Buchan by marriage. Either Harald’s son Henry Comyn (a.k.a. Heinrik Haraldsson of Orkney) was deposed, or else he gave up his inheritance in favor of Orkney, and the title of Atholl fell to his daughters in succession. The dukedom was administered by Isabella’s Galwegian retainers until her son Patrick of Galloway was old enough to take over, but he was murdered at a young age. The title then fell to his aunt Forbliath who married the Templar David de Hastings. From this point on, the royal houses’ claims to Atholl were probably based on an issue of hereditary nobility versus what the knights themselves apparently accepted.

When Robert I gained the throne, the Templars were outlawed and he refused to acknowledge David de Hastings’ line. As evidence that Robert was a Templar pretender, there were three successive generations of dukes in Atholl named David de Strathbogie who were not recognized in Scotland but were in England. (Technically, the Plantagenets still had the rightful claim to the Scottish throne, but they lacked the capacity to seize it. They recognized that Scotland could only be ruled by a Scot and therefore tried to install their puppet Edward Balliol instead.) The Scottish kings also each defiantly created a new title to contend with the one actually physically held by the Templars, each act a bold initiative considering their rule was never close to being stable. William Douglas was given the title in 1353 but resigned in favor of Robert Stewart (King Robert II of Scots) who was the fourth creation.
To give some idea of how coveted and autonomous this seemingly insignificant title may have once been, the Duke of Atholl commands the only state-sanctioned private army in Europe.\textsuperscript{136} The traditional residence of the dukes is Blair Castle which is said to have been commissioned by John Comyn while he was crusading in the Holy Land.\textsuperscript{137} John was the legitimate heir of the Scottish throne through both his parents, endorsed by the reigning king John Balliol who was also his uncle, and he even married the daughter of the Earl of Fife whose hereditary right it was to corone the new king. As the great leader and hero of the Scottish Wars of Independence, he was also the common people’s uncontested choice. The battles fought by the Sinclairs and the Comyns were written out of conventional Scottish history either by Robert the Bruce, because they were rivals to the throne which he coveted, or by the actual order itself in order to conceal their history from the public of Christendom, which considered them formally disbanded and excommunicated.

The Bruce had ample cause to wage a private war against the Comyns, as there were many claimants to the throne and it was apparent to everyone that John ‘the Red’ Comyn was the frontrunner. It was only a matter of time before his rule would be recognized by King Edward himself. In order to secure the throne for himself, the Bruce summoned Comyn to a secret parley in 1306. The Bruce arranged the meeting at Greyfriars Church in Dumfries as a guarantee of his good intentions, because it was inconceivable that a Catholic noble would violate a truce in a church, but the truce actually only lasted long enough for the usurper to stab him in cold blood.\textsuperscript{138} The murder did give him the initiative and enable his coup, but it did little to help his standing among the other nobles, especially the King of England, and he treated his excommunication with all the contempt of a true Templar grand master.\textsuperscript{139}

The Comyns responded to John’s assassination, but were defeated by Robert in 1307, just a few months before the Papal bull which ended the Templars’ glory was issued.\textsuperscript{140} The timing of the bull seems to indicate that the Church was wary of the implications of a power struggle within the order, which by then had already long since surpassed its own influence. Worse yet, the knights had now become united under a man who displayed open contempt for the Papacy, the English whom the Papacy loved, and even the sanctity of a parley arranged in a church. Whether the Papacy knew anything else about what was going on in secrecy is debatable, as at least some of the evidence against them seems to have been fabricated. Pope Clement V was not even convinced of the treachery of the group as a whole until he witnessed the confessions of many of its members which (unlike earlier confessions) were not induced by torture.

Owing to the fact that these events have been almost entirely excluded from the many hundreds of years of influence of the Bruce’s propagandists, it is not clear whether the Templars withdrew their support from him or whether they were divided between the Bruces and the Comyns, but legend and common sense both indicate that they were responsible for the Scots’ otherwise inexplicable victory over the English at Bannockburn in 1314, a battle which simply could not be left out of the historical record, considering that his claim to the throne depended on his having been there. (He was conspicuously absent from every other battle, and was regarded by all as a treacherous coward.) The Templars still sided with Robert at Bannockburn, so it is likely that his feud with the Comyns was simply the culmination of a power struggle within the order which was made more dire by affairs on the continent, and that it was supported because the other nobles wanted to exact revenge on the Comyns for the murders of their Galwegian masters. In all probability, each major event had been put into motion by a Templar conspiracy.
Robert probably realized more than any other contender that the future of the secret society which was to become Freemasonry depended on its ability to achieve an independent national rule in Scotland, under the rule of the descendants of Hugh de Payens (due to the transmission of pontifical powers allegedly stemming from the Johannites in direct succession from King Solomon), whereas the reigning king (John Balliol) was a stooge of England. Contrary to popular opinion, Jacques de Molay was not Grand Master of the Templars, but only Grand Master of the Templars in France. In the same way, different nations have always had their own Masonic grand masters of the grand lodges within their borders. The reason the order survived in Scotland but not in France is that the King of Scotland was subordinate to the Grand Master in his country (in theory, that is, but in practice Robert upset this system) through the feudal tradition of primogenitur, while King of France was not. (Technically, the King of France was subordinate to the Grand Master in Scotland, a claim which, if invoked, certainly would not have been recognized, just as the King of England was technically a vassal of the King of France and could not have cared less.) Robert I even evidently claimed to be the legitimate Grand Master of all Templars everywhere, perhaps due to the fact that the order legally (and in many ways, practically) ceased to exist outside of Scotland when it was disbanded by the Papacy. That is, of course, unless the fact that Bannockburn was fought in June 1314, three months after Jacques de Molay was burned—the same amount of time as it would have taken the English to must and army and mount an invasion—is simply a “coincidence” or an “accident.”

The Stewarts

The Stewarts were one of the original Templar families and were descended from Alan, Steward of Dol-de-Bretagne, who died during the First Crusade. His possessions passed to his brother Flaald, and then to Alan fitz Flaald. Walter fitz Alan accompanied David I to Scotland, where he was given the hereditary title High Steward and became a common ancestor of each of the noble families. The second Alan fitz Walter defeated Somerled in 1164. He also became a major benefactor of the Templars after they were driven out of Palestine in 1189, and went back with the Third Crusade in 1191. By the time Robert I usurped the throne a century later, all the claimants had been descendants of Alan fitz Walter.

Like the Comyns and all the other claimants, the Bruces’ influence was an extension of the Templars’ sovereignty stemming from the reign of David I, who had married into the Stewart line in order to consolidate his power. (This shows not only the importance of the Stewart family in Scotland prior to the Templars’ arrival, and that the Templars wanted to keep continuity between the old Pictish feudal system and the new French one, but also that David’s offspring were all Stewarts by birth.) Robert VI of Annandale fought with Adam de Kilcon cath who had married into the family of Carrick and died in battle during the Eighth Crusade. Robert went home to deliver the news to Adam’s Stewart wife, and then married her to take possession of her lands. Robert I was one of the products of this union. Most of the power which the Bruces obtained over the other earls was through Robert’s sisters, and through his daughter Margaret.
Princess Margaret married back into the Stewart line but spent her adolescence in confinement (on account of potential retaliations by the numerous enemies which her father had bequeathed her) and died delivering Robert II by Caesarian. Robert I’s only son David II enjoyed a long reign but was plagued by demands far exceeding his abilities and failed to produce an heir. To make matters worse, many of his nobles were killed at the Battle of Halidon Hill, in which the English retaliated for the Templars’ victories a generation earlier, while he was still a boy. Halidon Hill was a massacre—the most decisive “battle” of the Middle Ages except perhaps the debacle at Agincourt; 4500 Scots perished but only 14 Englishmen were killed. Among the casualties was Hugh de Ross, a brother-in-law of Robert I and father-in-law of Robert II.

The marriage of Margaret Bruce to Walter Stewart and the succession of their son Robert II to the throne finally stabilized the Bruces’ rule. (Along with being a direct descendant of David I, Walter Stewart was one of only a few notable Comyns left. His mother was Cecilia de Dunbar, daughter of Margaret Comyn. Without this marriage, and the succession of Robert II in particular, any allegiances which any of the other nobles still maintained for the Comyns would have represented a liability to the Bruces.) However, Robert took a mistress and produced two separate lines which then proceeded to compete with each other for the throne. The younger and more legitimate claimant was Walter Stewart, who acquired Atholl by means of treachery. The elder John Stewart emerged as the next king, adopting the name of Robert III, apparently in an attempt to get his continuity and legitimacy recognized. (He had a brother who was actually named Robert, and who attempted to seize the throne by murdering his heirs.) However, the king was perpetually sick, the fact of which worked to the advantage of his treacherous brothers.

When Robert III came to the throne, the title of Atholl (i.e., Grand Master) was passed to his son David Stewart as Duke of Rothesay, a new title given in perpetuity to the crown prince or heir apparent. However, the title did nothing to establish his rule. Rothesay was imprisoned at Falkland Palace and died in or around 1402 at the hands of his own uncle Robert, then already Regent and 1st Duke of Albany. Albany assumed the title of Atholl for the years which he had his nephew imprisoned. Whether it was even still meaningful by this time is subject to speculation, but it was certainly still coveted enough to cause Albany to kill his nephew over it.

Robert III’s power was already eclipsed by his brother, and it was obvious at this point that Albany was going to kill his other son James as soon as he had the chance. James was sent to France for his safety, but was captured by the English. Robert allegedly died when he heard the news, but more likely he was assassinated by his brother. He was succeeded by James in exile, and Albany was again left as Regent (i.e., de facto King), with Buchan, Fife and Menteith as his personal possessions, having lost Atholl to Walter when Robert died. Walter also accumulated Strathearn in 1427 and gained back Caithness in 1431 after his son died without an heir. After procuring the release of his own son Murdoch and leaving James in the custody of the English, Albany died in 1420, and Murdoch became the new Albany.

Walter moved quickly to extend his influence by acquiring the release of James and orchestrating Albany’s downfall. When James returned in 1424, he had Albany’s whole family killed at Walter’s behest. James was now the only obstacle to the throne, and Walter also had him murdered in 1437. However, the conspirators were arrested and put to the most brutal form of justice available in medieval Europe. Walter’s public torture lasted no less than three days before his execution, at which time the Templars forfeited all of their remaining titles.
The next creation of Atholl was given to John Stewart of Balveny, the oldest son of Joan Beaufort, the widowed consort of James. (His brother was also made a new creation of Buchan, which had been one of the two hereditary possessions of the Comyn family.) John was given the task of suppressing the rebellion caused by James II’s purge of the Black Douglas family which had been murdered in the aftermath of Walter Stewart’s conspiracy. James’ descendant James VI passed the title of Atholl to the Murray clan in 1606 where it has remained ever since, although it has not been continuous and has not retained its prominence over the Scottish Rite of Freemasonry, which is now dominated by the German Mountbatten-Windsor royal family.

James VI advanced the religion of Luciferianism as it was brought to Europe by the Templars and continued by the upper ranks of the Scottish Freemasons. This was all the more meaningful when he ascended to the throne of England, Ireland and Wales as James I. Ironically, Henry VIII of England was afraid that his throne would go to a Scot, so he expressly excluded James’ grandmother Margaret Tudor and her descendants from his will. Despite this, James still became the leading contender after Mary I’s execution, as he was her only child and Elizabeth had none. Thus the crowns of England and Scotland were merged in 1603, and the United Kingdom of Great Britain was formed in 1707.

Compared to other learned men of his age, James was a brilliant scholar. His efforts consisted mostly of arguments for the divine right of kings and absolute monarchy, which had not previously taken form outside the bounds of what was now the 600-year secret tradition of the order. (This is essentially what caused the English Civil War during the reign of his son and successor Charles I.) He was the first monarch to encourage the transition from Aristotelianism to modern Illuminism, and personally solicited Francis Bacon to work to this end. (Technically, Bacon had already been working on it for several decades before James’ succession, but the English colonization of North America, which was Bacon’s primary concern, did not begin until his reign.) Bacon’s book The Advancement of Learning is dedicated to him. James even took to writing himself, and although he is often viewed as a Christian apologist, this is due mostly to his commissioning the first “accepted” translation of the Bible into English, which itself was done in spite of the Catholic Church and effectively served to spread the Illuminism of John Calvin both in Britain and in the New World.

In truth, James was neither a Christian nor an apologist, and his stance on religion was an entirely political affair. He seems to have enjoyed his position as the head of the Church of England because it gave him the same authority over his own people as the Roman pontiff had over his, and made sure that all the pagan customs of the Romans and the English alike were left intact. The effect his discourses and his infamous witchhunts had was to increase awareness both of witchcraft and of Satanism, while forever staining the reputation of the supposedly Protestant version of Christianity by treating witches with as little compassion as Catholics are known for. In Daemonologie (1597), he provides descriptions of “Sorcerie and Witch-craft in Speicall” and “all these kindes of Spirits that troubles men or wo-men,” and he argues “that these unlawfull artes of this sort (in genere), have bene and may be put in practise.”
Chapter 5 - The House of Orange

The Windsors

It is a curious pattern of history that reflects the fact that of the billions of people on this planet, the same people who have been responsible for fomenting the World Wars are all from the same place and the same family. The amount of cooperative effort it takes to perpetrate these Hegelian feuds is best evidenced by the fact that the entire network of nobility in Europe is descended from a man who lived and died less than five centuries ago. Willem I of Orange-Nassau became the common ancestor of all the ruling families by exploiting every opportunity available to conquer the social, political and ecumenical institutions of his day. His descendants carried on his legacy, and regardless of his own personal leanings, many of them became Illuminists through the early influence of James I of the United Kingdom.

The first descendant of Willem I to join the globalization movement was his great-grandson Willem III of Orange (also William III of the United Kingdom). William and his father Willem II were both born after the deaths of their fathers. For William, this meant he was raised by his mother Mary Stewart, daughter of James I’s son Charles I. She died while he was still young, however, and her brother Charles II became his legal guardian. William III was thus the grandson of Charles I, the nephew and adopted son of Charles II, as well as Prince of Orange. He married his cousin Mary Stewart, the daughter of James II, and successfully conspired in 1690 to overthrow his father-in-law as King of England.

William III died without an heir, and Friedrich I of Prussia claimed Orange for himself (with eyes obviously on England) as the next cognatic heir. After the reign of Queen Anne, the last Stewart monarch, the thrones of Great Britain and Ireland passed to Georg Ludwig, then Elector of Hanover. The sovereignty of the House of Hanover represented a major loss of power for the Illuminati before the Order in Bavaria had even been established, and undoubtedly inspired the American Revolutionary War. However, as George I did not even speak fluent English, it was also only a small step for the Illuminati in Germany to steal the British throne, and Hanover itself was annexed to Prussia in 1866.

William IV of the House of Hanover also died without any heir, providing the Illuminati the opportunity they needed to regain the United Kingdom. The throne passed to the daughter of his deceased younger brother Prince Edward Augustus, Duke of Kent and Strathearn, who held the highest ranks of the various orders within Scottish Freemasonry. Even Edward Augustus was the grandson of Princess Augusta of Sachsen-Gotha. It is fitting, then, that in 1837 the daughter he never knew became Queen of the United Kingdom. She was, after all, raised by her very German-minded mother Princess Viktoria of Sachsen-Coburg and Gotha. Owing to public sentiments (because they were Germans), the family which had enticed its nation into a war with its own homeland changed its name to Windsor in 1917.
The sovereignty of this old Illuminist family is a testament to the monumental stupidity of the masses which it has bent to its will. Considering that the events of the English Civil War deposed James I’s successor and then installed his grandson (proof that people think they need to be ruled by a personality rather than a government), or considering the fact that the 15/16 German Queen Victoria chose her 100% German cousin rather than any Englishman for her husband, it would seem that the people of England would rather have a family of Bavarian Illuminati ruling over them than no monarch at all. The progenitor of the House of Windsor was Ernst Friedrich, Duke of Sachsen-Coburg-Saalfeld between 1764 and 1800. When Adam Weishaupt found himself being hunted by the elector of Bavaria he appealed to Ernst Friedrich’s relative Ernst Ludwig, or Ernst II of Sachsen-Gotha-Altenburg. Ernst greatly admired Weishaupt and decided to not only give him asylum, but a title and pension as well.

Ernst Ludwig was rewarded for his loyalty to the Order. Within just a few years, the family which became the House of Windsor was established on the thrones of both Belgium and the United Kingdom. Ernst Friedrich’s grandson Ernst acquired Gotha when Ernst Ludwig’s male line went extinct upon the death of his son August. The new duke of Sachsen-Coburg-Gotha was the father of Prince Albert who married Queen Victoria of the United Kingdom, herself the daughter of Ernst’s sister Marie Luise Viktoria. The children of Queen Victoria and Prince Albert included Edward VII, whose descendants have continuously ruled the United Kingdom, Prince Arthur, who married the granddaughter of Friedrich Wilhelm III of Prussia and sired the monarchs of Denmark and Sweden, and Princess Victoria, who married Friedrich III of Germany and was the mother of Kaiser Wilhelm.

The House of Windsor went on to merge with the other prominent bloodlines of the whole Illuminist network during the 20th century. Queen Elizabeth II married Prince Philip of the House of Schleswig-Holstein-Sonderburg-Glücksburg. Their son Charles is the heir apparent of the new Mountbatten-Windsor dynasty. He married Diana Spencer, who was chosen because the Spencers have close ties to Illuminism and the English monarchy, and also because she was descended from the Stewarts. Charles’ and Diana’s sons Prince William and Prince Harry are therefore the direct descendants and spiritual heirs (through the Illuminati policy of merging the noble bloodlines, for the purpose of centralization of power) of the monarchs of Austria, Belgium, Denmark, France, Hungary, Germany, the Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Sweden and the United Kingdom, and (through the House of Wettin) also Bulgaria, Poland and Portugal—not to mention the many colonial territories and defunct titles such as Saxony, Russia, Finland, Italy and Greece—all since these nations were taken over by the Bavarian Illuminati.

The merger of the Mountbatten and Windsor dynasties was obviously intended to enable a single family to preside over the world government, or else to transfer a massive amount of power to some other incarnation of Lucifer, a fact which has now been made plainly evident by the circumstances of the marriage of Prince William to Catherine Middleton, and the subsequent announcement of her pregnancy on 3 Dec 2012 (which the present author boldly predicted, many months in advance, would occur on that date). The royal family in Britain are at the head of several exclusive Masonic orders, some of which date back to the 12th century and possibly earlier. The order which seems to be closest to the original Scottish Rite is the Most Ancient and Most Noble Order of the Thistle. The English equivalent of the Order of the Thistle is the Most Noble Order of the Garter, the vestments of which James I chose for his famous royal portrait toward the end of his life. The Irish equivalent of these is the now-defunct Order of St. Patrick.
In recent times, titles granted by these orders have been passed to the sons of monarchs who have not been the heirs apparent of the various royal families. (For instance, Prince Harry is being groomed to fill the next vacancy for the various Masonic orders, while Prince William is next in line for the throne after his father.) As Duke of Connaught and Strathearn (i.e., Grand Master of both Irish and Scottish Freemasonry), Prince Arthur was also a Knight of the Garter, and of the Thistle, and of St. Patrick. On top of these, he was also a Knight of St. Michael and St. George, the Star of India, the Indian Empire and the British Empire. He was also the head of the Order of the Bath, the United Grand Lodge of England and the Order of St. John.¹⁵⁹

Since Prince Arthur’s death and the murder of his grandnephew Prince George (both in 1942), the applicable titles have been passed to George’s son Prince Edward, who also holds several foreign titles and is Grand Principle of Royal Arch Masons.¹⁶⁰ Edward was only 7 when he became Duke of Kent, and was groomed for the senior position of the political faction of the New World Order in the same way that Prince Harry has been. He was educated at the Institut le Rosey in Switzerland which, at the time, was the most prestigious boarding school among the world’s elite Illuminists.¹⁶¹ He became Grand Master of the United Grand Lodge of England and of the Order of St. Michael and St. George in 1967, 25 years after his father’s death interrupted the succession. He seems to prefer the vestments of the latter, either because the British Honours system is regarded higher than Freemasonry by the nobility (which makes sense, considering that anyone 21 or older who simply believes in God can become a Freemason, while the British Honours system is very exclusive), or because it is not as secretive. The title Duke of Strathearn is obviously still the official title for the head of the Ancient and Accepted Scottish Rite, as it has remained in the hands of each of its grand masters (including Prince Edward) via the late medieval Sinclairs.

Although the House of Savoy is no longer the ruling house of Italy (as that nation has become a republic), it is also part of the House of Windsor, because Umberto II of Italy married the great-granddaughter of Leopold I of Saxe-Coburg-Gotha. Leopold was also King of the Belgians from 1831 to 1865. The current king is his direct descendant Albert II, who was born the year before Prince Edward, and was also educated at the Institut le Rosey (as was his brother Baudouin, the previous king). Unlike the Windsors, the royal family in Belgium has not formally changed its name to something else, but the members of this family are no longer known as Saxe-Coburg-Gotha, preferring to be called “of Belgium.”

Vittorio Emanuele II was the Illuminist from the House of Savoy who worked with Giuseppe Mazzini during the 19th century to overthrow the Catholic Church. The leader of this faction is designated Prince of Naples, technically a defunct title currently held by Vittorio Emanuele IV. He is perhaps the only person in the world whose jurisdiction in Freemasonry rivals that of Prince Edward. He claims to be the head or high ranking knight of the Orders of the Immaculate Conception, St. Alexander Nevsky, St. Andrew, St. Anne, the Redeemer, and the White Eagle. He is also the head of the Order of Malta and of the Constantinian Order of St. George, as well as being the chief claimant to the throne of Jerusalem (which the Illuminati regard as the only truly significant title for any sovereign, even though it is long defunct, as all authority is ultimately derived from it) and Grand Master of Propaganda Due.¹⁶²,¹⁶³
The Zollerns

In *The World At War*, we discussed how the Reformation divided the Holy Roman Empire and made it easier for the Illuminists to conquer. Nowhere is this more evident than in the formation of the German state of Prussia and its ruling family of Illuminists. This ruling family which ultimately became responsible for modern Illuminism and usurped the power of all the world’s political and economic institutions is known as Hohenzollern (‘House of Zollern’).

According to tradition, the Zollern family was started when a member of the Colonna family of Rome named Tassilo settled at Zolorin which is near Stuttgart early in the 9th century.\(^{164}\) The family split into its Swabian and Franconian lines in 1227.\(^{165}\) The senior Swabian branch was Catholic, while the junior Franconian branch became Protestant during the Reformation. This is the branch which we will concern ourselves with.

The Illuminist roots of the Franconian Zollerns are evident in the fact that Friedrich (or Frederick) VI von Nürnberg who established the dynasty was succeeded by his son Johann, and that the latter was popularly known as ‘the Alchemist.’ Friedrich became Margrave of Brandenburg-Anschab, and then Elector of Brandenburg, a predecessor of the Kingdom of Prussia. By 1502 the family was already married into the Bohemian, Hungarian (Hapsburg), Polish and Danish monarchies. Friedrich Wilhelm I married Luise Henriette of the House of Orange in 1646 to begin the slow merger of these two houses.

The lasting power of the House of Zollern was derived primarily from the medieval Teutonic Order which was established in Acre by crusaders from northern Germany after the debacle at Hattin.\(^{166}\) It was simply Germany’s nearly invincible version of the Templars or Hospitallers. The Papacy gave the Teutons orders to take Jerusalem back from the Turks, but instead they directed their crusades against all the non-Catholic lands of Northern Europe in order to establish a monastic rule over the entire Baltic region. An alliance of Poles and Lithuanians eventually resulted in the mandate being taken into (formerly Pagan) Catholic lands, and after two centuries, the expansion of the Teutonic Order finally ceased when they were soundly defeated at the Battle of Grunwald in 1410.

From then on the influence of the Teutonic Order and the quasi-independent Livonian Order steadily declined. Friedrich’s great-grandson Albrecht (Albert) became the last Grand Master of the Teutonic Order, resigning in 1525 and becoming instead the first Duke of Prussia. Albrecht espoused Lutheranism and turned Prussia into the first Protestant state.\(^{167}\) What had once been the Catholic Church’s vehicle of expansion in the North was now the instrument of its political downfall due to Albrecht’s conversion.

Friedrich III was styled Friedrich I as the *könig in Preußen* (‘king in Prussia’) in 1701, as Prussia had never been part of the Holy Roman Empire but had lands there, and Friedrich II was eventually styled King of Prussia in 1772.\(^{168}\) The Teutonic Order, now known formally as the Kingdom of Prussia, went on to conquer the rest of the German states under the direction of Friedrich I’s son Friedrich Wilhelm I and grandson Friedrich II. Friedrich Wilhelm’s other children included Prince August Wilhelm and Princess Louise Ulrike.
Friedrich II ‘the Great’ was the most brilliant and successful war strategist of the Enlightenment era monarchs or field commanders, and the father of the modern German nation. He is generally regarded as one of the “enlightened despot” because his impact on 18th century European Illuminism was so profound that it is not an exaggeration to accredit it to him. His influence was so deep that he was able to bring Illuminism out from the shadows and turn it into the mainstream religion of Europe within the span of a little more than a decade. By the time of his death in 1786, the Order of the Illuminati had wrested control of the American colonies from the House of Hanover and was rapidly encroaching on the Bourbons in France.

August Wilhelm’s daughter Friederike married Willem V of Orange, further solidifying the House of Zollern’s claim over the House of Orange, which it already encompassed. His son Friedrich Wilhelm II succeeded Friedrich II and carried on his uncle’s Illuminist policies with even more fervor. His offspring married into the royal houses of Russia and the United Kingdom and included Wilhelm I, the first kaiser of the German Empire. It was Wilhelm’s son Friedrich III that married Princess Victoria, the daughter of Queen Victoria who gave birth to Emperor Wilhelm II, the supposed enemy of Great Britain during World War One. This was not the only marriage between the two families; Prince Arthur also married Friedrich Wilhelm III’s great-granddaughter. Like so many others we have already covered, August Wilhelm was therefore a common ancestor of all the royal houses in Europe.

Louise Ulrike married Adolf Fredrik of Sweden. Her line was eventually merged with the House of Oldenburg after a few marriages into the titular families of Hessen-Kassel, the major stronghold of Illuminism at that time and home to the Rothschilds. This makes Princess Louise another common ancestor of the royal houses. By the time of her death in 1782 Scandinavia had already been Illuminized, but her son Gustav III opposed the Illuminati and had to be assassinated by the Jacobins before the dynastic line actually converted once and for all.

The Oldenburgs

The Oldenburgs are an old German family which eventually became the dominant house on the European continent. Christian VIII of Oldenburg became King of Denmark in 1448, and within the next decade the family ruled all of Scandinavia. This is why the marriage of Louise Ulrike to Adolf Fredrik was so critical to the early spread of Illuminism. During the period which saw the Order of the Illuminati flourish, the Oldenburgs’ foothold in Scandinavia was strengthened by marriages with the landgraves of Hessen-Kassel, without which the Illuminists may have lost Scandinavia to more conservative and patrilineal elements.

When the House of Oldenburg became an overtly Illuminist family, it split into two main factions: the senior Holstein-Gottorp line which ruled over Imperial Russia, and the Schleswig-Holstein-Sonderburg-Glücksburg line which has ruled over Denmark since 1863 and Norway since 1905. Schleswig was merged with Holstein in 1773, and the Holstein-Gottorp line became the grand dukes of Oldenburg.
The first easily recognizable Illuminist of the Holstein-Gottorp line was Sophie Auguste Friederike, better known as Ekaterina (or Catherine) II ‘the Great’ of Russia. She was another one of the “enlightened despots,” having married the Duke of Prussia who became Tsar Pyotr Fyodorovitch of Russia. Her son (and not Pyotr’s, by most accounts) was Pavel (or Paul) I Petrovitch of Russia. Catherine conspired to murder her husband and ruled over Russia herself from 1762 to 1796.\(^{173}\)

The Oldenburgs kept their German Illuminati ancestry pure even in Russia. Paul’s son Nikolai married Friedrich Wilhelm III’s daughter Charlotte. The Russian emperors were therefore both Zollerns and Oldenburgs (but not Russians) beginning in 1801. Nikolai Pavlovich’s grandson married Princess Dagmar, daughter of Princess Louise and Christian IX of Denmark, so even the separate lines of the Oldenburgs were merged in successive generations.

The pseudo-Russian branch was ultimately exterminated by the Bolsheviks. What is left of the House of Oldenburg is comprised of the other descendants of Princess Louise (a descendant of Louise Ulrike), and also Prince Christian of Schleswig-Holstein-Sonderburg-Beck who became Christian IX of Denmark.\(^{174}\) Their children simultaneously ruled over Russia, Greece, Denmark and the United Kingdom either as the monarch or consort to the monarch of these nations. Their other offspring also include the current monarchs of Norway, Sweden and Belgium, as well as Queen Sofia of Spain. They were the most recent common ancestors of the entire network of European monarchs.

If not for the Mountbatten-Windsors which they themselves produced through the primary male and secondary female lines, the Oldenburgs would be the most powerful family in the world. Prince Philip was actually the heir to thrones of Greece and Denmark before abdicating to marry Elizabeth, then the heir-presumptive of the United Kingdom. His cousin Marina also married Prince George and became the mother of Prince Edward.\(^{175}\) One of Christian IX’s daughters also married King Edward VII and was the mother of George V.

Due to the fact that the Oldenburgs are descended from Hessians and Prussians, they also have several interesting connections. For example, when Philip married Elizabeth, the British government prevented him from inviting his three surviving sisters because they had all married Nazis.\(^{176}\) In particular, Sophie’s first husband was Prince Christophe of Hesse, the SS officer in charge of the Forschungsamt who was murdered during the war.\(^{177}\) Philip and Sofia (of Spain) both attended a Jewish school run by Philip’s brother-in-law’s father Prince Maximilian of Baden. Maximilian was the crypto-Jewish conspirator selected to negotiate the mock surrender of Germany during WWI, and who announced Wilhelm II’s abdication without his permission, which is arguably one of the greatest crimes ever committed against a sovereign nation.\(^{178}\)

Although the Oldenburgs’ connections with the Nazis do not hold any real significance in light of the greater picture of the Third Reich’s origins, they do show the cooperative efforts among the highest class of nobility to destroy the disloyal or decentralized factions of their own families. The internationalist synthesis was made possible by the assistance of the closely related emperors of Russia and Germany who were both direct descendants of all four of the major houses of Europe, as well as the ones which they replaced, all of which came directly out of the nation of Germany and Adam Weishaupt’s *Orden der Illuminaten*. But ultimately it is not the royal families of Illuminists that rule the world. It is the banking families that do that.
Chapter 6 - The House of Rothschild

The Itzigs

As indicated in The World At War, the Synagogue of Satan (those who say they are Judaeans—that is, Yahudim—but are not and lie) are the group at the top of the New World Order’s hierarchy, in so far as it is understood that they operate independently of the Roman Curia. These Jews (who are not to be confused with Judaeans of Middle Eastern history) were also the ones who brought Illuminism to Europe over the course of several centuries. Even as the Templars merged Luciferianism and Satanism in Britain, so too did the Ashkenazi Jews and the Danish/Prussian Teutons merge them in Northern Europe.

One of the first things the kings of Prussia did after securing an Illuminist government independent of the influence of the Holy Roman Empire was hire the Synagogue of Satan to take care of their financial and dogmatic affairs. The names of the Hofjuden (literally ‘house Jews,’ or ‘court Jews’) who governed the financial institutions of Europe in the 17th and 18th centuries are not much different than what one would expect to see now. For instance, Samuel Oppenheimer was the court Jew of the Holy Roman Empire in the 17th century, well before the Oppenheimers came to power in Africa. Families like the Fränkels and Models wielded as much power as the nobles they served and were only overshadowed by other Jews such as Jost Liebmann.179

The court Jew of Friedrich II was Daniel Itzig, an 18th century descendant of a famous family of Talmudists. Daniel Itzig was given the title Master of the Mint under Friedrich and Court Banker under Friedrich Wilhelm II. As Friedrich’s conquests made Prussia the most powerful nation in the world, this made Daniel one of the most powerful men as well. The financial and dogmatic influence which this family wielded until the advent of the Rothschilds was practically absolute. (It was the Rothschilds that financed the Order in Bavaria.) They were favored enough to be formally classified as Christians by the state, and their loss of influence among Jewry after the second generation was probably due to the fact that many of them actually did convert to Christianity, which directly facilitated the ascendance of the Rothschilds.180

The aspect of the Enlightenment which the Itzigs were responsible for is known as the Jewish Enlightenment, because they were Jews and the Prussian nobles were not (otherwise it would have been called the Prussian or the German Enlightenment), but their agenda was really no different than that of the “enlightened” monarchs, except that they were primarily concerned with subverting and secularizing the religion of Judaism, whereas the “enlightened” monarchs were targeting institutionalized Christianity. Despite the unfavorable opinion of their work among Jews, revisionist historians generally consider the Jewish Enlightenment a success. Their efforts completed the fusion between Satanism and Luciferianism and made possible the rise of the Zionist movement, and also the revived form of Satanism (Pike’s “informal Atheism”) within Freemasonry. (Pike was a student of Moses Mendelssohn, the Enlightenment philosopher who was the father of Joseph Mendelssohn, founder of the powerful Mendelssohn banking firm.)
At least eight of Daniel Itzig’s thirteen children who survived infancy became financiers or married bankers. Bonem Itzig’s son Georg established the Berlin Stock Exchange, which was built on the site of the Mendelssohn House. Vögel Itzig married the Baron of Arnstein who, with Caecilia Itzig’s husband, the Baron of Eskeles, founded the banking firm Arnstein and Eskeles. Eskeles also founded the Austrian National Bank, which was later run by the Rothschilds. These were the relatively unimportant of the Itzig children; the elder half of Daniel’s children were not much concerned with banking matters at all, and focused their attention on spreading Illuminism in Germany and elsewhere.

The senior half of the Itzig family was intricately linked to the family of the German Jew Mendel Dessau. Dessau’s son Moses (Mendelssohn) was a student of David Fränkel, an important Illuminist rabbin of Berlin. The Fränkels had been the richest family in Vienna before their banishment in 1670. From there they spread throughout Europe and were particularly influential in Germany and Bohemia, and one of the Fränkels even became the court banker of the Zollemans of Ansbach. They founded Jewish theological seminaries and were perhaps the most important Talmud scholars in all of Europe.

David Fränkel moved from Dessau to the Prussian capital of Berlin in 1743 to take up a position as chief rabbin, and he was followed there by the young Moses Mendelssohn. Mendelssohn went on to become the leader of the Jewish Enlightenment. His son Abraham was the first to merge the Mendelssohn and Itzig families by marrying Lea Salomon, the daughter of Bella Itzig. Abraham’s brother Nathan Mendelssohn also married Henriette Itzig, the daughter of Elias Itzig.

Daniel Itzig’s other notable children were Susanna and Isaac. Together with Susanna’s husband David Friedländer, Isaac established the Jewish Free School in Berlin in 1778. This school was the prototype for other Jewish Enlightenment schools which indoctrinated young Jews with Zionism and secular or cultural Judaism. (This was the basis for the entire school system mentioned in the last chapter, founded by the German Jew Kurt Hahn on behalf of Maximilian of Baden, which has spread its influence far and wide, and now educates the entire network of Illuminati around the world.) Friedländer also established the banking firm Mendelssohn and Friedländer with Joseph, the other of Moses Mendelssohn’s sons.

Thus the entire history of Zionism and modern secular Judaism can be traced to the court of the Illuminist Friedrich II of Prussia and the initial influence of David Fränkel through the Friedländer, Mendelssohn and Itzig families, all of which are indistinguishable from each other due to their intermarriages and business unions. When Friedrich Wilhelm II succeeded his uncle as King of Prussia, he brought Daniel Itzig and his son-in-law David Friedländer to his court to address the grievances of the Jews living in his kingdom. Although the social status of the Jews was not entirely fixed during their lifetime, the legacy of the Itzig family is the merger of the Talmudism of the Synagogue of Satan and the Luciferianism of the medieval monastic orders into the synthesis of modern Illuminism. This synthesis was completed by the Jewish family from Frankfurt-am-Mainz known as the Rothschilds.
The Rothschilds

The Rothschild family came onto the scene of the Jewish Enlightenment at the same time that Moses Mendelssohn was creating it. The only ones who concern us here are the descendants of Mayer Amschel Bauer (1744-1812), the original member of the *Orden der Illuminaten* who commissioned its German preservation through Weishaupt. (Naphtali Herz Wessely, a key leader of the Jewish Enlightenment, was also another of the original *Illuminaten.*) Since the Order’s inception, the Rothschilds have been the most powerful family in the world, manipulating all of its economic and political affairs since the earliest part of the 19th century. No other family in known history has wielded the amount of power that the Rothschilds currently do. They control the price of gold, created and have continued to control the Federal Reserve of the United States, the Bank of England, the Vatican Bank, the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank, among hundreds of other institutions. They are the masters of the secret societies and the political and economic institutions such as the Council on Foreign Relations and the Bilderberg group which literally dictate world policy. They are also personally responsible for fomenting the entire history of the Zionist movement and all of its policies.

The name of Bauer means ‘peasant’ or ‘serf’ in German. Mayer Amschel Bauer changed his name to Rothschild in the 18th century. This latter name is thought to come from the German words for ‘red shield,’ but some think its actual significance is in English and comes from Ruth, an ancestor of King David. The name of Rothschild is therefore a direct link to Solomon and the original Synagogue of Satan, regardless of which of these etymologies is more accurate, as the family adopted the Satanic Seal of Solomon as their coat of arms in 1822. This ancient magick symbol is better (though falsely) known as the Magen David or Star of David, and is recognizable both as the iconograph of the Zionist protocols and as the symbol on the national flag of Israel which itself is the privately owned nation of the Rothschilds.

The Rothschilds take the preservation of their Satanic bloodline very seriously. Of the 18 marriages of Mayer Amschel Bauer’s grandchildren, 16 of them were contracted between first cousins or closer relations. The pure-blooded Rothschilds generally maintain the world’s economy while their illegitimate children operate the machinations of elected government. Adolf Hitler was perhaps the most notable of the illegitimate Rothschilds. Altogether, they have drafted the charters establishing every internationalist or Illuminist program from the League of Nations and the United Nations to the Bahá’í World Faith.

Mayer Amschel Rothschild was from Frankfurt-am-Mainz in Hessen-Kassel. His early associates included the Illuminists Karl von Hessen-Kassel and Karl Theodor von Dalberg. At that time Dalberg was Grand Duke of Frankfurt-am-Mainz and Archbishop-Elector of Mainz, as well as Arch-Chancellor of the Holy Roman Empire. The influence that these men wielded directly contributed to the rise of the Rothschild family and of the Order of the Illuminati in general. Frankfurt remained the center of the international banking network established by Mayer, and Hessen-Kassel was the stronghold of Illuminism for many years to come. For example, Adolf von Knigge from Hessen-Kassel was initiated into the Order in 1780 and given its second highest rank before resigning in 1784. During this time he acted as its recruiter, increasing its ranks from seven to “between two and three thousand members.”
Rothschild had five sons and five daughters. Amschel, the eldest, took over the family’s affairs in Frankfort. Salomon went to Vienna and presided over the Itzig family affairs there. Nathan went to London and reorganized the Bank of England after it was threatened with bankruptcy. Kalmann (Karl) went to Naples and commissioned (or perhaps wrote) the charter for the Alta Vendita which became the Carbonari (before 1820), conquered Rome (1871), organized Propaganda Due (1877) and created the Vatican City State (1929). Finally, James went to Paris to oversee the affairs of the New World Order’s political action front after his father’s death in 1812. James and Nathan financed both sides of the Napoleonic Wars, which ultimately enabled them to take control of the entire London Stock Exchange.

The single most important characteristic of these five brothers was that they always worked together cohesively toward the same ends. Each of them was also given a hereditary title of nobility in his own free heritage before the end of 1818. Most of all, each one also played a prominent role in the development of the Zionist ideology and in its implementation, using the economic and political clout of the others to achieve a special objective in the overall scheme.

Salomon’s major responsibility was the fabrication of the Jewish identity from its noticeably Germanic source. Consequently, the leaders of the Zionist movement (Nathan Birnbaum and Theodor Herzl) both came from Vienna shortly after his death. He was also the original family member that sired Hitler at Branau-am-Inn in Austria.

James hired Bernard Baruch to organize the B’nai B’rith. The B’nai B’rith have acted as the Rothschilds’ intelligence network, the best known fronts of which are the Anti-Defamation League and the Church of Jesus Christ of the Latter-day Saints (Mormons). James was also largely responsible for the spread of Henri de Saint-Simon’s ideology which transformed into the Communist Party through the members of the secret society which became the Grand Orient lodge in Paris. It is very likely that Saint-Simon himself was a member of this lodge, and that James personally solicited him to put the Protocols into their French Catholic format.

Nathan was the one who turned the Zionist ideology into policy, and whose banking interests eventually seized control of the world’s industry and economy. The Balfour Declaration was addressed to his great-grandson (Lionel) Walter. Walter was personally responsible for the creation of the League of Nations, the idea of which had been promulgated by Nathan’s brother Salomon at the Congress of Vienna in 1815 and established at the Paris Peace Conference in 1919 for the express purpose of creating the “British” Mandate of Palestine. Walter was also responsible for the creation of the World Zionist Organization through Theodor Herzl, and for the Zion’s Watchtower Tract Society (now known as Jehovah’s Witnesses) through his Masonic agent Charles Taze Russell.

Giuseppe Mazzini once said that Lionel Nathan Rothschild could have been king of France if he so desired. This is no wonder, considering that Mazzini and each of the men above him personally possessed the power to make it happen, but none of the Rothschilds have ever pursued that kind of absolute temporal power. They are content to rule the world from the shadows; the politics can be left to someone else.

Give me control of a nation’s money and I care not who makes its laws. Mayer Amschel Rothschild
The Warburgs

We will have a world government whether you like it or not. The only question is whether that government will be achieved by conquest or consent. James Paul Warburg

The Warburg family assumed control of international finance when the Rothschilds became established enough to focus solely on implementing the political and religious reforms of Illuminism. They were from Hessen-Kassel, just like the Rothschilds, and they worked closely with the Rothschild financiers at Frankfurt. They trace their family history to Simon von Kassel who moved to Germany in 1566, and Simon’s grandson Jacob adopted the surname of Warburg when he moved to Hamburg in 1636.

Like the Rothschilds and other Jewish or Illuminist families, the Warburgs deliberately inbred in order to keep their bloodlines pure. The most influential members of the family were all therefore closely related. Amschel Mayer Rothschild financed the assets of M. M. Warburg & Co. (especially the De Beers diamond monopoly), which was established by the brothers Moses Marcus and Gerson Warburg as the family’s primary banking firm. The other notable members of the family all became partners of this institution. This is essentially how the Rothschilds turned their European economic power into American capital.

While he was observing the American economy in New York, Paul Warburg proclaimed that “The United States is at about the same point that had been reached by Europe at the time of the Medicis.” Other members of the extended family had already immigrated to America, the fact of which gave others a chance to become American citizens through marriage. Two of these early arrivals included Abraham Kuhn and Solomon Loeb. In 1867, these two formed Kuhn, Loeb & Co., which served as the American branch of the family’s banking interests. Beginning in 1885, it was run by Jacob Schiff (another member of the extended family) who married Loeb’s daughter Theresa, and whose own daughter married Felix Warburg. Paul Warburg married Kuhn’s daughter Nina and became a partner in 1901. Schiff’s son Mortimer also took control of the company’s finances later on.

Paul Warburg’s son James became President Roosevelt’s chief advisor and founded the Institute for Policy Studies in order to promote lysergic acid (LSD), which the family had created through a Swiss drug company. The Institute for Policy Studies became the Tavistock Institute of Human Relations, which is the globalist think tank of the New World Order ultimately responsible for creating all of the world’s problems deemed necessary for the internationalist synthesis. The Council on Foreign Relations is one of the many branches of this group, and produces all the political leaders of the United States.

Among Jacob Schiff’s long list of achievements are the fomenting of the Russo-Japanese War to bring about the Russian Revolution, the election of his stooge Woodrow Wilson, the drafting of the charter for the League of Nations, and the 1920 terrorist bombing of the New York Stock Exchange in preparation for the stock market takeover. This was to be done with the acquisition of the country’s gold and the issuance of the new paper currency by private banks which the Rothschilds and Warburgs manipulated. However, he was not able to complete the
crash because he died only nine days after the initial bombing, but the job was finished by Paul Warburg who had already written the Federal Reserve Act in 1913. This piece of legislation literally placed all of America’s commodity money directly into the hands of whoever the Warburgs chose. Much of it went back to the Rothschilds, but the majority went to the establishment of the Federal Reserve system through a select group of Illuminists, such as the brothers John D. and William Rockefeller, whose descendants now possesses most of the world’s wealth through their JPMorgan Chase and Citigroup. The Rockefellers were one of the families associated with the Skull and Bones secret society (technically a German institution dating back to the Teutons) at the time that Schiff and Warburg came to America.

Through Kuhn, Loeb & Co., Schiff and Warburg financed the Rockefellers and other Skull and Bones families in order to take control of the American industry and foment World War One to achieve their short-term Zionist synthesis. In particular, John D. Rockefeller through Standard Oil and Andrew Carnegie through Carnegie Steel quickly became the first and second wealthiest men who have ever lived, but anti-trust laws in America forced the division of some of their assets.\(^\text{205}\) Even this only helped the corporations established by Schiff and Warburg to grow further, as it did not actually take wealth out of their hands, and many of their assets were moved to Germany in preparation for the World Wars. Carnegie sold his company to J. P. Morgan of Nathan Rothschild’s Bank of England group, and it became known as US Steel, the world’s first billion-dollar corporation.

Another of the Skull and Bones members funded by Schiff and Warburg was Edward H. Harriman. Harriman ran Union Pacific Railroad and Wells Fargo which, along with Citigroup and JPMorgan Chase (and Bank of America, which was established prior to the Federal Reserve Act), is one of the four major banks in America.\(^\text{206}\) His parent firm Lehman Bros. merged with Kuhn, Loeb & Co. to form Lehman Bros. Kuhn Loeb Inc. This, combined with Wells Fargo, incorporated other financial institutions into what became the American Express Company which runs the World Financial Center. Henry M. Flagler was recruited out of Harriman’s Union Pacific to merge the steel and railroad industries with Rockefeller’s interests, and Rockefeller Andrews & Flagler then became Standard Oil.\(^\text{207}\)

Harriman’s sons W. Averell and Edward R. Harriman merged the financial power of their family with that of the London family of Alex Brown, one of the original Bank of England investors, to create Brown Brothers Harriman. They also brought fellow Skull and Bones member Prescott Bush (a German crypto-Jew who became a US senator) in as a partner, and together Bush and W. Averell Harriman formed the Union Banking Corporation which, along with some of their other companies, was shut down by the United States government for turning Nazi gold into war capital while the United States was at war with Germany.\(^\text{208}\)

The other Bank of England investor was J. Henry Schröder. The man who presided over the Schroder family’s original German assets was Kurt von Schröder, the man who created the Deutsche Reichsbank and was ultimately responsible for all the private and public finances of the Third Reich.\(^\text{209}\) He also formed Schroder Rockefeller & Co. along with Percy Rockefeller, another influential Skull and Bones member and grandson of William Rockefeller. Between Schroder Rockefeller & Co., Union Banking Corporation and the Deutsche Reichsbank, the Nazis had all the wealth they needed to seize control of Germany and initiate World War Two.
The other companies which the Warburgs created—particularly Standard Oil, DuPont, General Electric (through J.P. Morgan) and the German Steel Trust (also through J.P. Morgan and its acquisition of Carnegie Steel) merged in Germany to form IG Farben, which was responsible for the entire German war industry. Its full name in German means ‘Joint-venture Chemical Industry.’ Practically all of Germany’s wealth, resources and technology was owned by IG Farben’s benefactors on Wall Street. Along with producing the majority of munitions for the German war machine, it was also the sole manufacturer of Zyklon B, the gas used for delousing garments, and alleged to have been used for killing millions of prisoners, in the German “death camps.”

IG Farben’s headquarters was placed in Frankfurt-am-Mainz, the home city of the Rothschilds. Even though the rest of the city was completely ravaged by intense Allied bombing campaigns, General Eisenhower ordered that the building not be destroyed because it was the single most important capital of American industry. In fact, the American military command turned it into the Supreme Allied Command headquarters building after the war, and it was the main location used for the development of the Marshall Plan. It is now the main building of Johann Wolfgang Goethe University, so-named in honor of the prolific Illuminatus.

The American secret society which created the Third Reich and has put several of its members into the American presidency also merged its own assets to form the Associated General Contractors of America, the group which is the primary beneficiary of American tax expenditures. The actual members themselves created and have managed what has since come to be known as the military-industrial complex, especially through the Office of Strategic Services (during World War Two), the National Security Council and the Central Intelligence Agency (since 1947). Prominent members of the Associated General Contractors of America have included CIA directors John McCones, Allen Dulles, Richard Helms, George H. W. Bush and William Casey of Iran-Contra fame, to name a few. Most are also Knights of Malta.

Warren Bechtel was in charge of the Associated General Contractors of America. His son Stephen formed Bechtel McCones with John McCones, who became the CIA’s Cold War master planner and even created the Atomic Energy Commission (AEC). The AEC combined its influence with that of the Rothschild-Warburg-Rockefeller United Nations Organization to form the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), which dictates world policy on atomic power and nuclear weapons and has already been used by the likes of the Bush and Clinton families to foment the Persian Gulf Conflict and World War Three.

That, though it has been presented in the broadest possible way, is how the world’s ongoing crisis has evolved from the Garden of Eden to the present. That is how the Luciferian ideology of the Satanic bloodlines became the established cult of the New World Order. That, in a nutshell, is how the Illuminists have seized control of the world’s economic, political and religious institutions and put it in a perpetual state of war and terror.

As of the day of this writing, 8 Dec 2012, we are now entering the final phase of their Luciferian conspiracy. And so the story continues, and will continue until God has had enough of our Luciferian rebellion and decides to uproot the tares which Satan planted so long ago. Until then the only question, as the conspirators say, is whether the Luciferian ideology will be achieved by conquest, or by consent.
Endnotes


2My point here is to show the roots of the European Hermetic tradition. The Kitab Sirr al-Asrar is merely a corrupted attempt at replicating the ideas of the Emerald Tablet which had already been lost several centuries earlier. Wikipedia and many other sources claim the title of the Arabic script of the Secretum Secretorum is actually Kitab Sirr al-Asrar (though many still call it the Kitab Sirr al-Asar and there is no consensus). It seems the people who are replicating this corruption simply do not realize what they are doing. It is my strong contention that, if the original Arabic title was indeed al-Asrar, then it would have been either a deliberate corruption of the original al-Asar, or else a translation error due to the fact that someone was unable to make sense of it. The original would have been rendered an approximate and apparently irrelevant literal meaning of ‘Book of the Secret of the Ten’ in Arabic. However, the letter s, when used in place of the pronunciations of many ancient languages, including the original Egyptian, is an unaspirate transliteration of the digraph sh in the Latin alphabet. The Asar form was no doubt influenced by the Greek adaptation of the word (Osiris) which changed the sh sound to an s spelling because the Greek alphabet could not accommodate it. In Mesopotamia, however, the sh tradition was not lost until the influence of Hellenic culture, evidenced by the ancient place names of Assyria and Aram being pronounced as variants of Ashur (which is always intoned exactly like Asar should be). It must be noted that the Secretum Secretorum may also just as easily have a literal meaning of ‘Secrets of the Secrets,’ and its title is therefore also a corruption of the ancient Hermetic script taken directly from the corrupted title in Arabic. This is the source of the various names given to the Secret of Hermes, which is the most concise English title in usage. Its true, literal and ancient title is Secrets of the Mind, and in the esoteric modern English idiom, either Secret of Lucifer or Secrets of Lucifer. Despite scholarly evidence to the contrary, this is a fact, and it is attested to by its absolutely authoritative importance in the Hermetic tradition, as well as by the unbroken continuity between Hermeticism and Luciferianism which is otherwise seemingly spontaneous and inexplicable. Wherever the ancient form of Luciferianism was preserved, the use of Asar as ‘mind’ is still in effect, despite its being a foreign word. This is particularly true of Mandaism, the direct link between the most ancient and most modern forms of Luciferianism.


This link is expired, but the article can be found at http://preterhuman.net/texts/strange_information/FT%20140%20-%20The%20Mystical%20Count%20Potocki.htm.


Annuna,’ or ‘Annuna (sons of the heavens) on Earth.’ This is obviously very much in line with the meaning of


This link is expired, but the article can be found at http://preterhuman.net/texts/strange_information/FT%20140%20-%20The%20Mystical%20Count%20Potocki.htm.


20Apart from writing several books on the subject of Luciferianism which even Aleister Crowley found useful enough to plagiarize when he founded his new religion(s), Annie’s brother-in-law Walter Besant was secretary of the Rothschild-run Palestine Exploration Fund between 1868 and 1895. Could the ideology and synthesis behind the Holocaust be any more obvious than this? It certainly could be (and indeed it is), but unfortunately what I have learned in the course of my research must out of necessity remain hidden from the public for the time being, until such time as I am able to publish my findings, which will almost necessarily amount to thousands of pages. The truth is far too complicated and shocking to be believable, and in this case the fake notions of accidentalism will indefinitely and effortlessly prevail over it because of the unwillingness of the masses. Suffice it to say that Hitler was a pupil of the man who was in charge of reconnaissance of the future state of Israel, 80 years in advance, at the same time as the latter’s brother was married to the president of the Theosophical Society which initiated Hitler into the secret doctrine. Considering that Hitler was also an illegitimate member of the Rothschild family, the ties are even closer than that and do not even extend past the immediate family.


33Genesis 10:11-12

34-35I originally wrote this (in 2006) under the impression that the rendition of *annunaki* is my own, but then I learned of Zechariah Sitchin and the whole mythos which has been rightfully attributed to him. I do regard it as a somewhat valid translation, though it deserves a long-winded explanation, but say “supposedly” in an effort to dissociate myself from him and his disinformation. A more appropriate translation of *annunaki* would be ‘Earth-Annuna,’ or ‘Annuna (sons of the heavens) on Earth.’ This is obviously very much in line with the meaning of


“The word Pta is from the Egyptian word Ptah and the word Usar, although Drower states it is from the Hebrew word ‘owtsar—I believe that the ultimate origin is also Egyptian. [...] It is through Pta-Hai and Usar-Hai that the phtia is created and dispensed. Usar gave the bread to the first hidden Uthras. Usar-Hai and Pta-Hai brought the Laufa ceremony. The soul is handed to Usar-Hai and to Pta-Hai during her journey. [...] PTA—(Page 383, Mandaic Dictionary) is derived from the Egyptian Ptah. This word is used as part of a light beings name. It is used in conjunction with the words Usar, Hai, and [Hita] in the Canonical Prayerbook. [...] Usar—(Page 345, Mandaic Dictionary) can mean store/treasure […] mind) or thought.” Italics added. The author arbitrarily assumes that Usar means ‘treasurer’ in the context of the Canonical Prayerbook of the Mandaeans. I strongly disagree, as would any adept of Masonry or the type of Illuminism of the Bavarian Order, and so should the author, considering he disagrees with the translator’s notion of a Hebrew etymology in favor of an Egyptian one. (‘Owtsar is Hebrew for ‘treasure.’) Listed below the definition are 8 prayers to the god Usar, including one to ‘Usar-Nhura, or ‘mind [i.e., intent, spirit] of light,’ incorrectly translated as “Treasure-of-Light,” and several to ‘Usar-Hai/Usar-Hiia, or ‘mind [i.e., intent, spirit] of life,’ incorrectly translated as “Treasurer of Life.” This ‘mind of light’ is practically interchangeable with St. John’s “spirit of antichrist.” Pta-Usar is also defined by the author as “He opened the treasure,” but actually has the value of ‘they revealed the mind’ or ‘way-showers,’ with the meaning of “[the nefilim] showed us the way [to life or illumination].’ In other words, Ptah and Pta are used in reference to the nefilim, and Asar and Usar in reference to Lucifer, being in essence the state of mind of the nefilim. Lucifer is not just Osiris, but in fact all the nefilim and all past and present Luciferians, in the exact same way as the elohim represent God (the spirit of Creation) in the Bible, hence the interconnectedness of all life and the confusion between things like Life and Light and God in either version of the spiritual dichotomy. These concepts are all interchangeable to Luciferian adepts; to speak of Pta is also to speak of Usar. In a holistic philosophy such as that of the Egyptians or the Mandaeans, this makes perfect sense, but to the Western mind it is practically inconceivable, and the particularistic mindset is the fundamental reason for the existence of contradicting religions in the West, whereas the Luciferian religions are all syncretic. This is also why the gods of Egypt and all other Luciferian pantheons are all the same even though they are distinct emanations. To an ancient Egyptian, to study one thing is to study all things. Indeed, the purported purpose of the visit of the nefilim was to teach.


43 Lucifer is also often identified with Enlil’s twin brother Enki. I do not consider this to be a valid association, though Enki could certainly be a representation of one of the nefilim. While there was no Sumerian civilization after the Flood, most of the Sumerian cities were rebuilt by what is called the Neo-Sumerian civilization, but it was actually derived from and integrated into the Akkadian civilization. Most Sumerian myths (including the
Epic of Gilgamesh) are actually Neo-Sumerian (post-Flood) legends and have come to us via the Akkadian mythology. The association between the Sumerian myths and the Akkadian myths is not an evolution in the cultures of that time, but actually a self-aware differentiation between the separate ethnicities—the Babylonians and Assyrians—as the Akkadians were the inheritors of the same philosophy as the Sumerians, but spoke a different language. Archaeologists are wrong for thinking that the Akkadian Empire was anything but a merger of these two nations which were united under Nimrod in exactly the same way that the two Egyptian kingdoms are traditionally believed to have been united under Menes. The first kingdom of Babylon was Kish, a Hamitic nation. Nimrod came out of Kish and conquered the Assyrians, who were a Semitic nation. The synthesis was the short-lived Akkadian culture with their Semitic language and capital in Assyria. Thus the Sumerian gods are nothing more than Babylonian gods put into the Akkadian language through the Assyrians.


47The exceptions to this rule are the indigenous peoples of the Far East and the Americas who engaged in a kind of animistic ancestor worship, but whose primary focus was still in serving their supreme and ineffable deity (the Three Pure Ones, the Great Spirit, etc.). Other religions or cults which would seem to be exceptions have simply lost their way. In other words, what are pantheistic and superstitious religions were not, once upon a time, as much as the outward symbols of the religions have taken the place of the morals and concepts. The examples are far too numerous to include here. The Mesoamerican tribes which established the Aztec and Inca Empires, on the other hand, as well as those which shared their mythologies, were clearly modeled after worship of the nefilim. Lucifer was known in Mesoamerica as Quetzacoatl, the Feathered Serpent, and in Asia by a variety of names. There is also a great deal of evidence (which I have not taken the time to explain anywhere in the context of this book) which suggests the Masons and Illuminati in America were searching for a lost kingdom of nefilim all the way back to Columbus' first voyage to the New World, through the mid-19th century. See endnote 54.

48The metaphor of passing through the fire appears in five places, as well as four more with the conjunction of lmlk. They are all likely references to both a Luciferian ritual and the sacrifice of one’s seed. If this supposition is correct, then the idea is to destroy what one would otherwise be most inclined to protect in order to destroy other inhibitions caused by an extreme desensitization. When Alex Jones infiltrated the Bohemian Grove Club in Sonoma County, California on 15 Jul 2000, he secretly recorded this human sacrifice ritual which is or was called the Cremation of Care. Molekh is represented in his current incarnation by a 45-foot owl which the global elites call the Great Owl of Bohemia. While the ritual is supposedly only a mock human sacrifice, it is inconceivable how this could be the case, as the ritual has not changed in over 4500 years, and eyewitness testimonies strongly suggest otherwise. I did not come to these conclusions lightly, nor will I present any information regarding the testimonies, as they are too horrific to repeat. Readers interested in learning the details are encouraged to read the diary of snuff film survivor Paul A. Bonacci who was awarded $1 million by a US Senior District Court judge for the abuse he endured: http://portland.indymedia.org/en/2005/01/309481.shtml; for more information about the lawsuit: http://www.indybay.org/newsitems/2002/10/24/15386701.php. Bonacci’s story is told in John DeCamp’s The Franklin Coverup and in Yorkshire Television’s documentary film “Conspiracy of Silence.” The film was produced in 1994 and scheduled to air on the British network, but never did. It was then scheduled to air on the Discovery Channel, but again, never did. To date it has been censored by every media outlet, but is available through file-sharing programs on the Internet, and on YouTube: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=D3IsCPOADc4.


52This is especially true of the Arab moon-cult which evolved into Islam. The Mosaic religions do not deny the existence of the pagan gods (meaning the separate manifestations of Lucifer and the nefilim); they simply proscribe their worship. All one really needs to do to realize this is look at the First Commandment or read the books of 1 and 2 Kings in particular, and those of the major prophets (Jeremiah, Isaiah and Ezekiel).
In 2006, Wikipedia made no mention of the city of Ammon and designated Hammon as an unidentified place in Canaan. It is possible that Baal was identified in the Old Testament period of Palestine under a place name of Hammon derived from his status as the lord of Ammon (*be’al ha’Amon*), as Ammon was a very important city of the Judaeans’ hostile neighboring kingdom. It is more likely that he was called this in the prophetic tradition of the Bible as a secondary name whenever the situation called for it, such as those periods when awareness of the city of Ammon’s misdeeds was heightened.

Enlil was the Neo-Sumerian god of the air, the ‘master of the flying things.’ The antediluvian Sumerian name of Pazuzu was made famous by William Peter Blatty’s *The Exorcist* and the film of the same name and its four sequels. The filmmakers of *Dominion: Prequel to the Exorcist* (2005) and *Exorcist: The Beginning* (2004) ceased to refer to him as Pazuzu and instead as Lucifer, but in this storyline Lucifer is equated with Satan. The association between Satan and Lucifer is very weak, and the early Christians actually called Satan Belial. The mistake of association may be written off as artistic license, as the entire plot is predicated on demonic possession and the personal feud between two men and the demon Pazuzu. However, Pazuzu is actually depicted everywhere in Eastern religion as Garuda. I have seen his image on many ancient and contemporary Buddhist and Hindu temples in SE Asia, and on representations of pan-cultural significance such as the seal of Thailand and Indonesia. It is important to note here that he is not a demon in the Eastern traditions, as he is not relegated to Hell, despite his underworldly role in the West. He is, however, sometimes depicted as being the thrall of Vishnu who rides on top of him in the same manner as the *ofanim* serve as the *merkahab* or chariot of God in Rabbinic lore. In any case, the visual and characteristic representations of Garuda are identical to those of Pazazu even to this day, although Pazazu was sometimes shown with an enormous male sex organ.

The ancient historian Epiphanius wrote in his *Panarion* (2005) that Satan and the devil had a long association, as the *devil he has been from the first period, the devil who did not allow the seed of God to flourish*.


**See endnote 42.**
known to have saved, but there is no evidence whatsoever to support the unlikely claim. Considering that she was from Capernaum, it is also nearly impossible. This shows that the whole idea of Yahshuah’s only alleged sexual liaison is based on purely mythical Cathar beliefs.

67‘Yahshuah of the Nazarenes’ (literally ‘Yahshuah the Nazarene’) is universally rendered as ‘Jesus of Nazareth’ in Christian literature, including the English Bible, even though no such geographical location ever existed. This twisting of the facts through mass ignorance and tedious language barriers rivals the assertion that he was a Jew. To admit that he was a Nazarene (or even a Nazarene) is to admit that he was not a Jew and, in fact, that he was bitterly opposed to all things Jewish/Satanic, therefore both assertions must be made before his name can be properly discredited. This was accomplished with the invention of the Jewish identity and the impact of the Jewish Enlightenment on European Christianity. I do not even claim know where the name of Nazareth comes from. It seems to be a fabrication out of thin air. I have checked the available Roman records prior to the Jewish War, and it appears they had no record that such a place ever existed, which would make it either the subject of the greatest oversight in the history of Roman geography, or else a post hoc conspiracy to cover up knowledge of its existence. Mandaeans have interpreted his name as ha Notzri in order to claim him as one of their own. (The Notzriim comprise the highest level of the Mandaean hierarchy.) However, this is not the same word as that used in the Bible. (The vowel placement changes its meaning.) To make matters worse, the name (Essenes) which is applied to the Nazarenes themselves is almost universally taken to apply just as well to the other Gnostic cults in that place and time, and to the Mandaean sects which followed (as discussed in the context of endnote 62). If not for the many examples of Gnostic apostasy available in the Bible, it would be impossible to even tell the difference between the Essenes of the Nazarene (Nazorean, Nasaraean) type and the Essenes of the Naassene (Ossaean, Elkasaite) type, or to provide a context for the schism. The latter were, in a very real way, patterned after the former. Either interpretation of the word ultimately comes from the Egyptian ntr which signifies the various aspects of the divine essence and the Maat (‘truth’) cult built around its origins. The close association between the keepers of the sacred knowledge on both sides of the duality (the Notzriim of the snake cults who claimed succession from the Nazarites, and the Nazarenes/early Christians who succeeded the Nazarites via Yahshuah and his disciples) will probably factor into the false identity created by the Luciferians to present their false mosheh as the prophesied return of “Jesus.” By hiding the truth for many centuries themselves, they hope to use it to their advantage at the most opportune time by blaming Christians (specifically the Roman Catholic Church) for covering it up. Note how all disinformation schemes target Catholicism as the visual figurehead of Christianity and how the only truths which are to be found in them are the attacks on the Church, as opposed to the alternate history or antithetical ideology they provide. This only makes sense within the scope of the Hegelian dialectic, with the recognition that both Athanasianism and Arianism are just interpretations of the truth, created and managed by the same individuals with the same presiding judge (in this case Constantine) and the same synthesis (universalism) in mind. Athanasianism in particular came by way of Mithraism and Manichaicism, which is nothing more or less than a Naassene religion, and its foundation is upon Nicolaism, which is just another word for the Gnosticism of the Naassenes (mentioned in Revelation 2 as the doctrines of Pergamum, the city which the Romans of the BC era ultimately adopted their religion from). Apostolic Christianity has never even been a part of the equation, and is only represented by the various Gnostic sects which were anathemized by the Roman Catholic Church, even though these same sects originally diverged from it. See endnote 76.


There was a huge difference between the way the members of the monastic martial orders and their respective Gnostic cults on the European continent practiced their religion. Generally speaking, the Cathars were extremely pious and avoided acts of violence to the point of death. The Templars, on the other hand, regularly murdered for profit, and many did not take their vow of celibacy (according to the Rule of St. Benedict) any more seriously than their vow of poverty. The Knights of Malta even mutinied against their grand master once and imprisoned him for dismissing prostitutes from their ships. By the time of the Albigensian Crusade, the Cathars and the Templars had little in common except their doctrines.


“The name Canaan is of obscure origins, with one possibility being the non-Semitic Hurrian Kinahhu, meaning ‘blue cloth.’” I hope readers of this book understand the fallacy of substituting the name of Cain as the origin of Canaan with “blue cloth” in a language which is not even Semitic, considering Canaan is, according to the same source, “the common ancestor of closely related Semitic languages.” I have included the reference to show that there is no feasible alternative in common use apart from the implicit Biblical account. In truth, the name has extraterrestrial origins, but a discussion of the subject of our extraterrestrial origins in the present context would not be appropriate, given the allotted space and target audience.

Canaan seems to be the common ancestor of the Mediterranean races which were originally descended from his cousins, the children of Shem and Japheth, as were the Nordic and Alpine races. Though all European races are fair-skinned, the lighter phenotype traits of the Mediterranean races came about primarily by the influence of the Sidonians and the tribe of Dan. Compare Homer’s reverence for the light-haired and fair-skinned Achaeanas with their alleged descent from the gods before the Danaans conquered them. Danaans were not given the same semi-divine or even respectable status by the bard. The same evidence can be deduced from other mythologies wherever the tribe of Dan invaded, even where there is little or no literature to support it. For some reason, researchers of the Merovingian bloodlines ignore the fact that the most powerful Illuminati bloodlines in the world (the Oldenburg families in particular) have been those of Danish descent, and that the Illuminati bloodlines from Francoia (e.g., the Rothschilds and the Warburgs) are actually of Jewish immigrant descent. (Perhaps they need to ignore this fact, as it undermines their whole paradigm.) The bloodline of the Merovingians was certainly married to the Franks, represented by Charlemagne, but how that is even relevant, considering that Charlemagne himself was of the Danish line, and that the Vikings conquered so many lands on so many occasions, leaving their DNA wherever they went, is lost on me. Nevertheless, I have chosen not to ignore the bits of credible evidence deduced by researchers concerned with the Merovingians while providing documentation further along in the book concerning the Danish families of the Hohenzollerns and Oldenburgs. Combined with the Jewish-Turkic-Nordic hybrid banking families, I consider this study to be vastly more important to the historical record. It must be remembered that Canaan was the world’s melting pot at that time, just as ethnically European nations are now, and that the majority of Canaanites were killed during their wars with the Israelites. There is, therefore, no conclusive evidence which proves whether Canaan (the man) was white or black. To use the common ancestry of the other original Hamitic peoples, he could have been anything in between, with a higher probability that he was black. We simply cannot know due to the extreme variation in the genes of Noah’s immediate offspring. (There were as many as 5000 separate genes per person on the Ark.)

I have called Solomon the founder of the Synagogue of Satan here because although the Levite priesthood was divided during David’s reign, it was Solomon’s corruption of the kingdom and the priesthood which made it permanent. The high priest Zadok apparently remained loyal to him and became the sole high priest during Solomon’s reign, the priest who anointed Solomon, and the first high priest of Solomon’s Temple. The position of the Zadokites is of extreme significance because they were the inheritors of the priesthood of Melchizedek which had clear preference over the Law of Moses and the Levite priesthood going all the way back to before Abraham’s time, and also of the ancient kingship of Salem (i.e., the whole of Canaan, though Bible scholars universally and erroneously think that the Kingdom of Salem and Jerusalem were the same). David, one of the Zadokites, also made Jerusalem his capital city, which meant that it was the political as well as spiritual center of Judah in direct opposition to Salem in the North (Israel). When Solomon pursued his idolatry, he forfeited his position as King of Israel and as an anointed representative of the order of Melchizedek, which David had held throughout his life. The kingdom became permanently divided, and the line of Zadok which had united the Levite priesthood with the ancient order of Melchizedek separated into a faction of Jews and a faction of priests, respectively, who were at eternally odds with each other. These two lines were merged again in the person of Yahshuah (technically, in his younger brother James the Just, as Yahshuah was hailed as King of Judaea, but never actually filled the function of High Priest, as James did, because he was killed prematurely), as indicated in the separate genealogies given in Matthew 1 and Luke 3, and by the antithetical (anti-Christian) family of priests.
(the Hasmoneans) which resumed the sacrificial duties after its exile in Babylon. (The traditions of the Talmud were handed down from the Babylonian period.) Matthias, one of these priests, was the patriarch of the Maccabee family of the 2nd century BC which revolted against the Seleucids and established the Hasmonean dynasty. This is the origin of the tripartite conflict of ideologies between the (conservative Solomonic) Sadducees, (Solomonic/Talmudic) Pharisees and the (Davidic) Zadokites (called Essenes in the larger sense, though there were several sects of Essenes) which had preceded the nation itself. Jews have equated the role of the mosheh with the establishment of an earthly kingdom ever since the 10th century BC, recognizing Solomon as their patriarch and believing they have a divine mandate to rule the world, while the Zadokites (and therefore Christians) remained loyal to their God and recognized Nathan as David’s true spiritual successor. (Christians have also taken the Jewish concept of the mosheh and made it particular to Yahshuah, and therefore attributed to him the messianic role invented by the opposing ideology. This has led to the doctrine of Athanasianism, or the belief in the inherent and exclusive divinity of Jesus Christ from birth, a concept originally intended to establish the rule of the Antichrist.) The priesthood of Zadok remained intact for many centuries until it was overthrown by the terrestrial power of the proto-Pharisee (probably Hellenic) Jewish nationalists. In light of information provided in the Dead Sea Texts, this event probably happened during the Maccabean Revolt, but it could have been several centuries earlier, during the reign of one of the apostate kings (most likely Zedekiah, c. 600 BC). In blatant opposition to the Pharisees and Sadducees, Yahshuah is represented in the book of Hebrews as being the high priest of the order of Melchizedek. Christians, completely ignorant of this history (and virtually the entire Bible) and believing the false claim that Yahshuah was a Jew, typically believe that there was a gradual evolution of the priesthood into apostasy during the intertestamental period. There is no apparent explanation for why they believe this, as it is absurd to think that Yahshuah would have been driven out of the synagogues for simply reading the Tanakh (the Old Testament) if it was even an acceptable part of their literature, or that the Temple priests would have had him executed for preaching their own doctrines. (Traditionally, the Tanakh was accepted by the Pharisees as a secondary text, after the oral tradition which became the Talmud, and the Sadducees only acknowledged the Pentateuch.) After accusing the Jews of Molekh worship (Acts 7:43), Stephen ended his speech to the Sanhedrin by saying, “Was there ever a prophet your fathers did not persecute? They even killed those who predicted the coming of the Righteous One. And now you have betrayed and murdered him,” (v. 52). Zadok means “the righteous one” in Hebrew. The life of Yahshuah as recorded in the Bible is the best source of information on the Nazarite customs and the religion of the pre-Abrahamic Zadokites, while the doctrines of contemporary Jews and Christians are the doctrines of Satanists which have their origins in Solomon’s apostasy.


“Joel Chapter 3:4-8 is a parenthetical insertion; in this parenthesis Joel marks a parallel between the fall of Jerusalem to the Romans in 70 AD, and the Philistine raid on the Jews in 845 BC, when the Philistines took many Jews captive and sold them to the Phoenicians, who in turn sold them as slaves to the Greeks. This event was within the memory of Joel’s generation and was therefore a very real example of that which Joel is stating in his parenthesis: God punishes anti-Semitism; the Jews themselves are to remember this and depend upon it. These Jewish slaves were sold to the Greeks by the Phoenicians because the Greeks of the ninth century BC were the most notorious homosexuals and pederasts in the world. And the demand for young people of both genders was extraordinary. ‘The cult of nudity, and deification of the male body, had made homosexuality quite fashionable in Greek society. Athletics and militarism were prime interests, and personalized with hedonistic humanism they encouraged a camaraderie conducive to spiritual and physical homophilia.’ This particular event is related in II Chronicles 21:16 and 17.”


I do not consider the Bayreuth Circle to be of as much importance to the development of anti-Semitism as evolutionary theory, but it is still worthy of mention considering that its members included men of notoriety who were primarily Illuminists. Incredibly, Theodor Herzl was one of Wagner’s associates. So was Houston Stewart Chamberlain, the author of The Foundations of the Nineteenth Century, an important treatise on Aryanism. Hitler called Chamberlain the “Prophet of the Third Reich.” His philosophy was heavily influenced by Schopenhauer and Nietzsche in particular. He held the middle position of a group of men and women who were in personal communication with and influenced by the most prominent Illuminists of their time, such as Goethe. Wagner was best known for being a composer, and his chief rivals were the Jewish composers Giacomo Meyerbeer and Felix Mendelssohn of the Itzig/Mendelssohn family, who happened to be students of Goethe’s close friend Karl Zelter. Not coincidentally, Goethe was also the oft-overlooked primary inspiration for Darwin, the advocates of whom have monumentally failed to recognize the connection between Atheism and Illuminism, as though Atheism is a separate and self-determined ideology. This point of view could hardly be any more foolish, as Darwin himself never diverged from the path his own grandfather had been on many years before he was born. However, it would be equally foolish to suppose that any Darwinist would even care, as theirs is a religion based as much on malicious treatment of the truth as it is ignorance and superstition. This is essentially why the Illuminati hate them.


At the time of this writing, I had not managed to access a membership list of this prestigious institution, and was only able to find the name of Friedrich Wilhelm in the registry, so without more information I did not know for sure what the connection between this school and the Hohenzollerns was, though I suspected there were many more Nazis in its alumni list. Now I do know the connection, but it actually extends through a large network of other, more important schools called the Round Square Conference, which I will be writing about at a later date. Other Swiss boarding schools wield even more influence than le Rosey, and given the list of its alumni, le Rosey still seems to be the first choice among Illuminists, though boarding schools in foreign countries have fallen out of style during the last half century or so. Other Swiss Illuminist boarding schools include the Institut auf dem Rosenberg in St. Gallen, and the International School of Geneva, a.k.a. Ecolint, which produced US Army five-star general Norman Schwarzkopf. Supposedly, many of the students of the Prussian Military Academy changed their names because Friedrich Wilhelm said he couldn’t read them; these names included a certain von Schwarzkoff, demonstrating that family ties in Illuminism outweigh political allegiances even in terms of the education of the generals who direct their wars.
The early 20th century eugenics movement in America led by Madison Grant is credited with inspiring the Nordicism or racial bigotry of the Nazis, but this is a post hoc argument probably designed to take the focus away from certain philosophical naturalists whose ideas regarding human evolution have gained acceptance by a large number of academic scientists. See endnote 87. There can be no doubt that the Eugenics Society in America and the one in Britain directly contributed to the Nordic sentiments of the Nazis, but eugenics was condemned after the war and the United States Army prosecuted leaders of the Third Reich for war crimes, albeit in mock fashion followed by quick releases. Today, eugenics is best represented by the Human Genome Project which has been successful due to an endowment by the Wellcome Trust, the same benefactor of the Down House (the Darwin family residence). The Wellcome Trust has turned the Down House into a museum to commemorate the place where Charles Darwin penned his famous book. As if it needs saying, this clearly shows a conflict of interests (that is, a conspiracy).


This page has expired, but I have kept it here in order to show that the first referent is “Arran (Caucasus), historical region (also known as Caucasian Albania),” indicating that Arran and Albania are indeed two interchangeable names for the same land in antiquity.


The island between Ulster in Ireland and the Inner Hebrides in Scotland which served as the waypoint for the movement of the Dāl Riata also happens to be named Arran.

Most of the ancient traditions actually place the landing at Mt. Hermon between modern Lebanon and Syria. I have chosen to use the Luciferian tradition after correspondence with a few Luciferians who were completely convinced that their coordinates were accurate. The reason I have gone by this, despite the discrepancy, is for the simple fact that the coordinates were tailored by Luciferians in the 17th century in order that there would be 33 degrees between Paris and the spot in question. It wasn’t until 1884 that this distance was measured accurately in standard usage (hence the Greenwich Mean). Incidentally, the 33rd latitude and Parisian longitude actually do meet closer to Gaza than to Mt. Hermon. It seems, therefore, that the Luciferians have based their coordinates Baalbek, or on the Sidon-Cydonia (Mars) connection. It is possible that the landing(s) happened in more than one place, or that either Mt. Hermon or the area around Gaza had some other special significance. In fact, the official story of the Romans building Baalbek would make it the largest religious complex they ever built. However, Mt. Hermon still seems the most likely place for the landing, as the city of Sidon is exactly 33.33 nautical miles from the Equator. (So is Mt. Hermon, but with some variability, as it covers a large region.) Mt. Hermon is also the same distance in nautical miles from the zero meridian, which certainly indicates what the Luciferians in Paris were attempting to reconcile. It would seem then that Sidon—the parent city of Tyre (and Gaza) built by the firstborn of Canaan—was actually the capital or cultural center of the nephilim or gibborim at one time. In other words, it was either built on ancient ruins or placed at those coordinates intentionally in the same manner that the nephilim citadels of Sumur were reconstructed. At the very least, this explains why Sidon inherited the gibborim legacy more than any other city. See David Flynn’s 2005 revelation concerning the relationship between the coordinates of Mt. Hermon and Roswell, New Mexico, which proves beyond a reasonable doubt that the ancient Luciferian conspiracy is still very much in effect: http://www.ahrimangate.com/flynn.htm.

The Encyclopedia Mythica claims the name of the Tuatha comes from the Celtic mother-goddess Danu. I disagree based on the notion that mythological traditions develop from true historical details, not vice-versa, but Danu’s Welsh name is Don. She is wife to Beli, the lord of the gods, which suggests that both these names are possibly derivatives of the ancient Luciferian tradition (Dan and Bel), evolved over time, without any significant entropy. See endnotes 95-97 for details regarding the preservation of the ancient languages of the Gomerites. See http://watch.pair.com/dan.html for a detailed article about the dispersion of the tribe of Dan. Unfortunately, the webmaster of Watch unto Prayer has been duped and heavily influenced by the Merovingian myths created by Pierre Plantard, but much of the information on the website is still both useful and historically accurate.

Jeremiah 1:10
The "prophet" Jah claims to have recovered Tea Tephi’s autobiography and as of 2006 was looking for a publisher; [http://jahtruth.co.uk/tephi.htm](http://jahtruth.co.uk/tephi.htm). Had I known about Jah’s publications (flawed though they may be) earlier, I would have incorporated some of them into my research. As it is, they have been done independently of each other, at least as far as I know. Also, as a disclaimer, Jah is a liar and has no inherent credibility as far as I am concerned, as virtually all of his assertions apart from the context of this book are sheer nonsense. He thinks he is Jesus Christ (among others) and has written to the British monarchy insisting that he be made king of the world while simultaneously encouraging others to murder members of the Order of the Illuminati. Readers are encouraged to avoid anything having to do with “Jah’s” line of research and instead direct themselves to John Dunham-Massey’s Tamar Tephi, or the Maid of Destiny: The Great Romance of the Royal House of Britain, The Covenant Publishing Co., London.

As far as I can tell, the Rule of the Master was either written by Origen, or by one of the Desert Fathers. Theoretically, any one of them would have been at least heavily influenced by Origen. Also a possibility is that it was taken from an unknown source used by the ancient Nazarites, thereby linking it to Yahshuah or one of his peers. In any case, I have not even been able to find a copy of this document, though I suppose it must have been preserved by Luke Eberle’s *The Rule of the Master = Regula Magistri (An English Translation)*, Cistercian Publications, Kalamazoo (1977). Considering that it survived the 4th century purge and made it into the hands of Benedict, it would seem the Athanasians’ and, later, the Jesuits’ attempts to cover up the Gnostic roots of Christian monasticism extend through this document. The anonymous title is suggestive of a Luciferian and/or proto-Jesuit tradition which is suggestive of Mandaean origins, much like Thomas A Kempis’ more influential *The Imitation of Christ*.

Apart from the others, Pope John Paul II is also called ‘the Great,’ but only informally. There are no set criteria for the title, and it passes into common usage by means of popular consent. Given enough time, John Paul II will surely be recognized by the Church as ‘the Great.’

Wikipedia revised the article after this was written to say that Dominic’s true purpose was to convert the Albigenses. I agree with the original assertion that he found inspiration in them, as they influenced him and he clearly did not influence them at all. If the Dominican Order was established to convert the Albigenses, then it failed utterly and should have no place in history.

The name of Clairvaux was applied to St. Bernard because he founded the Cistercian abbey at Clairvaux. The name is thought to have come from the Old French *cler* (‘clear’) and *valee* (‘valley’), or the Latin *clara* (‘bright’) and *valles* (‘valley’). This is possible, considering that the followers of Peter Waldo were called Waldenses, and some scholars think his name was actually derived from the name of the group instead of vice-versa. However, a more appropriate English rendering for Waldenses is Illuminists, which I believe is precisely what was meant by the Old French term applied to them, through a crude and rather ignorant etymology. Peter ‘the Illuminist’ Waldo
was just one of Bernard’s second-generation followers, not at all unlike the Albigenses. What Catholic scholars have failed to recognize is that Bernard is the common source of all of the 12th century heresies, probably due as much to the affection which Catholics have for their saints as to their ignorance of medieval history. A more likely etymology for Clairvaux is claire and voyance (‘seeing’), whence is derived the word clairvoyance. I believe the word was a reference to a specific sect of Illuminists centered in Normandy, and was derived from the Latin claro (‘to illuminate’) and probably also vox (‘voice’). A popular Latin idiom is vox used in conjunction with vocis, rendering a meaning of power or authority. A modern English equivalent of this idiom is ‘voice of the people.’ Clairvaux may have an approximate meaning of something like ‘voice of Lucifer,’ or even ‘valley of the Illuminati,’ although its meaning would have been delicately hidden so as to not be understood by contemporary Catholics. Considering the close relationship between Bernard, the Templars and the Sinclairs, I am certain that the name of Clairvaux is also closely related to the name of Sinclair, which would put an emphasis on the word clerc. Thus the name of Sinclair would have derived from the 10th century ruling family of Scandinavians in Normandy and Flanders, associating them with medieval Luciferianism, presented to the public as the Cistercian Order and the first crusaders upon the exile of the House of Dunkeld from Scotland in the late 11th century, and as the Knights Templar a few decades later. There was nothing original about St. Bernard or his ideas.


119. David I was also a beneficiary of the Cistercians, having built some of their most important monasteries, and the first ones in Scotland. Melrose Abbey (where David’s stepson was made an abbot) is the place where Robert I’s heart was interred after the Templars failed to bring it to Jerusalem. Despite the fact that the order didn’t even exist until 1098, there were some 500 Cistercian monasteries in Europe by the end of the 12th century, owing completely to the financial success of the Templars and the influence of Bernard, a blood relative of David. The Templars themselves are the only religious organization in European history to experience an equivalent growth.


“Theat about the time the excavations were near completion, Count Fulk of Anjou sped with all haste to Jerusalem where he took the oath of allegiance to the new order. He immediately granted the order an annuity of thirty Angevin livres before returning to Anjou. When one considers that the vast majority of knights joining the order stayed within its ranks for their lifetime, this action by Fulk of Anjou is a trifle strange. His apparent freedom of manoeuvre, despite his oath of allegiance to the Order of the Knights Templar can be explained by the fact that Fulk was not only the Count of Anjou and a member of the Templar Order but was married to the sister of the King of Jerusalem who died childless, thus Fulk himself later became the King of Jerusalem.”


129 I believe this to be the case. I have investigated each known member of David’s entourage, and the common Templar association is the only unifying characteristic of each of these families. Why else would the Templars have come to France after spending 30 years in the Holy Land, and then simply relocate to Scotland? Why else would Hugh de Payens be affiliated with the Sinclairs when there is not even a record of his alleged marriage to the anonymous Catherine St. Clair? Why else would there be no documentation from the rather extensive period of their exile, when they represented nearly all the power in Scotland when they returned? Surely some biographer would have recorded their exploits for future generations, especially during this period of heraldry and high chivalry. Why else would the individual Templars have been so old when the order was sanctioned, and this after they supposedly did not admit any new members? In other words, without fresh young knights, it would have been impossible for them to sustain a military order of any kind. (They actually did initiate their own sons.) Having been exiled from their own country, their participation in the First Crusade would have been expected, and possibly even mandatory. I actually believe that they were the ones responsible for preaching the crusade, or at the very least for the Cistercian Order (if not both). It seems, then, that David was a French king in every way, having been blessed by successive Norman dukes, who were actually his relatives. Although the title Count of Champagne was created specifically for him, the Normans had nothing to lose by allowing him to stay in Normandy, as he was actually made Prince of Cumbria. Therefore, his time was spent conquering Normumbria and Strathclyde for the Sinclairs of Normandy, as their own invasions had failed. The break between Scotland and England did not happen until David and has kinsman Stephen of England both died in 1154, at which point Henry II of the House of Anjou replaced the Flemish/Norman line. I have also found a substantial amount of evidence to suggest that the same Sinclair nobles in Scotland were known by hereditary Norse-Gaelic titles dating back to the original dynasty created by Rollo. These include the names of a Gilla Coemgáin, multiple Gilla Brigitus, multiple Gilla Crísts, a Gilla Micheál and a Gilla Chlerig, etc. This common practice shows that there was some significance behind the choosing of names (all are ‘devotees’ of saints) among the different earls, even before the period of French influence. Therefore, it is not the French who influenced Scotland, but the Norwegians who influenced both. (Rollo and his elder brother Einar were both born in Norway. Conquests was their only opportunity, as they lacked patrilinial inheritance in their homeland. Their father was especially happy to see Einar leave, and told him not to come back, as he was “ugly” and the son of a slave.) Similarly, the fathers of Malbride of Moray and Gilla Chlerig of Mar were both named Ruaidrí, which suggests that the separate claims to the Kingdom of Alba and the Kingdom of Moray in the 11th century were made by Gaelic families with common origins far later than has been realized by historians. Assuming this, the reign of Malbride’s (Máel Brígí’s) nephew Mac Bethad of Moray represents a break in the dynastic rule of Rollo’s offspring, and also explains why the power of Moray rivaled and temporarily overshadowed that of Alba. Mac Bethad married his cousin’s wife and died without leaving an heir, so Moray (and therefore Scotland) passed to his son-in-law Lulach, who was assassinated and succeeded by Malcolm (Máel Coluim) of Alba. Malise (Máel Isu) also became the hereditary name of the Orkney jarlarnir (earls), who we already know to be Norse-Gaelic Sinclairs, by which claim the Scottish Sinclairs were given Orkney in free heritage by the Norwegian king. It therefore stands to reason that the House of Alpin had even closer ties with their Danish cousins than their common pedigree. The idea that both nations’ sovereigns knew of their true origins accounts for the sudden rise of the Teutonic Order preceding the Enlightenment, even if the Norse did not catch on until their Gaelic cousins informed them. When they figured it out is not important, so long as the first generation represented by Einar and Rollo knew. On the other hand, they had always believed that their race was descended from the Frost Giants, an idea which greatly contributed to the acceptance of Aryanism within Nordic culture in the 20th century. Therefore I believe that Nordicism is very ancient and sinister, even though it was transmuted through relatively harmless oral traditions.


The clan itself holds to the tradition that William had an ancestor named Robert who came to England with William the Conqueror, and that this is why the name originated in Flanders. However, this does not address the issue of why William came to Scotland with David I with more power and prestige than the king himself. The clan’s history is not entirely flawed; it simply needs to be revised, as it has been in this context. See endnote 132. William Comyn’s association with the Templars is further asserted by the fact that his great-grandson William founded the Cistercian abbey at Deer in Buchan.
My reasons for saying this are too numerous to list here and include information already presented. Unfortunately, they are also extremely complex, and therefore difficult to articulate. Essentially, the major clues are 1) the genealogies line up almost perfectly; 2) the time periods in which the individuals lived and were in exile correspond perfectly; 3) Malmore and Richard Comyn are both listed in confusing pedigrees as having married Hextilda of Tyndale, daughter of Uchtred and Beatrice; 4) the Gaelic line of Malmore is lost for no apparent reason, despite being an important royal line; 5) Malmore inherited Atholl as his sole possession while his brothers each inherited the throne of Scotland, and Atholl was the seat of the Comyns for many generations; 6) Richard Comyn had a grandson (possibly son) named Henry who was not a part of the family’s succession, but Harald Maddadson (who was born and raised in Atholl) also fathered a Heinrik who became Earl of Orkney after Harald, and of Caithness, which was Matad’s other known possession; 7) Henry’s uncle (possibly brother) William also had a descendant who married into the Orkney line, which indicates that the strong family connection to the old Sinclairs was kept over many generations, as he was actually a famous enemy of Orkney; 8) there is no other satisfactory reason why Atholl was the seat of the Templars, or why attempts were made to preserve it with knights instead of nobility, or why its dukes were recognized by England but not by Scotland. I have other reasons as well, many of which are annotated in The Templar Bloodlines, but to my knowledge there exists no other adequate explanation for the Comyns’ rise or fall from power. However, I wouldn’t be surprised to learn that some other chronicler has come to the same conclusions without my knowledge.


Ever since the dukes of Normandy opted to take on the more prestigious title of king in England and Ireland, the title of duke in the United Kingdom has been reserved for the men who have inherited it as a Masonic title. For example, Prince Edward is Duke (as opposed to Earl) of Kent and Strathearn, indicating that he is Grand Master of the Grand United Lodge (for both England and Scotland), and that the title of Grand Master of the Templars (in Scotland) has passed from Atholl (now an earldom) to Strathearn (formerly an earldom). Likewise, Prince Arthur was Duke of Connaught and Strathearn, indicating that Connaught was the seat of the order in Ireland, as Strathearn was and is in Scotland. This shows that the ceremonial titles of the grand masters of the respective lodges are still considered to be militaristic in nature. Even after reaching his nineties, Prince Arthur still made formal appearances to lead the British forces during World War Two, over two decades after his official retirement. The general public considers ‘duke’ and ‘earl’ to be synonyms, but they obviously are not (at least not in the British Peerage) and do not even have the same origins. That’s why I have included this explanation here. This interpretation is helpful for a correct understanding of why Walter Stewart was simultaneously Duke of Atholl and Earl of Strathearn and Caithness, and why David Stewart was Duke of Rothesay, and why Robert Stewart was Duke of Albany. These titles were created for specific militaristic or ceremonial purposes, not inherited like the others. In all other cases where the Gaelic or Scandinavian titles apply, the title of mormaer or jarl is appropriate before the Franco-Norman influence. I have tried to be consistent with these designations.


The information provided by Wikipedia when I began researching the life of Patrick of Atholl has been lost to subsequent revisions. It also certainly merits an explanation. Patrick was the son of Alan Durward, who came to Atholl with his father Thomas de Galloway (a.k.a. Thomas de Lundin, Thomas Durward) after the latter led a rebellion against the monarchy. Thomas became a retainer for Isabella, and until only very recently, history had not recorded the fact that Isabella wedded Alan and that Patrick was Alan’s son. This is why he has been called Patrick de Galloway even though he was not from Galloway, nor held its title. The murder of Patrick and Isabella’s retainers was undoubtedly the result of some kind of power struggle over the dukedom, which I have adequately detailed in The Templar Bloodlines.


“Robert listened to the message delivered by [the bishop of Corbeil and Master Aumery] with attention, and heard read the open letters from the Pope; but when those sealed and addressed ‘Robert Bruce, governor of Scotland,’ were produced, he finally declined receiving them. ‘Among my barons,’ said he, ‘there are many of the name of Robert Bruce, who share in the government of Scotland. These letters may possibly be addressed to one of them; but they are not addressed to me, who am king of Scotland.’ The messengers attempted to apologise for this omission, by saying, that ‘the holy church was not wont, during the dependence of a controversy, to say or do aught which might prejudice the claims of either contending party.’ ‘Since then,’ replied the king, ‘my spiritual father and my holy mother would not prejudice the cause of my adversary by Bestowing on me the title of king during the dependence of the controversy, they ought not to have prejudiced my cause by withdrawing that title from me. It seems that my parents are partial to the English son. Had you,’ added he, with resolute but calm dignity, ‘presumed to present letters with such an address to any other sovereign prince, you might, perhaps, have been answered more harshly but I reverence you as the messengers of the holy see.’”


141. Somerled was a king of the Hebrides who invaded mainland Scotland and the Isle of Man when their respective kings both died in 1154. Very little is known about him. He probably wanted to unite the Scots under a kind of Scandinavian heritage, based on the synchronizing of the Norse and Gaelic cultures which happened in the lands under his rule. This Norse-Gaelic culture spread along the western shores of the mainland and became the dominant culture of the Highlands, especially following the devastations of William Comyn and Robert I. The Norse lost control of the Inner Hebrides after Somerled’s failed invasion, and the Outer Hebrides were given to Scotland in 1266.


145. When I originally accessed this article, it said that Rothesay died in 1406. I do not know which date is more reliable. He could not have lived beyond 1406, as that was the year that his brother James I succeeded Robert III.

146. The titles of Strathearn and Menteith were the other titles in Perth besides Atholl and Angus during the 12th century. They seem to have been created at the time of the Templars’ flight from the Holy Land in 1189, and it is probable that they had already been given to the Templar families during the reign of David I. The larger area between them was called Albany, which was formally made a Templar possession in 1398. Strathearn passed to the monarchy in 1371, and Menteith belonged to the Stewart family. Caithness was also forfeited in 1335 as a result of the revolt against David II.


There has never been a clear distinction between the doctrines and ecclesiastical governments of the Roman Catholic Church and the Church of England in the minds of most Protestant Christians, yet Catholics tend to regard the latter as Protestant, as it is still a Christian institution from the Reformation, but outside their domain.

See endnote 157. Wikipedia’s article was created after the text of this book was finished, and has since returned to its skeletal structure of only the bare minimum information. Ernst Friedrich’s relationship to Ernst Ludwig is due to the fact that he was a son of Anna Sophie (a princess of Schwarzburg-Rudolstadt), daughter of Anna Sophie, Duchess of Sachsen-Gotha-Altenburg. This would make Ernst Ludwig and Ernst Friedrich second cousins, a bond which would have been particularly enhanced by the fact that they were both dukes in Saxony. (The Ernestine duchies were divided amongst Ernst I’s seven sons after 1675, making him the common ancestor of all the Saxon dukes, including these two.) Ernst Ludwig was a key player in the survival and resurgence of the Illuminati. His lands passed to Ernst Friedrich under Weishaupt’s supervision, so it is hard to imagine how Ernst Friedrich might not also have been one of them. Had Ernst Ludwig’s son August produced an heir, they certainly would have been the ones to succeed to the throne of the United Kingdom. The opportunity was provided when Prince Edward Augustus became heir to the throne. As he was actually of the Sachsen-Gotha line, he would have married into Ernst Ludwig’s family rather than Ernst Friedrich’s, which was also actually the senior line of the Ernestine duchies, if that had been possible. The fact that Prince Edward was Duke of Kent and Strathearn should not be overlooked as evidence that the Illuminati survived and thrived outside of Germany after 1786, at least, in the Grand Lodges of England and Scotland, as well as the Order of the Bath and the Order of the Garter, and the other orders under his authority. However, this argument is no longer relevant as of 2012, as the facts concerning the activities of the Illuminati after 1786 is no longer treated with hostile rejection. One might say that since the birth of the Internet, a new generation has grown up without an incentive to ignore the most significant of the underlying truths of modern history. This was simply not the case when I originally wrote this in 2006.

“While Augustus had already left his post at the University two weeks earlier, obviously knowing about the approaching storm. ‘He fled across the border to Regensburg, and finally settled at Gotha’ under the protection of Illuminati member Duke of Saxe-Gotha. [Vernon L. Stauffer] Thirteen years later [Augustin] Barruel writes, ‘[Weishaupt] now banished from his country as a traitor to his Prince and to the whole Universe, peacefully at the court of Ernest Lewis [Ernst Ludwig], Duke of Saxe Gotha, enjoys an asylum, receives a pension from the public treasury, and is dignified with the title of Honorary Councillor to that Prince.’” This last line was written in 1798. Virtually all of the information I was able to find regarding Ernst Ludwig is that he was born in 1745 and died in 1804. As I wrote in 2006, ‘He is simply missing from the public record, for the moment, although I have admittedly not spent much time checking the
German records which are apparently far more extant.” Since that time, Wikipedia has not only added an article for him, but also suggested expanding on it from the German-language article. The English article confirms that Ernst Ludwig gave Żwack and Weihaupt asylum, and that he was himself a member of the Illuminati. See endnote 156 for another update.

158 The Spencer family is an old one. The name suggests a title (dispenser, ‘keeper’), but records show that the first Spencers were hereditary knights. Given the time period, this means that the first Spencer was a Templar, or at least a member of William the Conqueror’s court. Thurston, the second to be called ‘le Despencer’ was born about 1122, which means his father probably would have been one of the first initiates after the Templars returned to France, if not one of the original crusaders. However, this is speculation. Henry Spencer was created 1st Earl of Sunderland around 1620 by James I, and this is where the family history becomes important to English history. The most important member of the family to Illuminism was George Spencer, 4th Duke of Marlborough, who was elected to the Royal Society when the Illuminati had control of it in 1786. Marlborough was also a Knight of the Garter. His immediate descendants held many titles, and he was the ancestor of Lord Randolph Churchill of the Spencer-Churchill line. The stepfather of Sir Winston Churchill who was the bastard son of King Edward VII and the American Jew Jennie Jerome. This secret has been kept from the public since the deed was done, but Jerome was already two months pregnant with Winston when Lord Churchill was sent to the British Embassy in Paris to meet and marry her, so my disclosure is not as big a deal as it could have been, had the most pertinent facts not already been public. Randolph Churchill was chosen because he was a close and trusted friend of the king, as evidenced by the fact that George Frederick (later George V of the United Kingdom) had been born at the Marlborough House (their group’s secretive meeting place) nine years earlier, and because the marriage to Jerome was a great honor. (She was considered a very desirable woman.) The marriage was little more than a joke to cover up a royal scandal, and Jerome continued her sexual escapades for many years, as did Edward VII, and everyone including Edward’s queen knew all about the adulterous affairs. It is strange that the public does not, considering the reverence it holds for Edward’s evil bastard. Other descendants of Henry Spencer who have been prominent Illuminists include the British royal house (before Diana, that is), George Washington and the Roosevelt, Bush and Darwin families. (George W. Bush’s wife Laura is a Sinclair and a Bruce. Her maternal grandfather was Halsey Sinclair Hawkins, and she is the only child of Harold Bruce Welch.) At least one chairman of the Bilderbergs and most of the prime ministers of England have been Spencers by birth as well. So we see that the New World Order’s bastards and non-heirs run the world under the pretense of democratically elected government while the firstborns live the hedonistic lifestyle of Luciferianism, even though they are all cognizant members of the same larger family. The Old French (i.e. Platagenet legal) term for this is apanage, defined as “French usage of giving lands to non-Heirs Royal of the Crown of France. These lands could not be sold, mortgaged, or used as a dowry, and passed back to the King in the extinction of the line;” http://members.tripod.com/whitebard/med-law-.htm. Apanage does still happen in today’s feudal system.


161 The Institut le Rosey educates much of the European nobility. Other Illuminists who have attended this school are members of the Rothschild, Schiff and Rockefeller families. The Institut le Rosey’s alumni list is a ‘who’s-who’ of Illuminism. I mentioned in 2006 that I was “still searching for more information, but the school keeps a tight lid.” I have since gotten to what I presently think is the bottom of this rabbit hole, and will be revealing the conclusion of this research and the data which have led me to it sometime in the future. Suffice it to say that the roles which the elite boarding schools play in the globalization agenda is far greater than I have hinted at here, and the significance of the associations which will be made between their founders or administrators and certain key historical personages will constitute the final nail in the coffin of the argument which all my other books have only been building up to. See endnote 92-93.


Due to the extremely controversial life of Vittorio Emanuele, Wikipedia’s article has been heavily revised since this was written, but most of the pertinent information is still there.
161 Vittorio Amadeo III (r. 1773-1796) held the following titles (in English): “Victor Amadeus III, by the Grace of God, King of Sardinia, Cyprus, Jerusalem and Armenia, Duke of Savoy, Montferrat, Chablis, Aosta and Genoa, Prince of Piedmont and Onglia, Marquess in Italy, of Saluzzo, Susa, Ivrea, Ceva, Maro, Oristano, Sezana, Count of Maurienne, Geneva, Nice, Tende, Asti, Alessandria, Goccano, Baron of Vaud and Faucigny, Lord of Vercelli, Pinerolo, Tarantasia, Lumellino, Val di Sesia, Prince and perpetual Vicar of the Holy Roman Empire in Italy;”


168 Whether Friedrich II commissioned the Bavarian Illuminati or simply joined their ranks early on is difficult to discern. The fact that his immediate family members were also Illuminati by this time strongly (with near certainty) suggests the former. Certainly there were Illuminati in the family at least as early as the Council of Constance (1414-1418). Friedrich II would have had access to the same kinds of materials as those which Adam Weishaupt read growing up at Ingolstadt, but was primarily influenced by his mentor and lover Voltaire. At the very least, he was directly responsible for the work of Moses Mendelssohn, whose legacy was carried on by Albert Pike, and a strong connection between Friedrich’s court and the Jewish Enlightenment which catalyzed Weishaupt’s revisions has been established in the final chapter of this book. I believe Friedrich was born into Illuminism, but that the family did not take the idea of secret societies very seriously for several centuries before him. In other words, the idea of conquering the world and establishing a Luciferian state was rekindled by his father after having lied dormant since the fall of the Teutonic Order. Friedrich, being the true genius that he was, would have developed the idea in new (or perhaps forgotten) ways, resulting in the fusion of the temporal power of the monarch and the secret societies such as Freemasonry and the Order of the Golden Fleece, etc. As Friedrich well knew, “it is easier to destroy your enemy with propaganda than with artillery.” Thus the Hegelian dialectic and the Order of the Illuminati were reborn, regardless of whether that was what Friedrich intended. Either way, the connection between the Prussian Hussars and the Skull and Bones society is self-apparent.

170 The House of Zollern had very close ties with the nobles of Hessen-Kassel. Although Hessen-Kassel was influential in its own right, this seems to be because of the early influence of the Illuminists living there. The fact that the Oldenburgs and Zollerns continued to marry nobles from Hessen-Kassel for several generations despite their international influence is evidence that they were keeping the secrets of the Illuminati to themselves. The prominent Jewish banking families all lived in Hessen-Kassel, and the baron Adolf von Knigge was the single most important individual within the Order of the Illuminati. The Illuminati were considered to be a Bavarian sect, but this is due to the fact that Weishaupt lived in Bavaria at the time. The order was actually commissioned at Frankfurt-am-Main, and its members were mostly recruited by von Knigge. In other words, only Weishaupt’s inner circle at Ingolstadt could even be reasonably thought of as a Bavarian order, and they were actually the minority of residents of Bavaria among what should be thought of as a pan-Germanic or a Prussian-Hessen sect.


This conclusion that Prince Cristophe’s death was an Illuminati-orchestrated homicide is based on conjecture, but not without ample evidence. I first found his name while browsing a list of famous people who died in aviation accidents; [http://planecrashinfo.com/famous1940s.htm](http://planecrashinfo.com/famous1940s.htm). After browsing the list, I determined that the probability of some of these “accidents” being accidental was minute at best. For example, if Mohammed bin Laden’s plane hadn’t crashed on 29 May 1968 and his son Salem’s helicopter hadn’t hit some power lines on 29 May 1988 (exactly 20 years apart, to the day), then Osama bin Laden would not have inherited the fortune he supposedly used to create the terrorist organization Al-Qaeda. Even more revealing is the fact that both of these “accidents” happened in the same place (San Antonio), under the direct supervision of the incumbent presidential candidate (at the time of the second crash) and former CIA director George H. W. Bush. Also pertinent to this survey is the mysterious aviation death of Prince George which caused Prince Edward to become Duke of Kent and Strathearn; [http://planecrashinfo.com/1942/1942-17.htm](http://planecrashinfo.com/1942/1942-17.htm). Compare this to the fact that Bush flew 58 combat missions during the war (in the Pacific arena, away from his Nazi kinsmen) and emerged unscathed. Crown Prince Gustav Adolf of Sweden was also killed during a takeoff in 1947. Even though aircraft fatalities represent an almost insignificant statistical cause of death among the general population, it is the leading cause of death among US legislators, particularly those who are not liked by or have fallen out of favor with the New World Order (e.g., US Senator Paul Wellstone), particularly Bush’s Skull and Bones society (e.g., US Senator John Heinz, who was about to blow the lid on the necons’ involvement in the Iran-Contra Affair). The aviation deaths of the Kennedy family in America are so well-known that they don’t merit any attention here. If not for this statistical anomaly, the assassinations of Prince George and Prince Christophe (as well as Joseph Kennedy) during World War Two would still be a mystery explicable by random, though still improbable, chance.


It should be self-apparent that these are also the names of famous Nazis. Among other things, one of the Models was a prominent field marshal during World War Two, and it was an Oppenheimer who, on the other side of the war, was put in charge of the Manhattan Project. It should not be forgotten that the death cult operates on both sides, and that the culprits (not the victims) of the holokaustos rituals are always Jews. It is also worth noting that the position of the court Jew is utterly absurd; the idea of the necessity of your financier being Jewish only mattered under the Roman Catholic systems of government due to the ban on usury. At no point in history was Prussia ever under the rule of any Catholic monarch. It is clear that by the time of Prussian dominance, it was a symbolic position for an already established precedent adopted by Illuminists who were concerned with saving face among Catholics.


“Bauer is one of the very common German family names. It translates as peasant or farmer (agricola in Latin).” Technically agricola means ‘farmer,’ but it carries the connotation of one who lives on a farm, or in the country, more than one who actually works on it, like ‘yokel.’
Springmeier asserts that the family name comes from the color of their coat of arms. “Because the Rothschilds were Satanists they adopted this powerful magic symbol in 1822 for their coat-of-arms. The name they adopted for their family actually comes from the fact that in the 17th century Mayer Amschel Bauer began hanging out a red hexagram in front of their house to identify it. Mayer Amschel then decided to take the name red-shield (Rothschild in German) after the red Seal of Solomon that they used.” With this I must disagree. The name does come from the color of the coat of arms, but because it is a symbol used in Solomonic witchcraft. Springmeier also claims that it was not considered a Jewish symbol until the Rothschilds started using it. With this also I must disagree, as it is a geocentric point of view which does not take into account the 2000 years of the Synagogue of Satan’s history before other sects started using it, and Springmeier fallaciously does not equate Kabbalism and Satanism with Talmudism even though he calls the Rothschilds Satanists, and either of the other designations would be more accurate. However, Springmeier’s concept of Satanism is shared by the vast majority of people who do not understand it, so much so that Satanists get offended, even by other Satanists, at the notion that Satanism is somehow related to worship of the Biblical character of Satan. Anton Szandor LaVey (whose real name was Howard Levey, i.e. Levi) was particularly contemptuous of the “faddists” and “misfits” who joined his cult for what he considered to be the wrong reasons. Satanism is simply Illuminism in practice, and LaVey’s Church of Satan was modeled after a combination of York Rite Freemasonry and Yezidi devilworship as it was practiced by the Templars. See The Secretive Societies for details.

Adolf’s father was an illegitimate son of Salomon Mayer Rothschild and his servant girl Maria Anna Schicklgruber. Hitler’s henchmen destroyed records of his early life and murdered whoever had seen them before they were made public by Hansjürgen Koehler (presumably a pseudonym) in 1940. These records included an investigation led by Austrian Chancellor Dollfuss which Koehler discusses in his Inside the Gestapo. The fact that Hitler was a Rothschild is not even disputed anymore (and only really was after the war); propagandists for the Illuminati normally redirect the focus of this argument and remark that he was a Jew rather than admitting that he was a member of that particular family. This makes perfect sense, considering that Jews have always been the scapegoats of the Illuminati, and while Zionists around the world have sung their praises for two centuries, the Rothschilds themselves have never been particularly popular among Jews. For example, “In 1901, [the Russian Jews of the Palestinian Yishuv] complained to Rothschild about this dictatorship over their settlement … Baron Rothschild replied: ‘I created the Yishuv, I alone. Therefore no men, neither colonists nor organizations, have the right to interfere in my plans,’” http://www.theforbiddenknowledge.com/hardtruth/hitler_was_a_rothschild.htm.

As there are so many people who were interconected at this time, it is hard to say with any degree of certainty whether or not the Order was expressly Dalberg’s idea more than any other’s, but I believe that his common association with Friedrich II, Mayer Amschel Bauer and Adam Weishaupt is what brought them all together. It was, after all, Rothschild and the other German Jews, not Weishaupt (though technically Weishaupt was also a German and a Jew—even the Spanish Jesuit patriarch Ignatius de Loyola, who was Weishaupt’s other greatest influence, was a Jew of Germanic descent), that were ultimately responsible for modern Illuminism. Dalberg was born about the same time as Rothschild and probably knew both of them for quite a long time before introducing them to each other. If this is not the case, then he was at least a close acquaintance of Johann Jakob, the university curator at Ingolstadt and godfather of Adam Weishaupt. A peer at Ickstatt, he was a noble clergyman and student of canon law. After supporting Bonaparte, he moved to Weishaupt’s haven of Regensburg where he then became Archbishop. Wikipedia also indicates that he was a friend of Goethe, Schiller and Wieland; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dalberg. But even with his association with Dalberg, it is not clear how Bauer was introduced to Illuminism or how he came from such obscure origins to become the leader of the Enlightenment. The only things that are clear are that the ideology is very, very old and that modern Illuminism outside of Francis Bacon’s influence began with the Jewish Enlightenment under the direction of the Prussian monarchy. Another individual who had an early influence on Goethe and others was Johann Bode, the central figure of the Jewish Enlightenment who appears to have been the one to fuse the ideologies of Bauer and Mendelssohn. Among other things, he was the personal teacher of Goethe, and of Moses Mendelssohn’s wife.

205 These family affairs in Frankfurt were numerous. Amschel Mayer Rothschild also presided over the De Beers mining industry. This means that the recruitment of Cecil Rhodes and the creation of the Round Table (i.e. Round Square) Group (and therefore the Council on Foreign Relations) are directly attributable to his guidance.

206 This is the anticlerical charter referenced in The World At War. The Rothschilds offered an expensive bounty for the recovery of one of the lost documents, but it turned up in the public domain. It has the distinction of being so revealing that the Illuminists have practically ceased their attacks against its authenticity, as such attacks serve to create awareness. In other words, unlike other exposés, they would rather the public just forget that it ever existed than keep trying to discredit it. A quick study from The Bellarmine Report, which I used to recommend, has lately been taken off the Catholic International web site, since being reported on several other sites.


Springmeier attributes the notion of the B’nai B’rith being the Rothschilds’ intelligence front to the authors of Dope, Inc. However, if it is indeed an intelligence front for the Rothschilds, then it is only one of many. Literally the entire network of mainstream media (MSM) in the world are controlled by the Rothschilds. (Most people think the MSM constitute an oligopoly, but in fact they all get their news from the Associated Press and from Reuters, which itself now owns the Associated Press, and is owned by the Rothschilds.) I found Baruch’s name while browsing some secret documents regarding the true origins of Mormonism. Judaism so permeates this cult that it is hard to figure whether it was invented to subvert Christianity or just advance the Zionist agenda. Considering that Mormons use the Bible (an antithetical text to everything the Mormons stand for) and send missionaries to convert Christians, the former is just as likely.


This statement was directed at the United States Congress in 1950.


I have traced the family’s origins to a medieval Venetian named Abraham del Banco, some of whose descendants migrated as far north as Denmark. Springmeier also makes this assertion in his second chapter and claims they are related to the Rosenbergs of Kiev. If this is true, then the Warburgs have verifiable connections to the Ashkenazi Khazars, but I have not found any evidence to verify this, nor does Springmeier cite his sources. I do, however, believe that the Rothschilds and the Warburgs are closely related in their point of origin, and at least both descended from Abraham del Banco, the “Merchant of Venice.” For a detailed Warburg family tree, see http://www.jewishencyclopedia.com/articles/14778-warburg.


Wikipedia incredibly does not mention the Rothschild connection, and at the time of this writing did not have an article on any of the family members with the Warburg surname except Paul. As of January 2007 it had five (Paul, Max, Aby, Eric and Sigmund). Now it has many.


Wikipedia’s article underwent a total revision recently, and arbitrarily now (2007) uses the words ‘alleged’ and ‘allegedly’ in strategic places as a pathetic attempt to cover up the truth about Prescott Bush’s affinity with the Nazis. Ironically, a reference was also added to the Silesian-American Corporation, another one of Bush’s ventures which the US government shut down in 1942. How he ever became a senator would be beyond comprehension but for the abject stupidity of the masses—even though he was a Republican he was the first treasurer of Planned Parenthood, the fact of which actually caused him to lose his first election, but only by a small margin and only because his opponent used it against him in his campaign. Given enough time (in this case only a few years) and campaign funds (from Nazi dealings), people seem to forget literally anything and go on to vote the conspirators into office, even if they are convicted war criminals. “Moral values” was the issue carried by the largest demographic in the two presidential elections of Bush’s grandson George W. Bush whose Illuminist ideology is no different than that of his father and grandfather except that he has not yet ascended to the same position because he is not nearly as intelligent. It is no wonder that the public, resolved to deny the Hegelian nature of politics, watches World War Three unfold in stupefying horror. The reason it is no wonder is that the formula of fascism has never changed.

The actual name of the company was Interessen-Gemeinschaft Farbenindustrie AG, but it was called IG Farbenfabriken. According to Wikipedia, farben means “paints,” “dyes,” or “colors,” but I do not believe this translation accurately conveys the purpose which the company served at any point in its existence. (Wikipedia’s article has actually been revised so many times to edit out the pertinent and damning details, perhaps reasonably so due to the controversial nature of the sources, that I longer trust the information as a whole as being without a very strong disinformation bias.) I have therefore given farben the non-literal rendering of “chemicals” to explain that it was initially the German equivalent of DuPont or Monsanto in America before becoming dominated by the interests of Standard Oil and the German Steel Trust run by Fritz Thyssen, Prescott Bush’s accomplice in Germany. It could very well be that the name of Farben was even a ploy, as the people of Germany would not have taken the fact that it was producing Zyklon B lightly, had they known. So even “chemicals” in this context is a euphemism for what were supposedly cans of liquid genocide, or what are now called “weapons of mass destruction.” (Most of the German population was shocked by the accusations of genocide following the war, and a common phrase was “We didn’t know.” Obviously they couldn’t have known, though, as the accusations were completely spurious, but they have since been widely believed, and it is now a crime in Germany to question the lies.)